

Person ID	ID	Question 8.4 - Do you have any views on our preferred approach for planning for Green and Blue Infrastructure within Wirral?	Question 8.4a - If Yes, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach?:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246776	LPIO-10297	yes	The Council has not said how it will protect the local environment – e.g. SSSIs, SMAs/SACs – once the EU Habitats Directives no longer apply (i.e. probably Jan 2021). I need reassurance and specific undertakings on this. To protect wildlife, should require any release of land for development to follow the mitigation hierarchy of 'avoid, mitigate and compensate'. The impact on other natural habitats should be avoided wherever possible and where damage cannot be avoided it should be mitigated (e.g. via housing or infrastructure design, translocation of species or repair of damaged habitat). Finally, any unavoidable residual loss of replaceable habitat should be compensated through offsetting to ensure no net loss for biodiversity in and around the location of the development. Developers should also demonstrate they have understood and compensated for the social or amenity value of lost green space to local communities. The offset should be based on a robust, independently verified set of metrics.						
1246544	LPIO-10318	yes	It is not clear how wildlife sites currently protected under the EU Habitats directive will be treated in future. SSSIs, SPAs, Ramsar sites must all have specific protection. Local wildlife sites should also be given strong protection						
1246559 (Wirral Wildlife Trust)	LPIO-10340		We are disappointed that this evidence based approach is not being used to inform the allocation, planning and development of sites. It is essential that planning to protect, enhance and create more blue and green infrastructure is strategic, exactly in the way planning for built infrastructure must be strategic. It is clear that the Green Infrastructure review and the Ecological network review may have been commissioned too late to inform the crucial decisions being taken in regards to land allocation. Nevertheless we are pleased that these important evidence based studies (including a Phase 1 survey of the borough) will be undertaken and we hope that they will be considered alongside other evidence as soon as they are released. High quality, well planned green and blue infrastructure (natural capital) is essential for the cultural, provisioning and regulating services it provides (ecosystem services) as well as its intrinsic value. It should be given the highest possible priority in the decision making process. Green Infrastructure in the built environment (multi-functional greenspace) should adopt approved quality standards such as BREEAM or Building with Nature in order to secure tangible benefits to the community and the environment.						
1241065	LPIO-10483	yes	Make sure it is reviewed with evidence regularly						
1241337	LPIO-10535	yes	I support the proposed approach but feel that it needs to be communicated very widely and imaginatively to Wirral residents so that there is a much better understanding of why this plan is so essential. and why the plan must be followed through to actions.						
1246724	LPIO-10576	yes	You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan.						
1248825	LPIO-10714	yes	High quality green infrastructure is integral to the Wirral Waters vision and creating a distinct sense of place to increase the attractiveness to future residents and change the perception of Wirral Waters and the surrounding area. Capital funding is required to deliver large scale city greening and high quality streets which comprise key routes through / to the surrounding areas.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684264					
1246242	LPIO-10992		The Council need to take an honest approach with Wirral residents. Adhere to the Compendium of Statistics figures for housing, develop Wirral Waters and Brownfield sites. Scrap Option 2B and ensure NO GREEN BELT IS DEVELOPED.						
1243890	LPIO-1138	no							
1247286	LPIO-11468		<p>The UDP Topic: Agriculture: AGR1 the Protection of Agriculture Strategic Policy States expresses that in considering proposals for development on agricultural land, the local planning authority will seek to prevent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The loss of Wirral's best and most versatile agricultural land • The severance or fragmentation of farm holding • Unacceptable nuisance or disturbance to exiting agricultural enterprise If development on such agricultural land is permitted, these points would be contravened. The loss will be permanent. Why? • Data proves that agriculture is still of considerable significance in terms of its impact on the economy of Wirral. Post BREXIT, this is of even greater importance. • Policy AGR1 emphasise that national planning policy places emphasis upon sustaining the rural economy and protecting the countryside. All Wirral's rural areas fall within the Green Belt. • In Wirral, over half of the land used for agriculture is classified within the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF). Land of this quality is of national importance which should normally be protected from development. • AGR1 seeks to protect land of poorer quality to protect parcels of land incapable of future agricultural use due to fragmentation • Adjacent development disturbance can have operational implications for cropping patterns and livestock husbandry <p>The Secretary of State's Strategic Guidance for Merseyside specifically states that development allowed near farms needs to be such as to avoid incompatible land use.</p>						
1247302	LPIO-11506		I do not want the irreplaceable greenbelt disposed off especially now with the environmental prospects in danger.						
1247196	LPIO-11614		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.4 - Do you have any views on our preferred approach for planning for Green and Blue Infrastructure within Wirral?	Question 8.4a - If Yes, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach?:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247379	LPIO-12084		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247380	LPIO-12085		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247381	LPIO-12086		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247382	LPIO-12087		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247383	LPIO-12088		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247385	LPIO-12089		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247386	LPIO-12090		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247387	LPIO-12091		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247388	LPIO-12092		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247389	LPIO-12093		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247390	LPIO-12094		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247391	LPIO-12095		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247392	LPIO-12096		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247393	LPIO-12097		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247394	LPIO-12098		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247214	LPIO-12444		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247492	LPIO-12549		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1240843	LPIO-12705		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.4 - Do you have any views on our preferred approach for planning for Green and Blue Infrastructure within Wirral?	Question 8.4a - If Yes, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach?:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247558	LPIO-12792		I do not want the irreplaceable greenbelt disposed off especially now with the environmental prospects in danger.						
1247578	LPIO-12904		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247510	LPIO-13028		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1246335	LPIO-13166		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1246853	LPIO-13419		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1246852	LPIO-13543		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247746	LPIO-13699		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247228	LPIO-13737		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247747	LPIO-13750		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1244681	LPIO-1387	yes	The Council has not said how it will protect the local environment – e.g. SSSIs, SMAs/SACs – once the EU Habitats Directives no longer apply (i.e. probably Jan 2021). I need reassurance and specific undertakings on this. To protect wildlife, should require any release of land for development to follow the mitigation hierarchy of ‘avoid, mitigate and compensate’. The impact on other natural habitats should be avoided wherever possible and where damage cannot be avoided it should be mitigated (e.g. via housing or infrastructure design, translocation of species or repair of damaged habitat). Finally, any unavoidable residual loss of replaceable habitat should be compensated through offsetting to ensure no net loss for biodiversity in and around the location of the development. Developers should also demonstrate they have understood and compensated for the social or amenity value of lost green space to local communities. The offset should be based on a robust, independently verified set of metrics.						
1242183	LPIO-14017		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247218	LPIO-14112		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247219	LPIO-14215		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247220	LPIO-14313		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247222	LPIO-14442		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247226	LPIO-14532		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247245	LPIO-14629		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247829	LPIO-14669		I agree with most of the demands on principle (although they could be better organised) but they are mostly overarching requirements underlying the whole planning process and the whole Local Plan. Although developers must be aware that they need to consider them all (and many others, eg building regulations) when they prepare a planning application, it is the Council who needs to check that the building project complies with the Council's requirements (ie of its present inhabitants!) But of course potential developers need to know the constraints, in a broad context (eg "climate change") and more concrete sense "need to build in an energy and water saving manner etc).						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.4 - Do you have any views on our preferred approach for planning for Green and Blue Infrastructure within Wirral?	Question 8.4a - If Yes, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach?:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247829	LPIO-14680		'Greening' areas would facilitate the rainwater absorption process (as well as assisting with the aim of reducing atmospheric carbon dioxide). There is no reason why, as stipulated in the main document, there would not be space in urban areas (such as Birkenhead and Wirral Waters) to accommodate some 'greening', around public and private open spaces, car parks, access pathways. Greening could involve, where possible and safe, establishing a strip of grass between the pavement and the road, planting hedges as well as individual shrubs and trees. This would contribute to climate (and environmental) resilience. Clearly, Greening measures would most easily be planned and implemented in new developments. In all housing developments, there should be provision for some Garden space, including a few beds for vegetables and fruit, as home growing may cut food miles and encourage people to move away from eating meat, measures that alleviate climate change. (Reduced dependence on meat, therefore on ruminants, reduces production of methane which is a powerful contributor to 'greenhouse gases'). Good garden space, set aside away from digging for the house foundations, may help save valuable soil which stores carbon. This may compensate for the almost inevitable net carbon release, even by ecologically well designed and realised houses and industrial / commercial buildings. All development, for housing and other uses) should prevent pollution of air, water, land while also reduce noise and light pollution levels, for the comfort and health of humans and visiting animals, eg bats foraging around buildings. Please heed my criticism of the Plan and my suggestions for improvement - for the good of Wirral and all its inhabitants and visitors.						
1246827	LPIO-14748		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1243700	LPIO-1505	yes	The plan is not honest. Its first priority above all else is to build 12,000 over 15 years. Green and blue infrastructure is mentioned as an appeasement for the plans destructive intent.						
1247864	LPIO-15195		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247865	LPIO-15212		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247866	LPIO-15231		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247867	LPIO-15241		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247868	LPIO-15254		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247873	LPIO-15271		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247869	LPIO-15294		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247246	LPIO-15368		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247870	LPIO-15398		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247871	LPIO-15429		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247872	LPIO-15476		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247248	LPIO-15498		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.4 - Do you have any views on our preferred approach for planning for Green and Blue Infrastructure within Wirral?	Question 8.4a - If Yes, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach?:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247251	LPIO-15590		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247252	LPIO-15677		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247274	LPIO-15781		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247275	LPIO-15934		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1241381	LPIO-160	yes	The approach that is spelt out seems wide and generally positive, whilst seriously lacking in detail.. 1. work has already been done within the council on planting wild flowers... management of road verges 2. and wild life corridors These issues need consideration and then implementation for their own value, but also should be used to get the wider [often critical!] public on board and continuing to be interested						
1247936	LPIO-16049		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247287	LPIO-16243		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247344	LPIO-16331		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247349	LPIO-16418		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247353	LPIO-16506		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247354	LPIO-16601		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247434	LPIO-16698		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1244969	LPIO-1672	yes	No development on Green Belt. The Wirral Waters project provides a broad canvas to allow for the provision of Green Infrastructure within both new communities and employment land benefiting all Wirral residents						
1247436	LPIO-16808		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247437	LPIO-16994		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247439	LPIO-16995		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247441	LPIO-17105		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247960	LPIO-17226		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247962	LPIO-17315		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247965	LPIO-17348	yes	Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247966	LPIO-17423		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247967	LPIO-17437		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1247971	LPIO-17524		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1241726	LPIO-17624		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247979	LPIO-17780		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247980	LPIO-17781		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1245502	LPIO-17914		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247541	LPIO-18018		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247539	LPIO-18123		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1247996	LPIO-18292		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1237857	LPIO-18342		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan.						
1245060	LPIO-1906		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.4 - Do you have any views on our preferred approach for planning for Green and Blue Infrastructure within Wirral?	Question 8.4a - If Yes, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach?:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246634	LPIO-19445		When such development is considered It is vital that green sustainable issues should be considered. Housing should have access to green spaces within walking distance and the planting of trees should be a priority.						
1242519	LPIO-1998	yes	Wirral council are not being honest about this plan .						
1246851	LPIO-21200		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1246918	LPIO-21415		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1246924	LPIO-21416		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1246928	LPIO-21417		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1246920	LPIO-21613		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1246926	LPIO-21614		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1245112	LPIO-2185	no							
1248438	LPIO-23798		We support the principle of the Council's Green and Blue Infrastructure Standards and its Tree Planting Strategy. These are largely consistent with the NPPF and when implemented should deliver net gains. The protection and enhancement of natural environmental assets goes hand in hand with the Green and Blue Infrastructure Standards; we support the aspiration to provide net gains in biodiversity and establish coherent ecological networks wherever possible.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684850	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5657890				
1242185	LPIO-23943	yes	We hope the Local Plan achieves enough Green and Blue Infrastructure. Our rural areas and greenspace are incredibly vulnerable without up to date policies in place. We appreciate that the evidence base includes the Wirral Landscape Character Assessment 2019, the Draft Wirral Open Space Assessment 2019 and the Wirral Strategic Flood Risk Assessment 2019. We recommend urban areas with a notable greenspace gap have new greenspace provided to promote the health and well-being of communities, and for biodiversity, and enhancement of ecosystems. Greater Manchester Combined Authorities planning team have partnered Natural England and Ordnance Survey to progress a useful open space and green infrastructure layers on the mapping that supports decisions on the allocation of strategic sites as part of the Greater Manchester Strategic Framework. We recommend the Wirral planning team adopts a similar approach. See https://mappinggm.org.uk/gmodin/	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684263	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5657006			
1248496 (United Utilities)	LPIO-24201		Green infrastructure should address surface water management and be used to address Climate Change as it helps to mitigate the impacts of high temperatures, combat emissions, maintain or enhance biodiversity and reduce flood risk. There should be a wider commitment for development to include suitable provision of green infrastructure in the Local Plan and we would wish to see clear linkage with sustainable drainage so opportunities for holistic development are not missed.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684806					
1248496 (United Utilities)	LPIO-24214		United Utilities believe that any new development should set out how it achieves a significant volume reduction in surface water discharge with no surface water discharging to the existing public combined sewerage network as a standard expectation. There is a real opportunity to target a significant reduction in the surface water run-off rate and proposed green infrastructure will help achieve that. At this early stage, there are also opportunities for mechanisms to be put in place, to include all stakeholders, to ensure a new greener environment across the urban areas within the Borough that will create options to create the multi-functional green infrastructure that encourage benefits like options for sustainable drainage. The challenge is to relate this to a holistic delivery mechanism that is managed through planning policy. We would recommend the following policy is used: "Development within urban areas should allocate space for the management of surface water through the use of sustainable drainage systems with multi-functional benefits as part of a high quality green and blue water environment, in line with policy **** (green infrastructure). New development will be expected to incorporate exemplary Sustainable Drainage methods and follow the surface water hierarchy."	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684806					
1248496 (United Utilities)	LPIO-24217		The Local Plan should create a link between green/blue infrastructure and any open space requirements. One example is to use the Local Plan to define proposed informal open space and the relationship to SuDS provision/green infrastructure requirements. Defining the relationship between open space and green infrastructure will provide future applicants with a clarity on expectations from going forward. It will also provide greater ability to influence the relationship between green/blue infrastructure and open space as applications are being determined.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684806					
1247798	LPIO-24265		We support the principle of the Council's Green and Blue Infrastructure Standards and its Tree Planting Strategy. These are largely consistent with the NPPF and when implemented should deliver net gains. The protection and enhancement of natural environmental assets goes hand in hand with the Green and Blue Infrastructure Standards; we support the aspiration to provide net gains in biodiversity and establish coherent ecological networks wherever possible.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684846					

Person ID	ID	Question 8.4 - Do you have any views on our preferred approach for planning for Green and Blue Infrastructure within Wirral?	Question 8.4a - If Yes, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach?:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248557 (Environment Agency)	LPIO-24459		We welcome the Plan appears to recognise the importance Green and Blue Infrastructure will have to achieving sustainable growth within the Borough. We also welcome the identification of existing work already undertaken as well as the proposal to undertake new work such as; Defining City Region Core biodiversity assets; Identifying Nature Improvement Areas; Updated Phase 1 Habitat Survey; Produce a comprehensive Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy. Key to achieving good quality interconnected green and blue infrastructure will be taking advantage of the Natural Capital Assessment work undertaken by the LCR Natural Capital Group. We welcome '...implications for local areas will be determined by the Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy and included in policies for land allocations and development management'. While this statement makes it clear this will be an absolute requirement the 'Preferred Approach' weakens this requirement by stating 'Where appropriate, new development will be required to contribute towards the provision, protection and enhancement of green and blue infrastructure, whether on site or through developer contributions'. Some context in to what would be considered 'appropriate' would perhaps clarify this statement for the future avoidance of doubt. While preservation of local wildlife and ecology is referenced, development proposals are only assessed against their contribution to "internationally important wildlife" (pp. 131), and stronger language to ensure protections for all designated species would be encouraged. The Preferred Approach should also be assessed with/against the LCR Natural Capital work undertaken.						
1248567	LPIO-24525		There is a wide definition of the historic environment which includes not only areas and buildings but also the landscape, green and blue infrastructure and townscape components. The natural environment within the Wirral makes an important contribution to the area. A positive approach to green and blue infrastructure should be about recognizing the value and potential of all the green and blue spaces within an area, their connectedness and the ecosystem services that they can provide. The Plan would benefit from recognising that the green infrastructure network is also essential to the movement and migration of species and people around the Wirral. It is also important to recognise that the more historic an element of green infrastructure, the greater the value both culturally and ecologically it is likely to have. Green Infrastructure makes an important contribution to the character and appearance of the Wirral. It is important that the Framework recognises that green and blue infrastructure encompasses everything from geological to human and everything in-between. Heritage assets are an important element of green infrastructure as they generally preserve both cultural and historic significance and can often also have natural significance as it can have the most diverse flora and fauna (due to their historical survival). The protection and enhancement of the many heritage assets associated with waterbodies and man-made waterways, many of which could make significant contributions to biodiversity and cultural activity within the Wirral should also be included. May include historical infrastructure whether it be canals, weirs, bridges and culverts for example which are also heritage assets in their own rights.						
1248571 (Cheshire West and Chester Council)	LPIO-24547		The Council supports the preferred approach to manage access to the coast, to avoid harm to European sites and their supporting habitat, and only to improve public access to the coast and countryside, subject to the protection of European Sites and their supporting habitat. It is noted that the preferred approach is likely to require new residential development within 5km of the coast, to provide mitigation for recreational disturbance, and that work with LCR Combined Authority is ongoing to address this issue on a City Region wide basis. It is further noted that a Visitor Management Strategy is currently being prepared (paragraph 8.27) to ensure LCR authorities meet their legal requirements, to protect internationally important wildlife sites from the implications of growth, through a comprehensive mitigation strategy, funded through developer contributions.						
1246559 (Wirral Wildlife Trust)	LPIO-24561		We are disappointed that this evidence based approach is not being used to inform the allocation, planning and development of sites. It is essential that planning to protect, enhance and create more blue and green infrastructure is strategic, exactly in the way planning for built infrastructure must be strategic. It is clear that the Green Infrastructure review and the Ecological network review may have been commissioned too late to inform the crucial decisions being taken in regards to land allocation. Nevertheless we are pleased that these important evidence based studies (including a Phase 1 survey of the borough) will be undertaken and we hope that they will be considered alongside other evidence as soon as they are released. High quality, well planned green and blue infrastructure (natural capital) is essential for the cultural, provisioning and regulating services it provides (ecosystem services) as well as its intrinsic value. It should be given the highest possible priority in the decision making process. Green Infrastructure in the built environment (multi-functional greenspace) should adopt approved quality standards such as BREEAM or Building with Nature in order to secure tangible benefits to the community and the environment.						
1244826	LPIO-2466	yes							
1242697	LPIO-24714		In general, the Council should preserve and enhance green space for the public, even if that space is in private ownership. Green space enhances wellbeing however used – from a pleasant sight towards private space in passing, through walking in greenspace or along footpaths, or sport activity in parks, sports fields. This policy is undermined by the release of Green Belt and other green space for development.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659118	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659119	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659120	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121		
1248749	LPIO-24901		Generally agree with the Council's preferred approach. This suggests that the allocations within the Draft Local Plan will be chosen following an assessment of their contribution to the avoidance and mitigation on internally important wildlife. Whilst we support this, we retain the right to comment once the Draft Local Plan is published and once the full Appropriate Assessment is consulted upon. We also reserve the right to comment once we see the Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy, Visitor Management Strategy, Tree Strategy and the relevant policies in the Draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684847	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684848	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684845			

Person ID	ID	Question 8.4 - Do you have any views on our preferred approach for planning for Green and Blue Infrastructure within Wirral?	Question 8.4a - If Yes, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach?:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248524	LPIO-24918		The health and well-being of Wirral's people is at stake when the Local Plan is prepared. We must plan in a more sustainable way in the future and make sure our air, land, and water are free of pollutants, and that we have enough green infrastructure, including trees and hedgerows to filter contaminants and make the area a healthy place to live, work and visit. Our rural areas and greenspace are incredibly vulnerable without up to date policies in place. We genuinely hope that the planning team progresses the draft Local Plan for submission to the Secretary of State for examination accordingly. We are keen for an improved Local Plan to be adopted without delay, containing the right mix of policies and allocations to look after our greenspaces, whilst delivering enough jobs and houses, including affordable ones.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684261					
1244901	LPIO-2497	yes	All current green space should be protected and preserved for future generations.						
1248769	LPIO-25020		Generally agree with the Council's preferred approach. This suggests that the allocations within the Draft Local Plan will be chosen following an assessment of their contribution to the avoidance and mitigation on internally important wildlife. Whilst we support this, we retain the right to comment once the Draft Local Plan is published and once the full Appropriate Assessment is consulted upon. We also reserve the right to comment once we see the Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy, Visitor Management Strategy, Tree Strategy and the relevant policies in the Draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659045	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684957	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659039	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659038	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684956	
1248823	LPIO-25124		Generally agree with the Council's preferred approach. This suggests that the allocations within the Draft Local Plan will be chosen following an assessment of their contribution to the avoidance and mitigation on internally important wildlife. Whilst we support this, we retain the right to comment once the Draft Local Plan is published and once the full Appropriate Assessment is consulted upon. We also reserve the right to comment once we see the Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy, Visitor Management Strategy, Tree Strategy and the relevant policies in the Draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674317	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684865	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684849			
1248832	LPIO-25234		Generally agree with the Council's preferred approach. This suggests that the allocations within the Draft Local Plan will be chosen following an assessment of their contribution to the avoidance and mitigation on internally important wildlife. Whilst we support this, we retain the right to comment once the Draft Local Plan is published and once the full Appropriate Assessment is consulted upon. We also reserve the right to comment once we see the Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy, Visitor Management Strategy, Tree Strategy and the relevant policies in the Draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684857	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659562				
1248833	LPIO-25338		Generally agree with the Council's preferred approach. This suggests that the allocations within the Draft Local Plan will be chosen following an assessment of their contribution to the avoidance and mitigation on internally important wildlife. Whilst we support this, we retain the right to comment once the Draft Local Plan is published and once the full Appropriate Assessment is consulted upon. We also reserve the right to comment once we see the Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy, Visitor Management Strategy, Tree Strategy and the relevant policies in the Draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661125	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661100	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661124	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661129		
1248956	LPIO-25417		Generally agree with the Council's preferred approach for planning for Blue and Green Infrastructure. We note that as part of the preferred approach 'all development proposal and land allocations will be assessed against their contributions to the avoidance and mitigation of an adverse impact on internally important wildlife'. Whilst we take no issue with this approach, we retain the right to comment on the land allocations in this regard once the Draft Local Plan is published and once the full Appropriate Assessment is consulted upon. We also reserve the right to comment once we see the Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy, Visitor Management Strategy, Tree Strategy and the relevant policies in the Draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677474	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684859				
1248986	LPIO-25548		Generally agree with the Council's preferred approach. This suggests that the allocations within the Draft Local Plan will be chosen following an assessment of their contribution to the avoidance and mitigation on internally important wildlife. Whilst we support this, we retain the right to comment once the Draft Local Plan is published and once the full Appropriate Assessment is consulted upon. We also reserve the right to comment once we see the Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy, Visitor Management Strategy, Tree Strategy and the relevant policies in the Draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662723	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662725	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662770			
1249015	LPIO-25605	yes	Generally agree with the Council's preferred approach for planning for Blue and Green Infrastructure. We note that as part of the preferred approach 'all development proposal and land allocations will be assessed against their contributions to the avoidance and mitigation of an adverse impact on internally important wildlife'. Whilst we support this approach, we retain the right to comment on the land allocations in this regard once the Draft Local Plan is published and once the full Appropriate Assessment is consulted upon. We also reserve the right to comment once we see the Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy, Visitor Management Strategy, Tree Strategy and the relevant policies in the Draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684897					
1246458	LPIO-25808		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1246459	LPIO-25809		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1249116	LPIO-25942		We do not, in principle, object to the Council's preferred approach for planning for green and blue infrastructure but would not support any policy requirement which would render developments unviable or undeliverable and reserve the right to comment when further detail is released at a later stage of the process.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674092	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684836	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674096	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674095	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674093	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684833
1237870	LPIO-2598	yes	Yes ! The Council have a reputation amongst the voting public for disguising / manipulating the truth regarding the planned use of GREENBELT LAND. Be HONEST !! We DON'T need 12,000 new homes. We DON'T need to encroach / build on GREENBELT This questionnaire is designed so that the public will look at it and say "I can't be bothered" !! Another Council ploy ?? It is FAR TOO LONG. I have spent many hours on it so far and STILL have not responded to all questions.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.4 - Do you have any views on our preferred approach for planning for Green and Blue Infrastructure within Wirral?	Question 8.4a - If Yes, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach?:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1249100	LPIO-25996 1 of 3		Generally agree with the Council's preferred approach for planning for Blue and Green Infrastructure. We note that as part of the preferred approach 'all development proposal and land allocations will be assessed against their contributions to the avoidance and mitigation of an adverse impact on internally important wildlife'. Whilst we support this approach, we retain the right to comment on the land allocations in this regard once the Draft Local Plan is published and once the full Appropriate Assessment is consulted upon. We also reserve the right to comment once we see the Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy, Visitor Management Strategy, Tree Strategy and the relevant policies in the Draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677514	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677512	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684898	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677513	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677509	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684951
1249100	LPIO-25996 2 of 3		Generally agree with the Council's preferred approach for planning for Blue and Green Infrastructure. We note that as part of the preferred approach 'all development proposal and land allocations will be assessed against their contributions to the avoidance and mitigation of an adverse impact on internally important wildlife'. Whilst we support this approach, we retain the right to comment on the land allocations in this regard once the Draft Local Plan is published and once the full Appropriate Assessment is consulted upon. We also reserve the right to comment once we see the Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy, Visitor Management Strategy, Tree Strategy and the relevant policies in the Draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677510	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684895	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677508	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677511	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684949	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677516
1249100	LPIO-25996 3 of 3		Generally agree with the Council's preferred approach for planning for Blue and Green Infrastructure. We note that as part of the preferred approach 'all development proposal and land allocations will be assessed against their contributions to the avoidance and mitigation of an adverse impact on internally important wildlife'. Whilst we support this approach, we retain the right to comment on the land allocations in this regard once the Draft Local Plan is published and once the full Appropriate Assessment is consulted upon. We also reserve the right to comment once we see the Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy, Visitor Management Strategy, Tree Strategy and the relevant policies in the Draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677507					
1249116	LPIO-25999 1 of 2		We do not, in principle, object to the Council's preferred approach for planning for green and blue infrastructure but would not support any policy requirement which would render developments unviable or undeliverable and reserve the right to comment when further detail is released at a later stage of the process.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675698	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675693	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675700	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675692	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675697	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675694
1249116	LPIO-25999 2 of 2		We do not, in principle, object to the Council's preferred approach for planning for green and blue infrastructure but would not support any policy requirement which would render developments unviable or undeliverable and reserve the right to comment when further detail is released at a later stage of the process.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675696					
1249116	LPIO-26044		We do not, in principle, object to the Council's preferred approach for planning for green and blue infrastructure but would not support any policy requirement which would render developments unviable or undeliverable and reserve the right to comment when further detail is released at a later stage of the process.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684802	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684835	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677041	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677037	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684804	
1249116	LPIO-26078		We do not, in principle, object to the Council's preferred approach for planning for green and blue infrastructure but would not support any policy requirement which would render developments unviable or undeliverable and reserve the right to comment when further detail is released at a later stage of the process.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674240	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684832	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674256	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684834	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684837	
1249782	LPIO-26439		We do not have any particular comments to make on this matter at this stage, albeit reserve the right to comment once we see the Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy, Visitor Management Strategy, Tree Strategy and the relevant policies in the Draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683892					
1240932	LPIO-26628		Generally, as the steward of a significant part of Wirral, we support the Council's Preferred Approaches to Green and Blue Infrastructure Standards, New Development and its Tree Planting Strategy and await the Council's comprehensive Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy which is currently being prepared as evidence to support the emerging Local Plan. The attached Vision Document outlines how enhancements to biodiversity assets and countryside access as well as the creation of new accessible woodland and recreational open space can be achieved in line with the advice set out in the Framework as part of a holistic approach to development across the landholding through the Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683689	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682697	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682701			
1248223	LPIO-26708		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1248224	LPIO-26709		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1248226	LPIO-26734		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1248225	LPIO-26735		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1248228	LPIO-26760		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.4 - Do you have any views on our preferred approach for planning for Green and Blue Infrastructure within Wirral?	Question 8.4a - If Yes, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach?:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1250049	LPIO-27208		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1250048	LPIO-27209		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1248180	LPIO-27220		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1248181	LPIO-27239		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1250054	LPIO-27261		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1248213	LPIO-27265		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1250059	LPIO-27293		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1250053	LPIO-27294		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1250055	LPIO-27295		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1250058	LPIO-27326		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1250057	LPIO-27328		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1250062	LPIO-27338		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1250063	LPIO-27359		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1250065	LPIO-27372		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1250067	LPIO-27385		Green and Blue Infrastructure. I support the preferred approach in setting standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of parks and gardens; natural and semi-natural greenspace; outdoor sports provision; amenity greenspace; provision for children and young people and allotments. These sites should be protected.						
1245180	LPIO-2764	yes	You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.4 - Do you have any views on our preferred approach for planning for Green and Blue Infrastructure within Wirral?	Question 8.4a - If Yes, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach?:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245100	LPIO-2765	yes	The council has not said HOW it will protect the local environment eg SSSIs, SMAs/SACs, once the EU Habitats Directives no longer apply. I need reassurance and specific undertakings on this. To protect wildlife any release of land should follow the mitigation hierarchy of "avoid, mitigate, and compensate." The impact on other natural habitats should be avoided wherever possible, but, where damage cannot be avoided, it should be mitigated by either housing or infrastructure design, translocation of affected species or repair of damaged habitat. Finally any avoidable residual loss of replaceable habitat should be compensated through offsetting to ensure no net loss for bio-diversity in and around the location of the development. Developers should also demonstrate that they have understood and compensated for the social or amenity value of lost green space to local communities. The offset should be based on a robust, independently verified set of metrics.						
1248546 (Wirral Wildlife)	LPIO-2879	no	We support the Council's approach in general, but the Green and Blue Infrastructure Study should have been done before sites were put forward for possible development, both in and out of the urban areas, as only a limited number of key networks and linkages were identified in the LCR ecological study. Since there is little choice over where networks can go to link existing sites, it is vital that proposed development does not cut any such links. This study was only commissioned in February 2020, so is not part of this consultation, and will not be fully complete by the time of the next stage of the plan (Regulation 19). This is not good enough: this information must be taken into account before further decisions are made about location of development, to achieve a "sound" Plan. If any greenfield development is done, then adequate buffer zones are vital along all watercourses, LWS, SSSIs and other priority habitats. Because of the pressure to fell "dangerous" trees when within falling distance of public areas, houses and gardens, it is vital that all habitats involving trees have enough buffer (of shrubs, grassland etc) that there is no development within tree falling distance i.e.50m. This is why we ask for 50m wide buffers to all natural assets. Where water tables of wetlands maybe affected e.g. Thurstaston Common damp heathland, buffers may need to be even larger, depending on the underground hydrology. Technical note: it is 35 years since the old Phase 1 study was done, so it is well due for renewal. However, it would be better to use the new "UK Habitat Classification" rather than the old Phase 1 classification, as the new one is much better at recording different types within main habitats, especially grasslands.						
1245159	LPIO-3072	yes	Parks should be better maintained and Green Space at the Stables Field on Rectory Road, West Kirby protected. Parks should have absolute protection from development. The field at St Bridget's School should be listed as a playing field and protected under the Local Plan.						
1245287	LPIO-3166	no							
1238645	LPIO-3187	yes	The Council has not said how it will protect the local environment – e.g. SSSIs, SMAs/SACs – once the EU Habitats Directives no longer apply (i.e. probably Jan 2021). To protect wildlife, should require any release of land for development to follow the mitigation hierarchy of 'avoid, mitigate and compensate'. The impact on other natural habitats should be avoided wherever possible and where damage cannot be avoided it should be mitigated (e.g. via housing or infrastructure design, translocation of species or repair of damaged habitat). Finally, any unavoidable residual loss of replaceable habitat should be compensated through offsetting to ensure no net loss for biodiversity in and around the location of the development. Developers should also demonstrate they have understood and compensated for the social or amenity value of lost green space to local communities. The offset should be based on a robust, independently verified set of metrics						
1241315	LPIO-3311	yes	You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan.						
1238835	LPIO-3432	yes	We need to raise woodland cover to more than 10% if the Governments aim is to have 12% tree cover by 2060. We need to increase the amount of green open spaces, well in advance of the current suggestion, for a variety of reasons, including: Leisure Health and Well being Mental health improvements Ecological benefits Meet our climate change obligations The list is endless. However, one issue that continues to irk me is the fact that I don't think Council is being honest with its residents. You need to be honest with them and stop hiding behind a documents such as this, which are are designed to confuse and frustrate.						
1237944	LPIO-3448	no							
1245451	LPIO-3582	yes	Parks are an important multi-use and multi-purpose facility. They should be substantially better maintained. Green Space at the Stables Field on Rectory Road, West Kirby should be protected and designated as Local Green Space. Parks should have absolute protection from development.						
1245462	LPIO-3640	yes	Parks should be better maintained and the Green Space at the Stables Field on Rectory Road, West Kirby protected and designated as Local Green Space. Parks should have absolute protection from development.						
1237827	LPIO-3823	yes	Need to specifically protect the coastal strip, west of the Wirral Way, from further development. This is a hugely important part of the Wirral, being functionally linked to the Dee Estuary SSI/Ramsar. There should be a specific statement within the Local Plan to protect this area, its habitat and its wildlife.						
1245288	LPIO-3892	no							
1240939	LPIO-4172	no							

Person ID	ID	Question 8.4 - Do you have any views on our preferred approach for planning for Green and Blue Infrastructure within Wirral?	Question 8.4a - If Yes, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach?:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245346	LPIO-4236	yes	The Council has not said how it will protect the local environment – e.g. SSSIs, SMAs/SACs – once the EU Habitats Directives no longer apply (i.e. probably Jan 2021). I need reassurance and specific undertakings on this. To protect wildlife, should require any release of land for development to follow the mitigation hierarchy of 'avoid, mitigate and compensate'. The impact on other natural habitats should be avoided wherever possible and where damage cannot be avoided it should be mitigated (e.g. via housing or infrastructure design, translocation of species or repair of damaged habitat). Finally, any unavoidable residual loss of replaceable habitat should be compensated through offsetting to ensure no net loss for biodiversity in and around the location of the development. Developers should also demonstrate they have understood and compensated for the social or amenity value of lost green space to local communities. The offset should be based on a robust, independently verified set of metrics.						
1245638	LPIO-4306	yes	You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan.						
1245416	LPIO-4653	yes							
1244720	LPIO-4729	yes	New house and other building essentially is a threat to our environment. If for example, areas of greenfield were to be developed, there is no way that the resulting damage to our environment could possibly be mitigated by the developer. If we are building in already existing urban areas, the least we can do is to plan these with a view to having as small a footprint as possible, and to mitigate by creating green spaces and corridors to improve amenity and to help wildlife to exist in these areas. Good planning could incorporate water features, cycleways, community squares, small coppices, and drinking fountains for example.						
1244629	LPIO-4796	yes	The Council needs to be honest and sincere in their approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan, there is too much going on behind closed doors and too much opaqueness about decision making.						
1243171	LPIO-4978	yes	WFPOSPS supports these objectives						
1237923	LPIO-5092	yes	I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan and the council should be more honest and sincere in its approach to the residents it serves.						
1245713	LPIO-5119	no							
1245501	LPIO-5146	yes	My feeling from reading your plan and communication that has been released by Wirral Council leading up to this point is contradictory. Part of the plan wants to preserve existing green areas and open spaces, yet another part has the intention to release large 'weakly performing' tracts of green open space for new residential development.						
1240383	LPIO-5448	yes	We support WBC in its efforts to address climate change. It is important to acknowledge the need to incorporate Green infrastructure into all regeneration projects for the benefit of climate change and the health and well-being of the residents of Wirral						
1242541	LPIO-5684	yes	The Council has not said how it will protect the local environment – e.g. SSSIs, SMAs/SACs – once the EU Habitats Directives no longer apply (i.e. probably Jan 2021). I need reassurance and specific undertakings on this. To protect wildlife, should require any release of land for development to follow the mitigation hierarchy of 'avoid, mitigate and compensate'. The impact on other natural habitats should be avoided wherever possible and where damage cannot be avoided it should be mitigated (e.g. via housing or infrastructure design, translocation of species or repair of damaged habitat). Finally, any unavoidable residual loss of replaceable habitat should be compensated through offsetting to ensure no net loss for biodiversity in and around the location of the development. Developers should also demonstrate they have understood and compensated for the social or amenity value of lost green space to local communities. The offset should be based on a robust, independently verified set of metrics.						
1245984	LPIO-5761		The phrasing of the preferred approach for Green and Blue infrastructure standards states "where appropriate, new development will be required to contribute towards the provision, protection and enhancement of Green and Blue infrastructure, whether on site or through developer contributions". We object to the phrasing "where appropriate" as it should always be appropriate given the magnitude of the climate emergency. It should always be the first priority.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.4 - Do you have any views on our preferred approach for planning for Green and Blue Infrastructure within Wirral?	Question 8.4a - If Yes, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach?:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1244896	LPIO-5885	yes	We hope the Local Plan achieves enough Green and Blue Infrastructure and joins WGSAs in recommending the Wirral planning team adopts a similar approach to that of the Greater Manchester Combined Authority in progressing its Strategic Framework. See https://mappinggm.org.uk/gmodin/ . We agree with Wirral Wildlife's contention that the Green and Blue Infrastructure Study should have been done BEFORE sites were short-listed for possible development, both in and out of the urban areas, as only a limited number of key networks and linkages were identified in the earlier LCR study. Since there is little choice over where networks can go to link existing sites, it is vital that proposed development does not cut any such links. This Study, only commissioned in February 2020, is not part of this Consultation, and won't be complete by the next stage of the LP (Reg 19). This is not good enough: this information must be taken into account before further decisions are made about location of development, to achieve a "sound" Plan. If any greenfield development occurs, adequate buffer zones are essential along all watercourses, LWS, SSSIs and other priority habitats. Because of the pressure to fell "dangerous" trees within falling distance of public areas, houses and gardens, it is vital all habitats involving trees have enough buffer (of shrubs, grassland, etc.) with no development within tree-falling distance i.e.50m – same width for all natural assets. Where water tables of wetlands may be affected, e.g. Thurstaston Common damp heathland, buffers may need to be even larger, depending on underground hydrology. We appreciate the Evidence Base includes the 'Wirral Landscape Character Assessment 2019', 'Draft Wirral Open Space Assessment 2019' and 'Wirral Strategic Flood Risk Assessment 2019'. These need to be better employed in completing and improving the currently deficient assessments throughout the Evidence Base.						
1246310	LPIO-5969	yes	You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan.						
1245767	LPIO-6042	yes	I would support the Council's Preferred Approach.						
1238310	LPIO-6187	yes	WBC needs to delay the production of the final draft plan. The council do not have a comprehensive green and blue strategy document. The NPPF requirements mean this missing report prevents a sound plan being produced. Any greenbelt release would be hard scrutinized against the NPPF requirements. WBC do not have the reports to support their decisions. With 15500 brownfield sites identified in the consultation the argument for greenbelt release measured against the principles of a sound green and blue strategy would be weak. Options 2a and 2b are completely contradictory to a sound green and blue strategy. They should be removed from the plan.						
1246339	LPIO-6193	yes	this infrastructure strategy is long overdue please proceed promptly						
1246161	LPIO-6253	yes	WUTH are supportive of the approach described for Green and Blue Infrastructure within Wirral and would welcome an opportunity to be involved in shaping this strategy as we move forward. In particular the promotion of accessible, quality park and garden space, sports facility provision and space for children and young people due to the positive contribution that this will have upon the people of WIRRAL leading healthy lives.						
1246389	LPIO-6312	yes	The plan should recognise the key role played by Wirral's Green and Blue infrastructure not just in promoting Leisure, Health and Well-being but as economic drivers. Wirral's Coast and Countryside are one of the major attractions drawing visitors into the Borough and they, together with the Borough's Parks and Open Spaces, should receive as much financial and staffing input as possible.						
1246339	LPIO-6346	yes	I welcome the 2020 green and blue infrastructure strategy. This should be the subject of public consultation before adoption. No new development should be allowed on open land within townships until such a strategy is adopted. This is vitally important as otherwise greenspaces valuable to local people and meeting with Greenspace criteria may be lost forever						
1242751	LPIO-642	yes	You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan. For instance, the Mott MacDonald survey into weak performing greenbelt was released the day after the Council meeting on January 13th. Very suspicious!						
1246402	LPIO-6474	yes	Feel the plan is biased and the complexity of the consultation puts many people off responding to the plan.						
1241800	LPIO-6812	yes	I would love to see our borough investing in our ecology and making more of the areas as yet low grade or redundant on the Wirral. I think in urban areas too schemes such as pocket parks could greatly enhance the charm and character of less well off neighbourhoods. Pocket parks are reimagined spaces used for everything from children's play areas, to vegetable patches and community events, benefiting the mental and physical health of people who use them.						
1241661	LPIO-6835	yes	The Council has not said how it will protect the local environment – e.g. SSSIs, SMAs/SACs – once the EU Habitats Directives no longer apply (i.e. probably Jan 2021). I need reassurance and specific undertakings on this To protect wildlife, should require any release of land for development to follow the mitigation hierarchy of 'avoid, mitigate and compensate'. The impact on other natural habitats should be avoided wherever possible and where damage cannot be avoided it should be mitigated (e.g. via housing or infrastructure design, translocation of species or repair of damaged habitat). Finally, any unavoidable residual loss of replaceable habitat should be compensated through offsetting to ensure no net loss for biodiversity in and around the location of the development. Developers should also demonstrate they have understood and compensated for the social or amenity value of lost green space to local communities. The offset should be based on a robust, independently verified set of metrics						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.4 - Do you have any views on our preferred approach for planning for Green and Blue Infrastructure within Wirral?	Question 8.4a - If Yes, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach?:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246460	LPIO-6896	yes	I remain fundamentally opposed to any development on Green Belt land. In the face of international concern about global climate change, In particular land package 7.27 who's important features include ancient and post-medieval field patterns and is surrounding Harrock Wood which comprises remnant Wych Elm woodland with other hardwoods such as oak, beech and ash standing alongside Arrowe Brook. Harrock Wood helps to break up the urban environment and gives an open feeling to the area which is much appreciated by the community. It is a key walking amenity for residents and a habitat for much wildlife, some of it relatively rare such as tawny owls. Any development within land package 7.27 will clearly adversely impact upon this important amenity						
1241723	LPIO-6934	yes	The Council has not said how it will protect the local environment – e.g. SSSIs, SMAs/SACs – Once the EU Habitats Directives no longer apply (i.e. probably Jan 2021). I would need reassurance and specific undertakings on this. To protect wildlife, should require any release of land for development to follow the mitigation hierarchy of 'avoid, mitigate and compensate'. The impact on other natural habitats should be avoided wherever possible and where damage cannot be avoided it should be mitigated (e.g. via housing or infrastructure design, translocation of species or repair of damaged habitat). Finally, any unavoidable residual loss of replaceable habitat should be compensated through offsetting to ensure no net loss for biodiversity in and around the location of the development. Developers should also demonstrate they have understood and compensated for the social or amenity value of lost green space to local communities. The offset should be based on a robust, independently verified set of metrics.						
1245086	LPIO-6956	yes	I support this plan provided it is going to make a real and far reaching improvement to our natural environment. Obviously any new developments on green belt land could not be easily reconciled with this plan as they would not be ensuring that "this critical resource is suitably protected and enhanced". Further, building on green belt can hardly be progressing the stated aim of protecting and enhancing existing environmental assets. The words "protection" and "enhancement" appear a lot in this plan and do not fit in well with building on green belt land. This plan should not be used to highlight some areas of perceived high environmental value, while allowing so called "weakly performing" green belt to be abandoned and built on. The plan must protect all our green areas.						
1237647	LPIO-710	yes	A coherent approach to open space and Green Space is needed with a plan to link the amenities in the different areas.						
1246488	LPIO-7274		You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan						
1246348	LPIO-7350	yes	All these vision statements are built on a false premise that we need 12,000 houses. Council seems to be very much on the side of the big developers who want to build on green belt						
1240932	LPIO-7627	yes	Yes, we have views. As the steward of significant areas of Wirral, Our Client supports the Council's Preferred Approaches to Green and Blue Infrastructure Standards, New Development and Tree Planting Strategy and awaits the Council's comprehensive Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy which is currently being prepared as evidence to support the emerging Local Plan. National planning policy clearly outlines at paragraph 8 of the Framework how the three overarching strands of sustainable development, economic, social and environmental, are interdependent and need to be pursued in mutually supportive ways. This approach mirrors Our Client's ambitions and ability to work with the Council to deliver truly transformative development in the Borough through the Local Plan. Our Client's landholding forms an important part of Wirral Metropolitan Borough's green and blue infrastructure, extending to over 2,000 hectares within Wirral Metropolitan Borough, adjoining settlements to the east and west and running from Pensby and Greasby in the north, through to Raby in the south. Actively managed, the landholding extends to the urban fringes of Pensby, Greasby, Heswall, Bromborough and Bebington and provides the rural setting for the villages of Storeton, Brimstage, Barnston, Thornton Hough and Raby. The landholding's woods and farmland incorporate a range of public and permissive rights of way and provide extensive existing and potential new opportunities for local people to access and enjoy the countryside. The Vision Document prepared to accompany Our Client's representations outlines how enhancements to biodiversity assets and countryside access as well as the creation of new accessible woodland and recreational open space can be achieved in line with the advice set out in the Framework as part of a holistic approach to development across our landholding through the Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683689	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682697	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682701			
1246592	LPIO-7818	yes	Refuse to allow any development on greenbelt under any circumstances						
1240903	LPIO-8009	yes	The council needs to stop being political and consider the residents demands. Be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present we feel we are not being told the truth about this plan.						
1246605	LPIO-8187	yes	You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan.						
1241770	LPIO-8309	yes	A more stringent and transparent tree strategy needs to be developed. Too many mature trees appear to be being felled unnecessarily. This work should not be outsourced but carried out by people who are experienced and knowledgeable in their field of work. This work also needs to be overseen and monitored strictly by the council.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.4 - Do you have any views on our preferred approach for planning for Green and Blue Infrastructure within Wirral?	Question 8.4a - If Yes, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach?:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1237882	LPIO-8461	yes	You need to be honest and sincere in your approach to people. At present I feel we are not being told the truth about this plan. Plan seems to be on short term developer and maximum profit orientated not long term solution based. The process of filling in this form has taken 5 hours to complete - this means that many views are not being taken into account as the process is too complex. The last round of consultation seems to have been ignored and certain decisions imposed i.e. greenbelt parcel evaluations that need further clarification. This is such a shame as it could have become a real galvanisation of support by the local population for the plan.						
1246631	LPIO-8954	yes	I am anxious about the use of the words 'where appropriate' in connection with new developments, because they offer a loophole.						
1245034	LPIO-9076	no							
1240872	LPIO-9139	yes	Increase biodiversity and add buffer zones to protect them from development minimum of 200m from any perimeter of Biodiversity.						
1239377	LPIO-9199	yes	Planning consultations with the public really need to be stepped up in line with the use of plain English and not wrapped up in meaningless corporate jargon and buzz-phrases which the majority of people do not use. Engagement should be sincere and without obfuscation which unfortunately, was not the manner in which previous leaders Foulkes and Davies endeavoured to exercise their 'consultations'. Due to this, WBC have a lot of catching up to do in order to gain the trust of its electorate.						
1246651	LPIO-9236	yes	The Council has not said how it will protect the local environment, for example the Assist, SMAs/SACs once the EU Habitats Directives no longer apply (i.e. probably January 2021) We need reassurance and specific undertakings about this. To protect wildlife we should require any release of land for development to follow the mitigation hierarchy of "avoid, mitigate and compensate". The impact on other natural habitats should be avoided wherever possible and where damage cannot be avoided it should be mitigated (e.g. via housing or infrastructure design translocation of species or repair of damaged habitat). Finally, any unavoidable residual loss of replaceable habitat should be compensated through offsetting to ensure no net loss for biodiversity in and around the location of the development. Developers should also be compelled to demonstrate they have understood and compensated for the social or community value of lost green space to local communities. The offset should be based on a very robust and independently verified set of metrics.						
1245289	LPIO-9342	yes	Large scale housing developments in the place of existing farmland is the antithesis of a "green" infrastructure. Replacing farmland with housing developments and the consequent road systems and likely hard-standing "gardens" for car parking will simply remove much of Wirral's greenery whilst increasing pollution and potential flooding risk						
1246678	LPIO-9383	yes	The Local Plan has not been based on Up to Date data/evidence, the council have chosen to ignore facts and figures therefore it could be said the local plan is factually incorrect and is void						
1241495	LPIO-9461	yes	Green/blue Infrastructure – In principle yes, but unable to comment at this time. Apparently this study was only commissioned in Feb 2020 so is not part of this consultation. The study should have been done before sites were proposed for development. It is essential that development does not impede any wildlife corridors and links between sites. If there is to be any development on Green Belt sites adjacent to wildlife sites then there needs to be adequate buffer zones particularly around woodland and water courses.						
1246624	LPIO-9467	no							
1243448	LPIO-956	yes	Any loss of green belt/field sites for development will be in direct conflict with this stated approach and must not happen.						
1245833	LPIO-9603	yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We are pleased to see that the plan recognises the need to protect and enhance the borough's green/blue infrastructure and the numerous public benefits associated with the provision of safe and accessible green spaces. The measures outlined in the preferred approach are considered appropriate and proportionate. In particular we welcome the introduction of criteria to ensure that all development proposals and land allocations contribute to the protection/enhancement of green/blue infrastructure in the borough, including consideration of the impacts upon internationally important wildlife. We suggest the latter is extended to include nationally/locally important sites and habitats/features of ecological significance. The proposed vision for the Tree Strategy and the aspiration to increase woodland cover in the Borough by at least 10% is fully supported. Broad locations should be identified through discussions with relevant partners. 	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656465					
1237807	LPIO-9674	yes	Parks and other spaces should not be too regimented. We would like to see Torr Park in Eastham have its cricket pitch reinstated. Cricket has been played here for over 100 years and is a part of the village scene. The same applies to its bowling green.						
1246693	LPIO-9868	yes	The council is felling or clipping trees back - there is no information regarding this - i know you will tell me its on line however many people dont have access to the internet. Are 2 trees being planted for each felled tree - wheres that happenenig? As with the rest of the local plan/consultation I feel we are not being told the truth about what is actually happening.						