

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1241924	LPIO-1000	no							
1241319	LPIO-10041	yes	The demand for between 2,500 - 5,000 new houses should be made up of affordable housing in areas in dire need of regeneration to help improve local economy and improve the borough as a whole. This will also make the borough a more desirable place to live a visit and reduce the areas of waste land.						
1246760	LPIO-10066	yes	The Council should commission the University of Manchester to conduct a full and detailed independent study to provide the best possible projection for Wirral housing requirements over the period 2020-2035, and to identify any exceptional circumstances that are responsible for any differences between the Standard Method and the result of his study. This should then be presented to the independent Inspector appointed to under take the Local Plan Examination as supporting evidence for deviating from the Standard Method.						
1246792	LPIO-10128	yes	The council have a local expert's Housing Reports they should be prepared to adopt these reports and updates.						
1246772	LPIO-10224	no							
1246689	LPIO-10393	yes	Basing your housing target on the Office of National Statistics document "Household Projections in England: 2016-based" which projects that the change in the number of households in Wirral from 2016-2041 will be 9871. This is significantly lower than the 12,000 homes Wirral Council has targeted by 2035 and crucially means that there would be no need to use any green belt land at all. 10,000+ dwellings can be built on brown belt and existing urban sites within Wirral (This is confirmed on page 73 of the Wirral Local Plan 2020-2035 Issues and Options Consultation document).						
1243890	LPIO-1074	yes	Base population growth on extrapolations of the last five year's figures. Consult St Helens or Halton council to ask how their future housing requirements are so much lower than Wirral's.						
1241412	LPIO-114	no							
1247015	LPIO-11420	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247196	LPIO-11552	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1 000's to produce.						
1240731	LPIO-1169	yes	I have had to tick yes to be able to comment on this. I cannot suggest an alternative approach, but you have received reports from local experts with fully considered calculations. Savills Policy Response Calculating Housing Need September 2018 shows Wirral as an area of low housing need with houses being the most affordable. They calculate Wirral's housing need at considerably less than the 803 currently being used. Wirral should be challenging this figure of 12,045 as all reports show this figure as being highly inflated.						
1244681	LPIO-1203	yes	Base calculations on revised more recent estimates of population growth.						
1238549	LPIO-1206	yes	The recent Queens speech has announced a change in the governments estimates of housing needs and the needs of Wirral need to be challenged as lower than the national average. Population has reduced over the last 60 years and age make up has changed and will continue to reflect an aging population. The is NO NEED FOR GREEN BELT TO BE USED TO MEET NEEDS IN THE OLAN>						
1247214	LPIO-12377	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1 000's to produce.						
1247492	LPIO-12473	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1 000's to produce.						
1240843	LPIO-12642	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1 000's to produce.						
1247578	LPIO-12840	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1 000's to produce.						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1243700	LPIO-1287	yes	WBC should adjust the nationally prescribed methodology result by using their own Compendium of Statistics information which is produced every year. The Compendium, started in 2008, should be used to predict the trends for the forthcoming 15 years. National targets are not appropriate for an area which is unique to England. 12,000 new net dwellings would change the landscape of the peninsula for ever, land loss to developments that are not required cannot be replaced. The peninsula represents a unique geographical area, as such, the best option is for a joint plan with West Cheshire and Chester Council (NPPF 3.17) to consider the economic, housing, transport, leisure amenities and other key policies affecting the borough.						
1247510	LPIO-12964	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1 000's to produce.						
1246335	LPIO-13086	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1 000's to produce.						
1246853	LPIO-13355	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1 000's to produce.						
1246852	LPIO-13478	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1238043	LPIO-1350	yes	See questions 2.1 and 2.2. It would involve establishing the special circumstances which exist for Wirral as a peninsular, and its brownfield redevelopment needs.						
1247746	LPIO-13632	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1244629	LPIO-1371	yes	Why doesn't WBC use their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs. It is not cheap and presumably the Council consider it appropriate otherwise why use it every year?						
1238192	LPIO-13827	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247012	LPIO-13881	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247014	LPIO-13935	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1242183	LPIO-13949	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1238156	LPIO-14	yes	The sense I have from the overview statistics provided for the Boroughs of the Liverpool City Region is that insufficient weight may be given to historical population trends following de-industrialisation. Is this being adequately factored into the model?						
1247218	LPIO-14045	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247219	LPIO-14150	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247220	LPIO-14248	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247222	LPIO-14379	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1244898	LPIO-1445	yes	See comments to Q2.1a and 2.2a. The Standard Method formula methodology with 2014 ONS Data is meant to give councils a 'starting point' from which they should compare their own local needs by performing a Local Assessment of Need, using Local Data. Even the ONS is suggesting future Local Plans should be based upon official Local Data. The System has flexibility deliberately: letters from successive Secretaries of State show this, even urge the Council to challenge within allowable limits but it won't - why? The People certainly want them to, judging by last May's Local Election results and the amount of opposition. The Council employed Liverpool and through them Manchester University to assess its Housing Need and to comment on the early work of others (since updated). This included the most eminent specialists in the Country, who produced much lower figures, akin to the experts of the Green Space Alliance - concluding on a figure circa 3,000. Receiving what it didn't want to hear at the time when it (now admitted) had an undisclosed policy of releasing green belt land for development, it binned their own Consultants' findings.						
1247226	LPIO-14467	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1244905	LPIO-1448	yes	I consider we need to ensure that the estimates are correct and that all the current evidence is closely scrutinised. In particular, I am aware of a large number of empty homes and sites that are not factored in to these estimates for need for new housing. Opening up and utilising property already built should be a priority as this represents the low hanging fruit in terms of rapidly meeting any targets. I would also support further audit of target numbers to calculate the number of empty properties. There is a real danger of building for the sake of financial profit and what we require here is an appropriate mix of housing that includes decent provision of social housing and supported living for those that require it, especially supported living / sheltered housing for those with disability or infirmity through old age. We should be aiming to support older people in their own homes and ideally encouraging more elderly to move to smaller properties that provide social support, thereby freeing up some older or larger properties for families. This would be more appropriate for the community and local residents of Wirral (especially from a health care perspective and for mental and physical health and wellbeing) than aiming to ship the elderly directly to nursing homes. We are seeing a lot of nursing homes being built yet know that there are many nursing home empty beds. I am of the opinion that the apparent excess of recent building of nursing homes appears to be driven by financial motivation rather than by fulfilling the best needs of our ageing population to ensure happy and health lives.						
1247245	LPIO-14557	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1239377	LPIO-1461	yes	I would suggest the Council observe and adhere to the data found within their own Compendium of Statistics, which has been produced annually since 2008 at a cost to the Council Tax payers of the Borough!						
1246827	LPIO-14685	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247016	LPIO-14871	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247018	LPIO-14941	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247246	LPIO-15306	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247248	LPIO-15406	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247251	LPIO-15523	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1242519	LPIO-1557	yes	WBC must produce a figure which can be accommodated by the development of only brownfield sites no greenbelt should be developed .						
1247252	LPIO-15615	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247274	LPIO-15703	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1238835	LPIO-1576	yes	Why are Wirral Borough Council (WBC) using taxpayers money to collate all the useful information contained within the Compendium of Statistics, and then completely ignoring it? This is a very important and valuable document which provides accurate and very useful data, upon which important decisions such as the Local Plan, should be made. It provides a true picture of reality and a more precise guideline concerning how and where funds should be allocated in order to gain the best returns. Without any cohesive explanation as to why, it seems that WBC is blindly following the Government's guidelines. This is crass stupidity.						
1247275	LPIO-15810	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1237922	LPIO-1583	yes	Accept the expert advice received						
1244969	LPIO-1590	yes	Consult with other professional bodies who have provided widely differing numbers than those presented by WBC and jointly assess the real numbers required. No one that I have communicated with, including local councillors over recent years believes that we require an additional 12,000 plus new homes on the Wirral						
1247287	LPIO-16181	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247344	LPIO-16268	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247349	LPIO-16356	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247353	LPIO-16443	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247354	LPIO-16532	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247434	LPIO-16628	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247436	LPIO-16739	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247439	LPIO-16836	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247437	LPIO-16838	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247960	LPIO-17164	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247962	LPIO-17251	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247966	LPIO-17356	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247971	LPIO-17458	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1241726	LPIO-17551	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1245062	LPIO-1763	yes	I feel a smaller quantified plan would work more efficiently and be more harmonious in the local community. Rather than such a massive project, quality first time buyer homes for the younger population and first time buyers is needed. This could be achieved with a smaller build project, in line with the naturalistic growth rate of the Heswall boundary. I see the proposal as a way to fund the local council coffers, to strengthen the necessary budget of local services. The equation is, if the council need to do this now, how can it then service c.2300 proposed houses in such a small area, considering the pressure these homes will put on the services of the council in Heswall. I feel it is a short term plaster which will create a monster that will need feeding with more expansion.						
1247979	LPIO-17650	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247980	LPIO-17651	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1245069	LPIO-1777	yes	Adopt the national policy on a 5 year by 5 year basis, adjusting the level of dwellings in line with the population level, i.e. if the numbers do not increase the need to build does not exist. This would remove the potential to end up with an influx of housing that will remain empty. There are already c4-5000 empty homes in Wirral						
1245502	LPIO-17843	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1245060	LPIO-1787	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1000's to produce.						
1247541	LPIO-17945	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247539	LPIO-18047	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1241669	LPIO-181	no							
1237857	LPIO-18117	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1000's to produce Using the University reports and the reports by the Wirral Green Space alliance as supporting evidence.						
1247996	LPIO-18204	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1245070	LPIO-1839	yes	My approach would be to challenge the use of a "nationally averaged" standard method of estimating future housing needs which is clearly inappropriate for Wirral with it's stable and ageing population and little evidence to suggest that a significant increase in employment opportunities is likely to be forthcoming.						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247021	LPIO-18422	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247022	LPIO-18476	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247023	LPIO-18531	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247024	LPIO-18586	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247025	LPIO-18694	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247038	LPIO-18695	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247039	LPIO-18809	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247040	LPIO-18810	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247041	LPIO-18876	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247042	LPIO-18944	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247060	LPIO-19052	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247061	LPIO-19053	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247063	LPIO-19117	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247064	LPIO-19171	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247068	LPIO-19225	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247071	LPIO-19282	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247072	LPIO-19340	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247078	LPIO-19395	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247080	LPIO-19509	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247081	LPIO-19510	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1238251	LPIO-19559	yes	Section 44 of The Governments Document "Planning for the right homes in the right places", released in March 2018, states: "Deviation from the proposed method (for calculating housing targets) - Given the significant financial and time-saving benefits, our expectation is that local planning authorities adopt the proposed method (i.e using the ONS population projections) when assessing housing need. However, there may be compelling circumstances not to adopt the proposed approach. These will need to be properly justified, and will be subject to examination." Both Liverpool and Manchester Universities and a local expert (on behalf of The Wirral Green Belt Alliance) have provided reports that show the figure of 12000 is massively over estimated. I suggest that these analyses and documents should be used as a basis to prove that there are "compelling circumstances" not to adopt the Governments 'Standard Method' for calculating Wirral's housing target. Why are the Council not challenging the Governments targets, when Government guidance explicitly states that the targets can be challenged? Why are the Council claiming they are being forced by the Government to release Green Belt Land when, the truth is, that the Government are simply forcing them to produce a Local Plan like every other Local Authority? Developers are circling like vultures ready to pick off Green Belt Land. As part of the Council's Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment in 2016, the Council received submissions from developers wanting to build on 104 sites across the Wirral. Large house building companies are aggressively promoting the release of Green Belt Land on the Wirral and also contacting landowners, trying to buy up further land. There is space for 18000 houses on Brownfield sites on the Wirral; more than enough to meet even the above exaggerated targets. It is not good enough for the Council to say that Compulsory Purchase Orders for Brown field sites are too costly, or take too long. Compulsory Purchase Orders take around 18 months or so (a relatively small amount of time when the Local Plan is for 15 years). Wirral Waters has "housing zone status", which means it is eligible for £millions in government grants to remediate and develop the land, and Wirral Waters already has planning permission for 13000 houses. The Peel Group, who own the site, and to date have built very few houses at Wirral Waters, have indicated that, with the right public partnership, they could build up to 6450 houses during the 15 year period of the Local Plan. On the figures supplied a local expert and the two University reports, this number in itself would be sufficient to fulfill an amended housing target."						
1248131	LPIO-19609	yes	I object for the following reasons: 1. There is no evidence to support the need for 12,000 2. The councils own Consultants have produced figures which are significantly lower than 12000 3. Studies from the Universities of Liverpool and Manchester estimate a significantly lower number of new homes are required 4. Wirral Green Space Alliance Experts have repeatedly provided the Council with documentary evidence showing that the Council's figure is too high. 5. Compelling evidence is already in the possession of the Council from these sources (consultants, universities, WGSA experts) which shows that their housing need figure of 12000 is overinflated by a factor of between 2 and 4. 6. The Council's own compendium of statistics (Dec 2019) states that Wirral's population is expected to increase by only 5,300 by 2039. 7. In the recent Queen's Speech the Governments Housing Target (nationally) was cut by a third from 300,000 homes pa to 200,000 homes per annum. 8. Recent ONS (Office for National Statistics) population projections (based on the 2018 figures) have recently been released and show population projections to be lower than the 2016 projections and significantly lower than the 2014 population figures. The 2014 figures are used in the Standard Methodology Calculation and are now shown to be completely outdated. A local expert's paper on the recently published ONS (2018) figures is attached. 9. The Council should challenge the Standard Methodology and put forward a realistic target based on local knowledge and data and official historic, current and future trends. 10. The coronavirus situation will negatively effect the economy, thus, there will be less demand for homes.						
1247082	LPIO-19663	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247083	LPIO-19717	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1237833	LPIO-19747	yes	The HBF consider that the Local Housing Need as identified by the Standard Method (including the proposed update to the methodology) is an appropriate starting point and that the Council will need to consider whether there are any other elements such as ambitions to support economic growth or to deliver affordable housing which may necessitate a housing requirement figure above LHN.						
1247084	LPIO-19781	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247085	LPIO-19837	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247088	LPIO-19902	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247089	LPIO-19963	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247090	LPIO-20018	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1245083	LPIO-2006	yes	WBC should take notice and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced annually and costs the tax payers £1000's to produce						
1247091	LPIO-20073	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247092	LPIO-20132	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247093	LPIO-20196	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247094	LPIO-20251	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247095	LPIO-20306	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247096	LPIO-20362	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1237870	LPIO-2041	yes	I suggest that Wirral Council adhere to their own "Compendium of Statistics" which is produced annually. [This exercise cost £1,000s by the way !]						
1247099	LPIO-20418	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247101	LPIO-20472	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247108	LPIO-20489	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247102	LPIO-20490	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247106	LPIO-20677	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247105	LPIO-20678	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method.'						
1245105	LPIO-2069	yes	Invoke the exceptional circumstances exception for the reasons above and carry out a detailed look at the housing needs for the area. This could take into account that locally sourced statistics indicate there is only a need for 3,000 more homes, and that the population of the Wirral has been stable for decades						
1247109	LPIO-20742	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method.'						
1247110	LPIO-20839	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method.'						
1247111	LPIO-20840	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method.'						
1238036	LPIO-2095	no							

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247112	LPIO-20962	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247113	LPIO-21016	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247115	LPIO-21072	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247116	LPIO-21126	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1246851	LPIO-21138	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1246918	LPIO-21227	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246924	LPIO-21228	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1246928	LPIO-21229	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1245112	LPIO-2125	no							
1246920	LPIO-21489	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1246926	LPIO-21490	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1247117	LPIO-21749	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247118	LPIO-21750	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247145	LPIO-21857	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247147	LPIO-21858	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247148	LPIO-21966	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247150	LPIO-21968	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1244329	LPIO-22040	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247119	LPIO-22138	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1246678	LPIO-22139	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247151	LPIO-22246	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247152	LPIO-22247	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247153	LPIO-22360	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247155	LPIO-22361	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247156	LPIO-22474	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247158	LPIO-22475	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247159	LPIO-22506	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSa) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSa notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247160	LPIO-22507	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSa) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSa notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247161	LPIO-22719	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSa) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSa notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247164	LPIO-22720	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSa) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSa notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247167	LPIO-22848	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247168	LPIO-22849	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247169	LPIO-22876	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247170	LPIO-22877	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSA) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSA notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1245146	LPIO-2309	yes	Recalculate housing need to allow deviation from standard method as permitted by national policy, to result in a more realistic assessment of need.						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247173	LPIO-23113	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSa) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSa notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247174	LPIO-23114	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSa) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSa notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247175	LPIO-23230	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSa) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSa notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247176	LPIO-23231	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSa) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSa notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247177	LPIO-23388	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247178	LPIO-23389	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1247179	LPIO-23390	yes	Exceptional circumstances 1. Regeneration needs should be a priority given the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of the Wirral (compare to national averages). This requires a strict 'brownfield first' approach to give regeneration sites priority over the greenfield sites located in less deprived areas of the Wirral. Therefore, the Green Belt boundary needs to be kept tightly drawn around the urban area in order to force new development for housing and employment onto brownfield sites close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. 2. There is a huge difference between the 12,000-formula figure and Wirral's actual need, which is nearer 3,000. The 3,000 figure comes from the Council's own statistics and other official public records. It is also supported by experts employed by the Council (Liverpool and Manchester Universities) and by two local highly qualified experts. 3. Wirral's population has basically flat-lined for years and even declined in population compared with historic figures and this does NOT support the 12,000 figure. 4. We understand from (WGSAs) discussions with the Council that Cabinet Members and Officers do not believe in the 12,000-figure – as per WGSAs notes of meetings and letters to the Government. 5. The Council's approach recognises the urban deprivation and poverty prevailing in parts of Wirral and the need for housing and employment on brownfield site close to areas of deprivation where new housing and jobs will help to solve the problems of deprivation. Use of exaggerated housing need forecasts would result in Unnecessary release of Green Belt land that would undermine this approach. These circumstances warrant an alternative more tailored approach to calculating housing need than the insensitive the Standard Method."						
1248345	LPIO-23506	yes	The need to find 12,000 new houses must not be found at the cost of the Green belt. Wirral council must request from central government exceptional status if there is insufficient brownfield land to meet this requirement.						
1244826	LPIO-2372	yes	My suggestion would be that Wirral Borough Council abides by their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the taxpayer £1000's to produce. We do not need this 12,000 volume of housing on Wirral, we do not have the demand now or will have in the future and we do not have the jobs to sustain the growth and payment of rent and mortgages.						
1248448	LPIO-23844	yes	The circumstances in the Wirral provide clear justification for a higher housing need figure than the standard method. These are as follows: The assessment of need (the SHMA 2019) identifies a need for affordable housing of 705 homes which will not be met. The Wirral faces an unprecedented affordability crisis that requires urgent and radical policy responses through the Local Plan. Under such circumstances the Council must apply a significant increase in the housing requirement in accordance with the PPG. The plan is seeking to perpetuate forward past housing and economic trends which have been severely constrained by the lack of an up to date local plan, lack of delivery and Green Belt restrictions. The impacts of previous housing under-delivery are quantifiable and significant and is between 2,914 to 3,492 since 2011. The uplift via the standard method of 1,410 houses does not reflect the actual shortfall and the consequence is the compelling affordable need in the SHMA which this Plan is failing to meet or even partially address. The 1,330 residential care (C2) homes identified in the SHMA should also form part of the requirement.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656108	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656110				

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1242185	LPIO-23875	yes	An alternative approach, leading to a significantly lower housing requirement, is set out in our Housing Report documents and the March 2020 update (attached). They use the Government's standard method and relies on the corrected ONS 2014 population data, published Wirral official administrative data, the latest Office of National Statistics (ONS) recommendations on household size trends, demographic projections from the 2016 SHMA, plus ONS own variants, plus other work and carefully consider all recent Government/ONS population projections based on 2012, 2014 and 2016 data and several scenarios in coming to this conclusion.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684263	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5657006			
1248471	LPIO-23980	yes	ONS has released the UK level population projections on the 2018 base with variant projections on fertility, life expectancy and net immigration. The projected UK population growth under the Principal Projection scenario is significantly lower than the ONS 2016 projection and very much lower than the ONS 2014 projection. The new 2018 principal projection gives a population growth of only 64% of the 2014 projection, even lower than the 2016 projection growth (banned by the government) of 76%. This is the official ONS best estimate on the latest demographic data. It is difficult to see how the government can continue to insist that the 2014 based projections must be used by local authorities in their local plan preparations. Further details are set out in my attachment.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5657006	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656963	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682447	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684838	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5655882	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656957
1248472	LPIO-24005	yes	The proposed housing requirement simply reflects the Government's standard method which should be treated as the absolute minimum. However, housing requirements and housing needs are not the same. The SHMA states that the net shortfall of affordable homes each year is 705 dwellings. Given that the housing requirement is being set at 800 dwellings per annum it is evident that continuing problems with affordable housing delivery will persist under the provisions of the emerging Plan. The PPG allows authorities to take these circumstances into account and establish a higher level of need than the standard method suggests.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684824	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684823				
1248487	LPIO-24063	yes	We do not wish to suggest an alternative method for calculating the local housing need but having established the minimum housing need, the Council must then consider the factors which may have influenced the standard method, such as the use of past based trends during a time where there was no up-to-date development plan, and seek an appropriate uplift to reflect the lack of delivery over this period.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656330	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656329				
1248490	LPIO-24100	yes	Our demographic modelling indicates that a range of housing need linked to the Council's growth aspirations of between 1,045 dpa and 1,300 dpa would be appropriate. The lower end of the range would be the absolute minimum needed to align with baseline economic growth, whilst a figure towards the middle of this range, at c. 1,160 dpa, might be appropriate based on past job growth trends. If the Council is serious about going for growth in light of the Wirral Waters EZ and the LCR growth aspirations, then a figure towards the upper end (i.e. 1,300 dpa) could be appropriate. An even higher figure could be appropriate if the Council is to achieve its ambition of delivering 80 ha of employment land in the Borough. This range would more properly align with realistic economic growth targets and would enable the very high affordable housing need to be meaningfully addressed. This would be driven by significantly higher levels of economic migrants moving into the Borough, without whom the Council's economic strategy would be fatally undermined.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5676992	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5673252	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5673251	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684856	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684866	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684853
1237647	LPIO-242	no							
1248466	LPIO-24271	yes	The circumstances in Wirral and the Liverpool City Region provide clear justification for the application of a higher housing need figure than the standard method, in accordance with the Framework and paragraph 2a-010 the PPG. These are as follows: The assessment of need (the SHMA 2019) identifies a need for affordable housing of 705 homes which will not be met. Wirral faces an unprecedented affordability crisis that requires urgent and radical policy responses through the Local Plan. Under such circumstances the Council must apply a significant increase in the housing requirement in accordance with the PPG; The impacts of previous housing under-delivery are quantifiable and significant and our calculations show it is between 2,914 to 3,492 since 2011. The uplift via the standard method of 1,410 houses does not reflect the actual shortfall and the consequence is the compelling affordable need in the SHMA which this Plan is failing to meet or even partially address; The need for the 1,330 specialist (C2) homes identified in the SHMA to form part of the requirement.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674415	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674416	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685040	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674418	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674417	
1248471	LPIO-24300	yes	There is a long history of problems concerning the ONS migration projection methods and these are clearly continuing (a detailed analysis is set out in the attached document). The ONS considered two different approaches for calculating internal migration and had difficulty in deciding between them. They say 'This decision was a trade off...There is no right answer to this...the variant projections are available as an alternative for anyone who considers that the respective outcomes are more likely, or are more helpful for their specific purposes.' This is a remarkable position for the ONS to take, on projections which will underpin major decisions. Beyond the matter of data and method selection choices, we also learn that as in previous SNPPs, the central 'national' distorting and 'reconciliation' of local data and trends continues 'For all projections, the figures calculated at local level are also constrained to the national population projections.' We examine the variant population projections generated for Wirral bearing the above in mind in our attachment. The high migration variant can now safely be dismissed given the events of the last four years. Based on the 2020/2030 growth period (Standard method) the mean across the four ONS variant scenarios is a 3,341 households increase over 15 years, slightly up on the 2020/2035 growth period estimate. After affordability uplift this becomes 3,341 x 1.142 = 3,815 houses compared with the 2020/2035 growth estimate of 3,406 houses. The new estimate is higher because forecast population growth slows over time (Taking only the two 'trend calculation variants' for the principal projection we now get 3,965 x 1.142 = 4,528 houses). The new ONS 2018 projections for Wirral lead us to an average four, ONS variant scenarios housing need of 3,815 houses after affordability uplift in the standard method framework and in line with the author's LP 2020 consultation scenario average of ~3,400 houses and the 'effective demand' forecast provided to WMBC of ~4,100 houses.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5657006	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656963	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682447	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684838	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5655882	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656957
1248542	LPIO-24344	yes	There should be a wider recognition of the environmental, social and economic benefits resulting from development of new homes and supporting infrastructure.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684894					

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245996	LPIO-24576	yes	The circumstances in Wirral and the Liverpool City Region provide clear justification for the application of a higher housing need figure than the standard method, in accordance with the Framework and paragraph 2a-010 the PPG. The assessment of need (the SHMA 2019) identifies a need for affordable housing of 705 homes which will not be met. Wirral faces an unprecedented affordability crisis that requires urgent and radical policy responses through the Local Plan. Under such circumstances the Council must apply a significant increase in the housing requirement in accordance with the PPG. The impacts of previous housing under-delivery are quantifiable and significant and is between 2,914 to 3,492 since 2011. The uplift via the standard method of 1,410 houses does not reflect the actual shortfall and the consequence is the compelling affordable need in the SHMA which this Plan is failing to meet or even partially address. The need for 1,330 residential care (C2) homes should form part of the requirement.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5681950					
1248588	LPIO-24593	yes	An alternative approach to assessing housing need should be undertaken through a SHMA which assesses housing need objectively, takes into account previous under-delivery, actual affordable housing need and the need for additional specialist (C2) units.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5681617					
1242697	LPIO-24640	yes	The 2014 Household Projections (HPs) give a projection of 7,000 in 10 yrs (700 pa) but are based on the wrong 2014 Population Projections (PPs). The 2016 HPs give 4,380 (438 pa). The formation rates of 2014 can be applied to 2016 PPs in the ONS "sensitivity test". This gives 6,330 in 10 yrs (633 pa). The main increase from the 2016 HPs is in Households (HHs) with one/two people and/or older (65+) people but fewer households with children will be needed. The 2014 HPs also show an increase in HHs with one/two people and older people. The adult- only projection of the 2016 HPs comes to 5,810 (581 pa), recognising the need for fewer households with children but is above the standard 2016 HP (4,380) with its lower 2016 formation rates. Further analysis and detail is contained in the attached documents.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659118	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659119	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659120	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121		
1246206	LPIO-24726	yes	I respectfully insist that the Housing Delivery Test be revisited, in particular eliminating the "Buffer" penalty which unnecessarily threatens Wirral's Green Belt areas.						
1248749	LPIO-24806	yes	The Council should look to apply a greater housing need requirement, in line with their aspirations for higher growth, funding mechanisms for eastern parts of Wirral and under delivery over the past 10 – 15 years. We would not support an alternative approach which looked to reduce the housing requirements given the growth strategies of Liverpool City Region (LCR) and Wirral and the importance of Wirral for providing housing for the LCR.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684847	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684848	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684845			
1248769	LPIO-24929	yes	The Council should look to apply a greater housing need requirement, in line with their aspirations for higher growth, funding mechanisms for eastern parts of Wirral and under delivery over the past 10 – 15 years. We would not support an alternative approach which looked to reduce the housing requirements given the growth strategies of Liverpool City Region (LCR) and Wirral and the importance of Wirral for providing housing for the LCR.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659045	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684957	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659039	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659038	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684956	
1248823	LPIO-25032	yes	The Council should look to apply a greater housing need requirement, in line with their aspirations for higher growth, funding mechanisms for eastern parts of Wirral and under delivery over the past 10 – 15 years. We would not support an alternative approach which looked to reduce the housing requirements given the growth strategies of Liverpool City Region (LCR) and Wirral and the importance of Wirral for providing housing for the LCR.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674317	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684865	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684849			
1248832	LPIO-25136	yes	The Council should look to apply a greater housing need requirement, in line with their aspirations for higher growth, funding mechanisms for eastern parts of Wirral and under delivery over the past 10 – 15 years. We would not support an alternative approach which looked to reduce the housing requirements given the growth strategies of Liverpool City Region (LCR) and Wirral and the importance of Wirral for providing housing for the LCR.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684857	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659562				
1248833	LPIO-25246	yes	The Council should look to apply a greater housing need requirement, in line with their aspirations for higher growth, funding mechanisms for eastern parts of Wirral and under delivery over the past 10 – 15 years. We would not support an alternative approach which looked to reduce the housing requirements given the growth strategies of Liverpool City Region (LCR) and Wirral and the importance of Wirral for providing housing for the LCR.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661125	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661100	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661124	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661129		
1244896	LPIO-2525	yes	See comments to Q2.1a and 2.2a. The Standard Method formula methodology with 2014 ONS Data is meant to give councils a 'starting point' from which they should compare their own local needs by performing a Local Assessment of Need, using Local Data. Even the ONS is suggesting future Local Plans should be based upon official Local Data. The System has flexibility deliberately: letters from successive Secretaries of State show this, even urge the Council to challenge within allowable limits but it won't - why? The People certainly want them to, judging by last May's Local Election results and the amount of opposition. The Council employed Liverpool and through them Manchester University to assess its Housing Need and to comment on the early work of others (since updated). This included the most eminent specialists in the Country, who produced much lower figures, akin to the experts of the Green Space Alliance - concluding on a figure circa 3,000. Receiving what it didn't want to hear at the time when it (now admitted) had an undisclosed policy of releasing green belt land for development, it binned their own Consultants' findings. Shameful.						
1248546 Wirral Wildlife	LPIO-2530	yes	According to the latest Office of National Statistics figures (2018), there is no need for the numbers of houses being proposed. Around 8000 (rather than 12000) in the life of this plan would accord with the latest ONS figures and the reduced target announced in the December 2019 Queens Speech. The Local Plan will not be sound if it is based on out-of-date ONS figures and Government policy that has now changed. "Housing need" should be recalculated on the basis of the 2018 ONS figures, still taking into account the limitations in our (Wirral Wildlife) answers to Q2.1 and 2.2. If a "housing need" of under 8000 is used, then there should be no need for any Green Belt release. Any Green Belt release will harm wildlife, threaten regeneration in the urban core, and make it very difficult to meet climate change and biodiversity loss targets.						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248986	LPIO-25442	yes	The Council should look to apply a greater housing need requirement, in line with their aspirations for higher growth, funding mechanisms for eastern parts of Wirral and under delivery over the past 10 – 15 years. We would not support an alternative approach which looked to reduce the housing requirements given the growth strategies of Liverpool City Region (LCR) and Wirral and the importance of Wirral for providing housing for the LCR.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662723	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662725	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662770			
1246458	LPIO-25679	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1246459	LPIO-25680	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000's to produce.						
1249116	LPIO-25918	yes	Wirral Waters, as an example of one of the largest regeneration projects in the UK, is seeking a very clear and obvious departure from the 'business as usual' trend-based demographic growth that the standard method represents. Before the last recession the Council was delivering comfortably more homes than is suggested by the standard method, with 959 net completions in 2006/07 and 1,052 in 2007/08. Recent net completion rates have not been at such levels but this has not been due to a lack of developer appetite. The reason can be partly attributed to the lack of an up to date and adopted development plan, which is now 19 years out of date, which has undoubtedly inhibited the delivery of readily-available allocated sites. Affordable housing need in the Borough is extremely high and simply cannot be addressed in full with an overall requirement of 800 dpa. If insufficient new homes are provided, affordability will worsen and people will not have access to suitable accommodation to meet their needs. A housing requirement of between 1,045 and 1,300 dpa would be needed to align with realistic economic growth targets, enable the very high affordable housing need to be addressed and allow for the improvement of negatively performing market signals, in one of the least affordable areas on Merseyside. This would need to be driven by significantly higher levels of economic migrants moving into the Borough, without whom the Council's economic strategy would be fatally undermined. A higher figure would ensure compliance with the Framework by significantly boosting the supply of housing and ensuring that the planning system does everything it can to support sustainable development. Further information is set out in our attachments.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674092	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674093	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674095	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674096	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684833	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684836
1249116	LPIO-25954 1 OF 2	yes	Wirral Waters, as an example of one of the largest regeneration projects in the UK, is seeking a very clear and obvious departure from the 'business as usual' trend-based demographic growth that the standard method represents. Before the last recession the Council was delivering comfortably more homes than is suggested by the standard method, with 959 net completions in 2006/07 and 1,052 in 2007/08. Recent net completion rates have not been at such levels but this has not been due to a lack of developer appetite. The reason can be partly attributed to the lack of an up to date and adopted development plan, which is now 19 years out of date, which has undoubtedly inhibited the delivery of readily-available allocated sites. Affordable housing need in the Borough is extremely high and simply cannot be addressed in full with an overall requirement of 800 dpa. If insufficient new homes are provided, affordability will worsen and people will not have access to suitable accommodation to meet their needs. A housing requirement of between 1,045 and 1,300 dpa would be needed to align with realistic economic growth targets, enable the very high affordable housing need to be addressed and allow for the improvement of negatively performing market signals, in one of the least affordable areas on Merseyside. This would need to be driven by significantly higher levels of economic migrants moving into the Borough, without whom the Council's economic strategy would be fatally undermined. A higher figure would ensure compliance with the Framework by significantly boosting the supply of housing and ensuring that the planning system does everything it can to support sustainable development. Further information is set out in our attachments.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675698	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675693	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675700	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675692		
1249116	LPIO-25954 2 OF 2	yes	Wirral Waters, as an example of one of the largest regeneration projects in the UK, is seeking a very clear and obvious departure from the 'business as usual' trend-based demographic growth that the standard method represents. Before the last recession the Council was delivering comfortably more homes than is suggested by the standard method, with 959 net completions in 2006/07 and 1,052 in 2007/08. Recent net completion rates have not been at such levels but this has not been due to a lack of developer appetite. The reason can be partly attributed to the lack of an up to date and adopted development plan, which is now 19 years out of date, which has undoubtedly inhibited the delivery of readily-available allocated sites. Affordable housing need in the Borough is extremely high and simply cannot be addressed in full with an overall requirement of 800 dpa. If insufficient new homes are provided, affordability will worsen and people will not have access to suitable accommodation to meet their needs. A housing requirement of between 1,045 and 1,300 dpa would be needed to align with realistic economic growth targets, enable the very high affordable housing need to be addressed and allow for the improvement of negatively performing market signals, in one of the least affordable areas on Merseyside. This would need to be driven by significantly higher levels of economic migrants moving into the Borough, without whom the Council's economic strategy would be fatally undermined. A higher figure would ensure compliance with the Framework by significantly boosting the supply of housing and ensuring that the planning system does everything it can to support sustainable development. Further information is set out in our attachments.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675697	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675694	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675696			

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1249116	LPIO-26019	yes	Wirral Waters, as an example of one of the largest regeneration projects in the UK, is seeking a very clear and obvious departure from the 'business as usual' trend-based demographic growth that the standard method represents. Before the last recession the Council was delivering comfortably more homes than is suggested by the standard method, with 959 net completions in 2006/07 and 1,052 in 2007/08. Recent net completion rates have not been at such levels but this has not been due to a lack of developer appetite. The reason can be partly attributed to the lack of an up to date and adopted development plan, which is now 19 years out of date, which has undoubtedly inhibited the delivery of readily-available allocated sites. Affordable housing need in the Borough is extremely high and simply cannot be addressed in full with an overall requirement of 800 dpa. If insufficient new homes are provided, affordability will worsen and people will not have access to suitable accommodation to meet their needs. A housing requirement of between 1,045 and 1,300 dpa would be needed to align with realistic economic growth targets, enable the very high affordable housing need to be addressed and allow for the improvement of negatively performing market signals, in one of the least affordable areas on Merseyside. This would need to be driven by significantly higher levels of economic migrants moving into the Borough, without whom the Council's economic strategy would be fatally undermined. A higher figure would ensure compliance with the Framework by significantly boosting the supply of housing and ensuring that the planning system does everything it can to support sustainable development. Further information is set out in our attachments.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684802	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684835	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677041	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677037	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684804	
1249116	LPIO-26053	yes	Wirral Waters, as an example of one of the largest regeneration projects in the UK, is seeking a very clear and obvious departure from the 'business as usual' trend-based demographic growth that the standard method represents. Before the last recession the Council was delivering comfortably more homes than is suggested by the standard method, with 959 net completions in 2006/07 and 1,052 in 2007/08. Recent net completion rates have not been at such levels but this has not been due to a lack of developer appetite. The reason can be partly attributed to the lack of an up to date and adopted development plan, which is now 19 years out of date, which has undoubtedly inhibited the delivery of readily-available allocated sites. Affordable housing need in the Borough is extremely high and simply cannot be addressed in full with an overall requirement of 800 dpa. If insufficient new homes are provided, affordability will worsen and people will not have access to suitable accommodation to meet their needs. A housing requirement of between 1,045 and 1,300 dpa would be needed to align with realistic economic growth targets, enable the very high affordable housing need to be addressed and allow for the improvement of negatively performing market signals, in one of the least affordable areas on Merseyside. This would need to be driven by significantly higher levels of economic migrants moving into the Borough, without whom the Council's economic strategy would be fatally undermined. A higher figure would ensure compliance with the Framework by significantly boosting the supply of housing and ensuring that the planning system does everything it can to support sustainable development. Further information is set out in our attachments.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684834	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674240	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684832	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674256	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684837	
1237944	LPIO-261	no							
1249271	LPIO-26114	yes	There is an abundance of evidence available to demonstrate that Wirral's housing numbers should be boosted to support the wider economic aspirations of the City Region. The role of Wirral within the City Region goes beyond the quantitative conclusions of the Council's SHMA and local housing needs. The Local Plan should provide an understanding of the how Wirral will contribute to meeting the qualitative requirements of the City Region's wider housing needs. As Wirral's economic success is inextricably linked to the economic growth of Liverpool, it is vital that Wirral is able to play its part in supporting that growth.						
1249271	LPIO-26114 (1 of 4)	yes	There is an abundance of evidence available to demonstrate that Wirral's housing numbers should be boosted to support the wider economic aspirations of the City Region. The role of Wirral within the City Region goes beyond the quantitative conclusions of the Council's SHMA and local housing needs. The Local Plan should provide an understanding of the how Wirral will contribute to meeting the qualitative requirements of the City Region's wider housing needs. As Wirral's economic success is inextricably linked to the economic growth of Liverpool, it is vital that Wirral is able to play its part in supporting that growth.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677492	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678239	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678247	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684889	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678241	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678248
1249271	LPIO-26114 (2 of 4)	yes	There is an abundance of evidence available to demonstrate that Wirral's housing numbers should be boosted to support the wider economic aspirations of the City Region. The role of Wirral within the City Region goes beyond the quantitative conclusions of the Council's SHMA and local housing needs. The Local Plan should provide an understanding of the how Wirral will contribute to meeting the qualitative requirements of the City Region's wider housing needs. As Wirral's economic success is inextricably linked to the economic growth of Liverpool, it is vital that Wirral is able to play its part in supporting that growth.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684854	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678236	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678244
1249271	LPIO-26114 (3 of 4)	yes	There is an abundance of evidence available to demonstrate that Wirral's housing numbers should be boosted to support the wider economic aspirations of the City Region. The role of Wirral within the City Region goes beyond the quantitative conclusions of the Council's SHMA and local housing needs. The Local Plan should provide an understanding of the how Wirral will contribute to meeting the qualitative requirements of the City Region's wider housing needs. As Wirral's economic success is inextricably linked to the economic growth of Liverpool, it is vital that Wirral is able to play its part in supporting that growth.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677494	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685010	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678243	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678240	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677490	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678246
1249271	LPIO-26114 (4 of 4)	yes	There is an abundance of evidence available to demonstrate that Wirral's housing numbers should be boosted to support the wider economic aspirations of the City Region. The role of Wirral within the City Region goes beyond the quantitative conclusions of the Council's SHMA and local housing needs. The Local Plan should provide an understanding of the how Wirral will contribute to meeting the qualitative requirements of the City Region's wider housing needs. As Wirral's economic success is inextricably linked to the economic growth of Liverpool, it is vital that Wirral is able to play its part in supporting that growth.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678238					
1249269	LPIO-26139	yes	Our attachment shows that the needs for homes, jobs and affordable housing suggest a housing requirement of between 1,045 and 1,300 dwellings per annum. The lower end of the range would be the minimum needed to align with baseline economic growth and the upper end to meet the economic aspirations set out within the Liverpool City Region SHELMA. Any uplift to meet affordable housing needs must take account of the likely rates of delivery as part of market housing. In this context, we consider the housing requirement should be set within the range of 1,000 (Scenario D: SHELMA Baseline 0.2% Growth Rate) and 1,300 dwellings (Scenario E: SHELMA Growth Scenario 0.4% Growth Rate) as modelled in our attachment. This will ensure the housing target is realistic and achievable whilst delivering the homes needed to sustain the Boroughs economic growth ambitions whilst providing an uplift in affordable housing.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675699					

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1249263	LPIO-26165	yes	There is an abundance of evidence available to demonstrate that Wirral's housing numbers should be boosted to support the wider economic aspirations of the City Region. The role of Wirral within the City Region goes beyond the quantitative conclusions of the Council's SHMA and local housing needs. The Local Plan should provide an understanding of the how Wirral will contribute to meeting the qualitative requirements of the City Region's wider housing needs. As Wirral's economic success is inextricably linked to the economic growth of Liverpool, it is vital that Wirral is able to play its part in supporting that growth.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684852					
1249321	LPIO-26223	yes	Adhere to the annual Compendium of Statistics.						
1249761	LPIO-26406	yes	On 12 March 2020, the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government published a document entitled 'Planning for the Future'. The document sets out the Government's ambitions to modernise the planning system to support the Government's stated intention to deliver 300,000 new homes per year, and it states that the Government will review the formula for calculating LHN in order to achieve this stated ambition. It is worth noting that the current standard method for calculating LHN (as set out within the PPG), which is underpinned by the 2014-based household projections, equates to a national requirement for c.266,000 homes per annum. If the Government is to achieve its stated ambitions, it stands to reason that the current LHN for most, if not all, local planning authorities will need to increase accordingly. In the light of the above, we are of the firm view that the Council should be planning to meet a higher LHN than the figure which is currently proposed and we therefore advocate the inclusion of a minimum housing requirement figure in excess of the 800 dpa that the Council is currently planning for.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684814	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684810				
1245180	LPIO-2641	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1000's to produce.						
1249782	LPIO-26414	yes	Since the standard method does not incorporate economic growth considerations, and in the case of Wirral does not match the planned jobs growth in the area, this would lead to unsustainable commuter or migration patterns. An alternative approach to the standard method and supported by national policy would be to align the Council's housing requirement with their economic strategy, particularly given the exceptional circumstances. Furthermore, 800 dpa will fail to address affordable housing need. The 2020 SHMA identifies affordable housing need at 705 per annum in the Wirral. The use of an overall annual requirement of 800 dpa would require 88% of all new housing development to be affordable, if affordable housing need was to be addressed in full. This would clearly be unachievable, therefore the Council must reconsider and increase their housing requirement figure to align with economic growth, as well as to deliver much needed affordable housing.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683892					
1249219	LPIO-26448	yes	National policy allows deviation from use of the standard method figures provided exceptional circumstances can be justified. See answer to question 2.2.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677529	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677528				
1249812	LPIO-26508	yes	The Executive Summary of the SHMA (H1.1) states that; "evidence of past delivery would suggest that (783 dwellings) is already an ambitious target. Further uplifts to the standard method figure are therefore not recommended and the analysis has not identified any exceptional circumstances for departing from the standard method calculation." This is the wrong way to think. The persistent failure to deliver new homes in Wirral has resulted in housing shortages that do warrant an uplift. The 1,800 concealed households and 5,500 overcrowded households do not deserve to have 2,600 homes (previously required against formal plan targets, but undelivered) to be wiped out of the equation. Nor do the 705 persons needing an affordable home each year. Indeed, the SHMA also notes that one of the priorities of the LCRCA Plan is that "we want everyone in the city region to have access to a good-quality home in a safe neighbourhood – safe, secure, good quality housing is a basic human right." Map 3.4 of the SHMA shows the spatial spread of new build homes in the authority from 2007 to 2018. This period was governed by the current Local Plan and shows sluggish delivery across all parts of the Wirral, save for Bromborough. By triangulating this spatial data with the chronic shortfall against requirement, it is clear that the current plan's brownfield-only strategy has failed.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684813	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684811	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684812			
1246736	LPIO-26558	yes	As we have responded above in answer to questions 2.1 and 2.2, an alternative approach to assessing housing need should be undertaken through a SHMA which assesses housing need objectively and takes into account previous under-delivery, actual affordable housing need and the need for additional C2 units. This would comply with national policy.						
1240932	LPIO-26584	yes	We do not consider that the Council's approach complies with national policy and yes, we suggest an alternative approach. In the attached Technical Assessment, a number of scenarios have been modelled to sensitivity test whether any adjustment is required which deviates from the LHN figure identified by the standard methodology. The scenarios demonstrate the extent to which the population of the Borough could change over the Plan period and how this change would be translated into households, dwellings, numbers of economically active residents and the number of jobs that could be supported by the local population. The analysis in the attached Technical Assessment has demonstrated that there are clear grounds for considering that exceptional circumstances would justify an uplift to the LHN figure generated by the standard methodology for Wirral. Aside from the very significant affordable housing need which is acknowledged by the Council, Wirral Waters, were it to proceed as envisaged, would comprise one of the largest regeneration projects in the UK with a corresponding create up to 20,000 jobs through a mixed-use sustainable development, with housing forming a major part. By any measure, this represents a departure from the "business as usual" trend based demographic growth that the LHN represents. Given the exceptional circumstances, we consider that a figure of 1,300 dwellings per annum (19,500 homes over the plan period) would likely represent a closer alignment with the Council's growth aspirations and that consequently, this figure should be included in the emerging Local Plan. However, this results in a clear shortfall in housing supply when compared with the Council's estimated supply. We note that the Council has already identified an appropriate location for approximately 2,500 dwellings on land as a Single Urban Extension (Option 2b) and this is an allocation that we support. In addition, our attached Vision Document illustrates how much of the remaining shortfall can also be delivered, which have a combined capacity of around a further 5,000 homes or even more given that some of the sites could deliver a greater number of homes based on increased densities and/or in combination with extended net developable areas.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683689	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682697	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682701			
1245058	LPIO-2664	yes	Make better use of existing housing stock. The number of vacant dwellings in Wirral is high. There could be incentives for releasing unused houses.						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1249947	LPIO-26658	yes	On 12 March 2020, the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government published a document entitled 'Planning for the Future'. The document sets out the Government's ambitions to modernise the planning system to support the Government's stated intention to deliver 300,000 new homes per year, and it states that the Government will review the formula for calculating LHN in order to achieve this stated ambition. It is worth noting that the current standard method for calculating LHN (as set out within the PPG), which is underpinned by the 2014-based household projections, equates to a national requirement for c.266,000 homes per annum. If the Government is to achieve its stated ambitions, it stands to reason that the current LHN for most, if not all, local planning authorities will need to increase accordingly. In the light of the above, we are of the firm view that the Council should be planning to meet a higher LHN than the figure which is currently proposed and we therefore advocate the inclusion of a minimum housing requirement figure in excess of the 800 dpa that the Council is currently planning for.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683693					
1245073	LPIO-2684	yes	The Council should commission a Prof. of the University of Manchester to conduct a full and detailed independent study to provide the best possible projection for Wirral housing requirements over the period 2020-2035, and to identify any exceptional circumstances that are responsible for any differences between the Standard Method and the result of his study. This should then be presented to the independent Inspector appointed to undertake the Local Plan Examination as supporting evidence for deviating from the Standard Method.						
1241891	LPIO-275	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1000's to produce.						
1241065	LPIO-285	no							
1240846	LPIO-2856	yes	These comments apply generally to section 2 (Housing Need) I was encouraged to note that, following constructive collaborations with various bodies (including Wirral Waters) 10,306 new homes are deliverable on brownfield/urban sites within Wirral (Table 4.1, p.73). This is a welcome increase on previous estimates and clearly reduces pressure upon the Green Belt. However, it still leaves a shortfall of 2444 homes on the Council's target of 12,750 (12,000 new homes and 750 to replace demolitions, Table 4.1). Three options are proposed to meet this shortfall: Option 1A – Urban intensification, Option 2A – Dispersed Green Belt release, Option 2B – Single Green Belt Release. I am profoundly disappointed that Wirral is sticking to a 15-year housing requirement of 12,000 new homes. This is ridiculously high and if this were reduced, there would simply be no need for any of the above options. It is common knowledge that Wirral's population is not growing; if anything, it is marginally shrinking. The latest release on household requirements from the Office of National Statistics (Household Projections in England: 2016-based) concludes that the projected change in the number of households in Wirral from 2016-2041 (25 years) will be 9871. If you pro-rata this for 15 years, this equates to 5923, which is very different to the 12,000 target in 15 years currently being used by Wirral. Despite claims to the contrary, Wirral Borough Council has not been told of any targets by central government. Indeed, in the recent Queen's speech (19th December 2019), the new Conservative government reduced national housing targets by one-third from those of David Cameron's government of 2015. Put simply, given this evidence, Wirral's 15-year target should be nearer to 6000-8000 and hence none of the above options are needed.						
1245159	LPIO-2952	yes	Use local past trends in population and employment growth allied to study of expected trends linked to the Local Plan process.						
1244896	LPIO-3012	yes	Additional Response to Q2.3 The alternative would be for the Council to produce and use a realistic Locally Assessed Housing Need (as directed to by successive Secretaries of State and prevailing circumstances) as there are sufficient grounds and 'exceptional circumstances' have been demonstrated and highlighted throughout our various Responses. The Council should employ the flexibility within the 'standard method' system, using the SM + 2014 ONS Data as the 'starting point'. The Council has already declared that the 12,000 figure is wrong and considerably so. It has also received advice to this effect from its own consultants, Liverpool and Manchester Universities, in their Reports but also in Outline, Summary and Full Detail format by both Prof. David Gregg and Graham Stevens, eminent specialists, mathematicians, statisticians and demographers (Members of WGSA). These Reports all have scenarios employing the flexibility within the 'standard method' system, using the SM + 2014 ONS Data as the 'starting point' with official Local Data generated and published by the Council. In addition, we would refer assessors to a further Report produced by Graham Stevens (File Ref: Wirral Household Projections and Standard Method IOD). Please take account of the section relevant to this Question.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677109					
1241315	LPIO-3020	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1000's to produce.						
1237904	LPIO-3143	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1000's to produce						
1245311	LPIO-3200	yes	Apply further granularity to the methodology with regards the different sections of the Wirral, ie west vs east. Examine what land is currently available/derelict in urban areas. Who owns? Examine what being done to regenerate areas in need, ie New Ferry, Birkenhead city centre etc...Who needs the housing? What type is appropriate ie assisted/supported living, given older population & growth of this section of the population.						
1245311	LPIO-3205	yes	Apply further granularity to the methodology with regards the different sections of the Wirral ie west vs east. Examine what land is currently available/derelict in urban areas. Who owns? Build up not just expand out. Examine what is being done to regenerate areas in need ie New Ferry, Birkenhead city centre etc...Who needs the housing? What type is appropriate ie assisted/supported living, given older population growth.						
1245320	LPIO-3216	yes	Evidence provided to the council by Universities of Liverpool and Manchester and Prof David Gregg and Graham Stevens show that the housing need is not as great as calculated by the standard method. As such the experts' calculation would meet national guidelines on providing sufficient housing numbers.						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1244720	LPIO-3261	yes	Please use the studies WBC has commissioned from Liverpool and Manchester Universities, and the Council's own Compendium of Statistics 2019 to calculate Wirral's likely population growth. The Secretaries of State have already advised Wirral Council that their standard method is only a starting point, which Councils can use as a basis. It is not a compulsory method. In addition to the above, please calculate the likely impact of Brexit, and Covid 19 to likely population increases/decreases. You will then have a more realistic and sustainable figure for housing need. The White Paper on Housing was drawn up by a Conservative Government, and is therefore in the interests of landowner and Developer rather than hard pressed Councils and the average citizen. Equally, housing for rent, and not just to buy, should also be considered.						
1245416	LPIO-3350	no							
1241770	LPIO-3401	no							
1240653	LPIO-3405	no							
1245437	LPIO-3500	yes	Use the Council's own compendium of statistics which is the most accurate method of determining real growth rather than a generalised number from the government.						
1245457	LPIO-3574	yes	National Policy is now for 200k new homes, Wirral's own compendium suggests 327 new homes per year, so I suggest this is a more accurate basis for a plan.						
1237667	LPIO-3658	yes	A full reassessment of "need".						
1245443	LPIO-3673	yes	Re-Build urban areas and local amenities.						
1245288	LPIO-3692	yes	There is plenty of brown site available near the Birkenhead docks and surrounding areas. Not only would this provide a housing shortage, the area has struggled for many years and could easily become a great place to live and work, if invested in. Many parts of Liverpool have been regenerated and are now much sought after areas to live/work in. As a resident of West Wirral, I would be more than happy to downsize to a flat or apartment in Birkenhead if investment happens. I'd be happy to sell my property, reasonable priced, to a family wanting to live in West Wirral. Due to the demise of Birkenhead, people want to move to other areas and by not investing in poorer areas, it causes property prices to rise in other locations and stops equal migration of residents.						
1245498	LPIO-3922	yes	Do not build on greenbelt in any circumstances. In reality there is not the demand for 12000 new homes and the lower level forecasted by university experts could easily be accommodated on brownfield sites. The real need that WBC needs to address is the regeneration of the poorer areas of the north and east of the Wirral peninsula. By focussing housing development in these communities that is well serviced, good quality and environmentally conscious this will help lift these areas on to more level terms with the rest of our borough. Furthermore the lower land values in the economically deprived areas should mean that affordable and first time buyer housing which is needed is likely to be more financially feasible in these areas. Building on greenbelt is likely to result in 5 bedroom high price homes that are unaffordable to the majority of the Wirral population. Building on brownfield should be aided by the recent government announcement of a new £400m brownfield fund for mayors of combined authorities. WBC via the Liverpool City Region Combined Authority could apply for a share of this funding to promote/ facilitate the development of new homes on the large brownfield sites that exist in the north and east areas of the Wirral peninsula.						
1245500	LPIO-3937	yes	Review empty housing and areas across Wirral that have high vacancy and poor social housing the requires for the Council to upgrade or rebuild housing.						
1245501	LPIO-3954	yes	If Wirral Borough Council were to heed their own Compendium of Statistics, produced every year since 2008 at the cost to the tax payer, they would accept there are enough brownfield sites to provide for the reduced number of houses required to satisfy future demand.						
1241491	LPIO-3960	no							
1245502	LPIO-3988	yes	WBC should take notice of & adhere to their own Compendium of Statistics produced annually at a cost to tax payers of £1000's otherwise what's the point of producing the figures if not to use them.						
1238379	LPIO-400	yes	The Government permits the use of official local data and a recognised method as an alternative to the standard method. Given that there are clearly exceptional circumstances I believe that the Council should take note of their own data produced in the Compendium of Statistics and of other studies commissioned both by the Council, and produced independently by interested groups which are based on historic and local trends.						
1245513	LPIO-4029	yes	Employ independant consultants and university's to deliver a suitable and evidence based methodology for calculating Wirrals housing requirements. Develop only brownfield land. Handing huge swathes of cheap to develop Green Belt land to housing developers is like Christmas come early for them and its so disappointing to see a council which even considers its release. There should be absolutely no Green Belt development as is your duty to protect it - and with 'Brownfield only' it is certainly possible to meet Wirrals housing needs. There are also plenty of underutilised land packets which are in private ownership and not designated as Green Belt land. These should be explored in full and would quite easily meet, even the most ridiculous calculated requirements (as currently proposed!).						
1240939	LPIO-4095	no							
1245638	LPIO-4193	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, and has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer thousands of pounds to produce.						
1237724	LPIO-4285	yes	What is needed is for WBC to accept a realistic target using their own Compendium of Statistics, for building new homes and in brownfield sites. National policy encourages deviation from the standard method figures providing exceptional circumstances can be justified - please see previous answers.						
1244215	LPIO-4501	no							
1242528	LPIO-458	yes	only build the number of houses we actually need and only build social housing get rid of wirral waters						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245607	LPIO-4613	yes	Projections of future populations should be based on Wirrals own research as set out in the Wirral Compendium of Statistics. This suggests only 5000 population increase in the Plan period. A reasonable assessment of house occupation to this would produce a need for new housing in the order of 2000, not 12000.						
1241495	LPIO-4662	yes	Use the standard method alongside the Council's own data, 2018 Office of National Statistics data, the reduced target announced in the Queens Speech (Dec 2019) and the data from Liverpool and Manchester Universities.						
1237696	LPIO-4666	no							
1245768	LPIO-4744	yes	See answer to 2.2 and use the data given by the university and other experts to prove exceptional circumstances to challenge the standard method used and also take into account the governments decision to reduce their figures in the recent Queen's speech.						
1241133	LPIO-48	yes	As above Stay off green land and use empty properties of which there are numerous in Wirral						
1237873	LPIO-4808	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1000's to produce.						
1245782	LPIO-4841	yes	Large companies such as Savills have produced calculations which allow the Government to keep their manifesto promises but show the Wirral as needing fewer houses than the 12045 currently being considered in this Local Plan. I suggest that you look at these calculations as an alternative approach. They look at affordability and housing need but also use more up to date ONS figures.						
1245794	LPIO-4886	yes	See 2.2 above. WBC has already produced a soundly-based figure of 3000, using independent experts, which can be readily justified.						
1245713	LPIO-4930	yes	WBC to note and use their own Compendium of statistics which is produced yearly.						
1243171	LPIO-4937	yes	As Wirral has exceptional circumstances, this can and should be demonstrated by WBC and a housing need figure adopted in the local Plan with numbers that correspond to reality and common sense.						
1241327	LPIO-4948	yes	Use current 2019 ONS housing statistics.						
1239029	LPIO-497	yes	Yes. Make it clear that a one size fits all policy does not work and do what you should be doing stand up for Wirral and its residents.						
1241868	LPIO-5006	yes	In 2018 there were nearly 5000 empty properties on the Wirral. These should be brought back into use, requisitioned if necessary.						
1245816	LPIO-5013	yes	See answer to 2.2 above.						
1237923	LPIO-5036	yes	use & stick to their own compendium of statistics, produced each year since 2008 at the cost to tax payers of £1000's.						
1242947	LPIO-531	yes	I appreciate you acknowledge that brown field and unoccupied dwellings will be your first preferred option and there is a fair amount of this type of land not currently used. Looking at the housing need perhaps multiple occupancy would appeal to professional couples would be one way meet need. The dock area is a prime example of this and has been done successfully in other cities.						
1241733	LPIO-5384	yes	Wirral Borough Council should use their own 'Compendium of Statistics' for guidance on how many new homes are needed.						
1240383	LPIO-5404	yes	Deviation from the standard method where exceptional circumstances exist. WBC have been advised of this from the Nathaniel Lichfield and Partners report in 2016 through to its most recent Liverpool and Manchester University reports and by the WGSa experts and yet still resist to explore the possibility of exceptional circumstances.						
1246041	LPIO-5530	yes	The council should identify more developable/deliverable Brownfield or previously developed sites, and secure available funding for them. The council should stop using inappropriate case studies, thus reducing the "empty homes" local plan allowance from a consistent 250p/a delivery to just 90 p/a Stop using aspirational jobs growth as if real. Both Brexit and coronavirus will reduce these significantly! Even without that, statistics suggest the existent targets are unreal						
1237823	LPIO-5613	no							
1242751	LPIO-577	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1000's to produce.						
1246284	LPIO-5808	yes	Tell the national policy people that they have it wrong for Wirral						
1245767	LPIO-5811	yes	I believe that experts appointed by the Council have already adopted an alternative method that points to a significantly lesser housing need than by the standard method, and that there are sufficient exceptional circumstances to justify departing from it.						
1246303	LPIO-5859	yes	I believe that WBC should look to its own planning Statistics, and formulate a response that serves the area and is robust in its response to its own local situation. Clearly at this time of National crisis due to COVID-19 and implications of Brexit, the situation is changing so decisions need to reflect and allow for future change						
1246310	LPIO-5891	yes	I would strongly suggest that the council notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, produced every year since 2008.						
1246306	LPIO-6003	yes	Before considering the option of green belt development it would be sensible for the local authority to conduct it's own study into the likely change in demographic over the next few years. There is no evidence that this has been studied by the local authority, but there exists anecdotal evidence to suggest the population of Wirral is decreasing. Such study fully complies with the National Planning Policy Framework.						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246348	LPIO-6056	yes	Why do a compendium of statistics every year at considerable cost and then ignore it? The reason is it shows very little increase in the population which WBC ignores as it needs the money from building all these high band dwellings. This council needs to start serving Wirral rather than itself.						
1246242	LPIO-6058	yes	Our suggestion is to adopt the figures produced by WBC's own Compendium of Statistics which is produced each year @ great expense to Wirral rate payers.						
1240964	LPIO-6070	no							
1238310	LPIO-6103	yes	The NPPF allows an alternative approach to be used as long as it is evidence based Para: 002 References ID: 2a-002-20190220 Date: 20 02 2019 Is the use of the standard method for strategic policy making purposes mandatory? No, if it is felt that circumstances warrant an alternative approach but authorities can expect this to be scrutinised more closely at examination. The results of commissioned university reports and WBC Compendium of Statistics, which is updated every year, should be put forward for scrutiny. The statistical facts and report findings are so far apart from the standard figures it warrants exceptional circumstance. 2.15 Paragraph 60 of the National Planning Policy Framework requires Local Plans to be "informed by a local housing need assessment, conducted using the standard method in national planning guidance – unless exceptional circumstances justify an alternative approach which also reflects current and future demographic trends and market signals". WBC has commissioned an informed local housing need assessment and has an up to date local statistics compendium reflecting current and future demographic trends and market signals. WBC has proved that the figures provided by the standard method are not applicable to the Wirral. This is an exceptional circumstance. WBC must at least state its case and challenge the standard figure. It is causing issues for WBC that will grow if not dealt with now. A correct figure would allow WBC to comply with NPPF 138 and use brownfields sites for its housing requirement without releasing greenbelt. The plan would be deliverable in the time period, more achievable and more sustainable a founding principle of the NPPF The letter (Jan 19) from the SOS clearly invited the council to provide its own specific housing need figures. "The standard method for assessing housing needs does not produce a target figure" "Authorities are to make their own assessment of their realistic needs to base the plan on "						
1246161	LPIO-6214	no							
1244729	LPIO-6308	yes	The government policy needs to be re-assessed when the country gets back to something like normal						
1246402	LPIO-6373	yes	I think that Wirral Council should go by their own Compendium of Statistics which has been produced since 2008.						
1246401	LPIO-6834	yes	Wirral Borough Council needs to look at its own data and statistics which are not representing the true picture of housing needs on Wirral. The Council needs to look again at the National Housing figures and scale down its housing need accordingly. The Council is taking too literally the guidance offered by the Standard Method and should heed the statement made by Savid Javid who emphasised the need to use the Standard method as a starting point only and in conjunction with other local statistics and relevant factors. Only further consultation with local groups and societies and members of the community coupled with reappraising the current fact based trends and growth on Wirral can a true picture of actual housing need be accurately assessed. The Council is failing in its obligations to its residents and instead of continuing to maintain their position, needs a wholesale reappraisal of the plan.						
1246460	LPIO-6892	yes	I believe that the Wirral 15-year housing requirement of 12,000 new homes is fundamentally flawed. This figure is ridiculously high and if this were reduced, there would simply be no need for any green belt to be utilised in the plans. It is common knowledge that Wirral's population is not growing; if anything, it is marginally shrinking. The latest release on household requirements from the Office of National Statistics (Household Projections in England: 2016-based) concludes that the projected change in the number of households in Wirral from 2016-2041 (25 years) will be 9871. If you pro-rata this for 15 years, this equates to 5923, which is very different to the 12,000 target in 15 years currently being used by Wirral. Despite claims to the contrary, Wirral Borough Council has not been told of any targets by central government. Indeed, in the recent Queen's speech (19th December 2019), the new Conservative government reduced national housing targets by one-third from those of David Cameron's government of 2015. Put simply, given this evidence, Wirral's 15-year target should be nearer to 6000-8000 and hence no consideration would be required within areas of green belt.						
1246482	LPIO-7002	yes	Challenge the target more strongly.						
1246488	LPIO-7087	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1000's to produce.						
1241723	LPIO-7386	no							
1246581	LPIO-7580	yes	National policy allows for deviation from use of the standard method figures provided exceptional circumstances can be justified. Please see answer to Q2.2						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1240932	LPIO-7581	yes	We do not consider that the Council's approach complies with national policy and yes, we suggest an alternative approach. Consultants have modelled a number of scenarios on behalf of Our Client to sensitivity test whether any adjustment is required which deviates from the LHN figure identified by the standard methodology. Discussion of these scenarios can be found at paragraphs 5.1-5.53 of Consultant's Stage 1 Technical Assessment report that accompanies our representations. This analysis demonstrates that there are clear grounds for considering that exceptional circumstances justify an uplift to the LHN figure generated by the standard method. Aside from the very significant affordable housing need which is acknowledged by the Council, Wirral Waters represents one of the country's largest regeneration projects, potentially creating up to 20,000 jobs, with housing forming a major part. This undoubtedly represents a departure from the 'business as usual' demographic growth that the LHN represents. Given the exceptional circumstances, we consider that a requirement of 1,300 dwellings per annum (19,500 homes over the plan period) represents a closer alignment with the Council's growth aspirations and should be included in the Local Plan. However, this creates a clear shortfall in housing supply when compared with the Council's estimated supply, which we comment on further in these representations. The Council has already identified an appropriate location for approximately 2,500 dwellings on land under our control as a Single Urban Extension (Option 2b), an allocation that we support. In addition, our Vision Document illustrates how much of the remaining shortfall can also be delivered from our other landholdings, which have a combined capacity of around 5,000 homes. Our representations and the accompanying Vision Document detail how we can assist in supporting sustainable growth as well as countryside access and biodiversity enhancements to meet the Borough's needs.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683689	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682697	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682701			
1246592	LPIO-7679	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1000's to produce.						
1246594	LPIO-7717	yes	WBC remain with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1000's to produce						
1240903	LPIO-7766	yes	Regeneration of the existing housing stock, improvements to the brown belt sites using these as the main building areas.						
1246591	LPIO-7873	no							
1246596	LPIO-7925	yes	Use only brownfield sites.						
1246523	LPIO-7971	yes	Avoid any incursion into green belt and utilise brownfield sites effectively to provide a more realistic number of the housing needed.						
1243448	LPIO-799	yes	Each year, since 2008, WBC have produced their own Compendium of Statistics, which includes population statistics. This should be the primary source of data for assessing future demand.						
1240925	LPIO-8063	yes	Develop and deliver an open, evidence-based, methodology, with independent oversight, for calculating the Wirral's housing requirements. Plan to meet that requirement using brownfield sites. You have a duty, as custodians of our environment, to exhaust every opportunity before green-belt development can be considered exceptional and to prove that the need is genuine.						
1246598 Hoylake Vision	LPIO-8081	yes	Build in a calculation to the methodology that takes into account land availability constraints as a result of coastal location.						
1246605	LPIO-8104	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1000's to produce.						
1246612	LPIO-8229	yes	Follow the Compendium of Statistics, produced annually since 2008						
1237882	LPIO-8234	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1,000s to produce.						
1245044	LPIO-8276	yes	As set out in the evidence from the Wirral Green Space Alliance expert reports, your own consultant reports, the University of Liverpool / Manchester studies and the Wirral Compendium of Statistics and the reports from other experts						
1240399	LPIO-8277	yes	Greenbelt should simply not be built on. There are many brownfield sites that can be used to meet the quota.						
1244670	LPIO-8368	yes	My suggestion would be that Wirral Borough Council take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1000's to produce						
1237748	LPIO-8488	yes	Develop the argument for use of exceptional circumstances to justify the real housing need.						
1246624	LPIO-8495	yes	Considering WMBC commission (at some cost) their Compendium of Statistics every year, I suggest they utilise that.						
1240872	LPIO-8499	yes	Include Wirral Waters! You have the numbers from this development alone so why are they not being used fully. Remove the buffer you keep including as you already know we cannot meet the demand 12000 homes places on the Wirral. Do the calculation properly using correct growth forecasts. Use the Reports from Liverpool University to justify the true demand and need, The planning policy allows you to do this but as of yet WBC have chosen not to. Why? Create a business case for Wirral that clearly shows how the demand will arise. I have still not seen any evidence in this local plan that support the growth figures suggested. You can prepare your own calculation based on local knowledge this is compliant with national policy so use it!						
1246544	LPIO-8527	yes	..not really an alternative approach - but if the Government's own figures for required housing numbers have been reduced, why isn't this automatically reflected in a new lower figure for Wirral?						
1237832	LPIO-8584	yes	Produce a Plan that does actually reflect locally assessed need and incorporate it within this Plan as an Option, preferably Option A						
1243903	LPIO-8590	yes	A curb on properties over a certain size.						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.3 - Can you suggest an alternative approach that would also comply with national policy?	Question 2.3a - What would the alternative approach involve?	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246631	LPIO-8629	yes	I believe the Council should be using expert statisticians, in particular those from Liverpool University, to engage in further discussions with the Government about the validity of the national policy. Much has changed since the national policy was established, including many changes in personnel at the top of Government. Priorities have changed, with various environmental and ecological issues becoming more important, and it is likely that the current pandemic will lead to further reconsideration of existing plan. It is important for the Council to argue that Wirral has particular local circumstances and factors that mean it should be given individual consideration. All areas of the country will no doubt argue that they have unique circumstances, but there is nowhere else that has the particular geographical characteristics of Wirral. These characteristics have shaped the history of the whole peninsula and cannot be ignored to suit the convenience of central government planners.						
1237807	LPIO-8643	yes	Yes, challenge the policy in the context of Wirral. This is not and can never be a one size fits all situation. It is clear from the wildly conflicting advice from 'advisors' in the Covid 19 situation that our Civil Servants who dreamed up this nonsense have no idea how the rest of us want to live. They must be challenged.						
1246638	LPIO-8665	yes	i am not a planning expert. WBC should consult with those who are.						
1246622	LPIO-8702	yes	First the Deputy Council Leader and Local Plan Cabinet Lead has stated that no-one in the Council believes the 12,000 figure is correct. Second, WBC commissioned reports on Wirral's Housing Need from Liverpool and Manchester Universities but has dismissed them despite their eminence as they came up with figures a fraction of the Council's 12,000. Third, Wirral Green Space Alliance own expert statisticians have produced very detailed Reports, which arrive at similar figures to the University ones. The Queen's Speech, which reduces the national new homes target by 27% to 33%, it seems that any transparent appraisal will produce a similar range, most probably 2500 to 5000						
1246678	LPIO-9262	yes	Specialised studies have taken place specific to the requirements of Wirral, which produce a lower figure. These are the figures that should be taken into account as they are relevant to the declining population of Wirral - not the increasing population of the country.						
1246693	LPIO-9510	yes	Wirral Council produces a document called the Compendium of Statistics. This has been produced every year since 2008. These documents clearly show the population trends in Wirral and give a far more accurate basis for population/housing growth/requirements as its evidence based. This should be used instead of the Standard calculation which is a one size fits all approach for England and fails to differentiate between the North and South of the Country. Wirral is not London.						
1246699	LPIO-9519	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC use the Compendium of Statistics and sensible locally based population model						
1246705	LPIO-9541	yes	Require the national bodies involved to justify their approach to uniform population calculations, and encouraging population increase in an already over-populated world, by permitting immigration and funding existing population increase.						
1246712	LPIO-9555	yes	Please see our attached statement for our full case.						
1246691	LPIO-9560	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1000's to produce. Additionally experts from Liverpool and Manchester Universities calculate 2,500 - 6,000 properties are required at most - use this information.						
1246720	LPIO-9690	yes	as set out in answer to Q2.1 and 2.2						
1246719	LPIO-9753	yes	The "housing need" figures need to be challenged, reassessed and reduced to a more realistic level. Where are the future jobs to support such an increase in numbers? Any housing needs should ONLY be built on existing Brownfield sites across the Borough, thereby retaining the nature of this special place.						
1238147	LPIO-9759	yes	National Policy allows the deviation from the standard methodology where exceptional circumstances justify. The urgent need for urban regeneration justifies the use of more accurate 2016 household growth projections.						
1241337	LPIO-9778	yes	Government policy allows deviation from use of the standard method, given exceptional circumstances. Use of up to date population projection figures is surely acceptable as a reason to revise our plans - see also response to 2.2.						
1240711	LPIO-981	yes	Apply the Council's Compendium of Statistics which are produced each year...Studies conducted by Liverpool and Manchester Universities should also be consulted.						
1246724	LPIO-9826	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1000's to produce.						
1246724	LPIO-9844	yes	My suggestion would be that WBC take notice of and stick with their own Compendium of Statistics, which is produced every year, has been since 2008 and costs the tax payer £1000's to produce.						
1245994	LPIO-9926	yes	The Council should commission the University of Manchester to conduct a full and detailed independent study to provide the best possible projection for Wirral housing requirements over the period 2020-2035, and to identify any exceptional circumstances that are responsible for any differences between the Standard Method and the result of his study. This should then be presented to the independent Inspector appointed to under take the Local Plan Examination as supporting evidence for deviating from the Standard Method.						
1244412	LPIO-993	yes	the council uses its own Compendium of Statistics which are updated annually and are far more relevant than a standard methodology applied across the entire country.						

