

Person ID	ID	Question 5.7 - Do you agree with the boundaries to the Primarily Residential Areas that the Council proposes to include on the new Local Plan Policy Map?	Question 5.7a - If No, how would you change the boundary, where and why? Ideally please upload a sketch plan showing the proposed changes.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245994	LPIO-10001	no	No. I object to these Primary Residential Area definitions because the Council is using them to define settlements that lump together individual unique/discrete villages into one large residential area so that they can class building on green belt as in fill rather than urban sprawl. Primary Residential Area boundaries should exclude green belt or it should be made clear that building on green belt within them will not be permitted under any circumstances.						
1246544	LPIO-10154	yes							
1246760	LPIO-10164	no	I object to these Primary Residential Area definitions because the Council is using them to define settlements that lump together individual unique/discrete villages into one large residential area so that they can class building on green belt as in fill rather than urban sprawl. Primary Residential Area boundaries should exclude green belt or it should be made clear that building on green belt within them will not be permitted under any circumstances.						
1241065	LPIO-10434	yes							
1241629	LPIO-10442	yes							
1246724	LPIO-10496	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1246772	LPIO-10497	no	Keep boundary as is.. It was originally set to preserve open spaces green field and amenities in order to serve the local population of Wirral not to be trampled all over to make some vast sprawling conurbation						
1246242	LPIO-10970	no	Existing boundaries need to be retained to ensure villages and communities keep their identities. We do NOT want urban sprawl and large settlements.						
1243890	LPIO-1117	yes							
1247196	LPIO-11592	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247015	LPIO-11825	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247214	LPIO-12419	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247492	LPIO-12520	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1240843	LPIO-12682	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247578	LPIO-12880	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247510	LPIO-13004	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1246335	LPIO-13140	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1246853	LPIO-13396	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1246852	LPIO-13518	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247746	LPIO-13674	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1238192	LPIO-13808	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247012	LPIO-13862	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247014	LPIO-13916	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1242183	LPIO-13993	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247218	LPIO-14086	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247219	LPIO-14190	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247220	LPIO-14291	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1243700	LPIO-1437	no	Boundaries should reflect the area within the boundary. Boundaries should not be set arbitrarily to meet planning needs. The Green Belt boundary is primarily a border set to protect green areas from the pollution of development and has a separate role from settlement boundaries.						
1247222	LPIO-14420	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247226	LPIO-14509	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247245	LPIO-14601	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247829	LPIO-14663	no	The computer system associated with the "Local Plan Issues and Options" document did not allow me to download the map showing the boundaries of the Primarily Residential Areas. However, I assume that these boundaries match those of Wirral's present Green Belt, in which case I would be happy with them to be brought forward into the 2020-2035 new Local Plan. If not, or not completely, then there should be no overlap with the Green Belt as this, as an asset of vital importance, should not be diminished. Any map heading should indicate that these boundaries (Primary Residential Areas and Greenbelt) are identical.						
1246827	LPIO-14726	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247016	LPIO-14852	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						

Person ID	ID	Question 5.7 - Do you agree with the boundaries to the Primarily Residential Areas that the Council proposes to include on the new Local Plan Policy Map?	Question 5.7a - If No, how would you change the boundary, where and why? Ideally please upload a sketch plan showing the proposed changes.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247018	LPIO-14921	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247246	LPIO-15345	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247248	LPIO-15465	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247251	LPIO-15567	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247252	LPIO-15655	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247274	LPIO-15755	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247275	LPIO-15888	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247936	LPIO-16018	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247287	LPIO-16221	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247344	LPIO-16309	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247349	LPIO-16396	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247353	LPIO-16484	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1244969	LPIO-1650	no	The proposals map identifies proposed Green Belt release sites. This is unacceptable since with the correct assessment of the housing numbers, no Green Belt will need to be released						
1247354	LPIO-16572	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247434	LPIO-16676	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247935	LPIO-16743	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247436	LPIO-16786	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247437	LPIO-16934	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247439	LPIO-16935	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247441	LPIO-17083	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1245042	LPIO-1719	no	Proposals map not available online.						
1247960	LPIO-17204	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247962	LPIO-17291	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247966	LPIO-17398	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247971	LPIO-17501	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1241726	LPIO-17600	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247979	LPIO-17730	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247980	LPIO-17731	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1245502	LPIO-17888	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247541	LPIO-17987	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247539	LPIO-18095	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247996	LPIO-18256	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1237857	LPIO-18283	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created. I particularly worry about how the plan as presented will be modified – through developer pressure – without further review. We have several separated villages & hamlets on the Wirral which should not be connected up to existing urban areas. Indeed this is against government green belt planning rules but that did not stop WBC suggesting this in the previously released consultation. E.g Storeton village must not be joined to Bebington by building along either side of Lever causeway.						
1247021	LPIO-18403	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247022	LPIO-18457	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247023	LPIO-18512	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247024	LPIO-18567	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1245060	LPIO-1863	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1247025	LPIO-18649	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						

Person ID	ID	Question 5.7 - Do you agree with the boundaries to the Primarily Residential Areas that the Council proposes to include on the new Local Plan Policy Map?	Question 5.7a - If No, how would you change the boundary, where and why? Ideally please upload a sketch plan showing the proposed changes.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247038	LPIO-18650	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247039	LPIO-18771	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247040	LPIO-18772	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247041	LPIO-18855	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247042	LPIO-18922	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247060	LPIO-19014	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247061	LPIO-19015	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247063	LPIO-19098	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247064	LPIO-19152	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247068	LPIO-19206	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247071	LPIO-19263	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247072	LPIO-19320	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247078	LPIO-19375	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247080	LPIO-19465	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247081	LPIO-19466	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247082	LPIO-19644	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247083	LPIO-19698	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247084	LPIO-19758	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247085	LPIO-19816	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247088	LPIO-19882	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247089	LPIO-19943	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247090	LPIO-19999	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247091	LPIO-20053	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247092	LPIO-20113	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247093	LPIO-20175	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247094	LPIO-20231	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247095	LPIO-20287	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247096	LPIO-20343	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247099	LPIO-20399	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247101	LPIO-20453	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247108	LPIO-20519	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247102	LPIO-20520	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247106	LPIO-20639	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247105	LPIO-20640	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247109	LPIO-20723	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247110	LPIO-20801	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247111	LPIO-20802	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247112	LPIO-20943	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247113	LPIO-20997	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247115	LPIO-21053	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247116	LPIO-21107	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1246851	LPIO-21178	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1246918	LPIO-21349	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						

Person ID	ID	Question 5.7 - Do you agree with the boundaries to the Primarily Residential Areas that the Council proposes to include on the new Local Plan Policy Map?	Question 5.7a - If No, how would you change the boundary, where and why? Ideally please upload a sketch plan showing the proposed changes.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246924	LPIO-21350	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1246928	LPIO-21351	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1246920	LPIO-21569	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1246926	LPIO-21570	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1245112	LPIO-2163	yes							
1247117	LPIO-21711	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247118	LPIO-21712	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247145	LPIO-21819	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247147	LPIO-21820	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247148	LPIO-21927	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247150	LPIO-21928	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1244329	LPIO-22021	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247119	LPIO-22100	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1246678	LPIO-22101	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247151	LPIO-22208	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247152	LPIO-22209	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1238379	LPIO-2227	no	The boundaries should reflect an area and should not be extended or altered to satisfy a council that a "settlement" is created.						
1247153	LPIO-22322	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247155	LPIO-22323	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247156	LPIO-22430	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247158	LPIO-22431	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1242519	LPIO-2249	no	Bounderies should not encroach on greenbelt.						
1247159	LPIO-22536	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247160	LPIO-22537	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247161	LPIO-22666	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247164	LPIO-22667	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247167	LPIO-22810	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247168	LPIO-22811	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247169	LPIO-22906	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247170	LPIO-22907	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247173	LPIO-23075	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247174	LPIO-23076	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247175	LPIO-23183	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247176	LPIO-23184	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247177	LPIO-23331	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247178	LPIO-23332	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1247179	LPIO-23333	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.						
1242185	LPIO-23922	no	Yes, we agree with the boundaries to the Primarily Residential Areas that the Council proposes to include on the new Local Plan, as there appears to be no discernible changes to the UDP Green Belt boundary.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684263	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5657006			
1248472	LPIO-24048	no	The Primary Residential Area boundary for Heswall is proposed to run along Davenport Road and Wirral Way to the north east of our land along the Dee coast (SHLAA 1938, 1939, 1940, 1941, 1967, 1968). Land should be removed from the Green Belt and allocated for housing to meet any identified unmet need. Therefore, the Primary Residential Area boundary should be amended to include Parcels 7.2, 7.3, 7.4 and 7.5.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684824	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684823				

Person ID	ID	Question 5.7 - Do you agree with the boundaries to the Primarily Residential Areas that the Council proposes to include on the new Local Plan Policy Map?	Question 5.7a - If No, how would you change the boundary, where and why? Ideally please upload a sketch plan showing the proposed changes.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248487	LPIO-24081	no	We do not wish to comment on the strategic designation of Primarily Residential Area. However, it requests that a local amendment is made whereby the designation of a Site as a proposed 'Playing Pitch' is removed, and that it is included within the Primarily Residential Area designation, identified as a housing allocation (SHLAA 0929 Noctorum Land Playing Field refers). This is on the premise that the Site is enclosed by a Primarily Residential Area designation, and is actively being promoted for residential development both through the local plan, and development management processes.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656330	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656329				
124826	LPIO-2437	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1248542	LPIO-24393	no	Generally support the approach to Primary Residential Areas but careful consideration is needed alongside the work being undertaken on development density. It might be more appropriate to embed the residential density guidelines within the designation of residential land, which would mean that additional maps showing the zoning of areas would not be required and there could be no ambiguity over the character of areas and the density levels required for new residential development.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684894					
1248588	LPIO-24601	no	Eastham Village should be removed from the Green Belt and shown as a Primarily Residential Area.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5681617					
1242697	LPIO-24695	no	The map does not indicate the changes from the previous residential boundaries to those now proposed. However, in keeping with the local plan regeneration and preservation of communities, I disagree with any extension of the boundaries to cover new land for residential purposes and/or development. The current Settlement Areas 1 to 8 should remain the areas defined for residential purposes subject to retaining all current green space and/or Green Belt if it overlaps.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659118	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659119	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659120	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121		
1248749	LPIO-24888	no	The boundaries currently proposed are consistent with the Primary Residential Areas in the previous UDP. We expect that any Green Belt release would further be included in the residential boundaries within the draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684847	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684848	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684845			
1248769	LPIO-25008	no	The boundaries currently proposed are consistent with the Primary Residential Areas in the previous UDP. We expect that any Green Belt release would further be included in the residential boundaries within the draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659045	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684957	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659039	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659038	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684956	
1248823	LPIO-25112	no	The boundaries currently proposed are consistent with the Primary Residential Areas in the previous UDP. We expect that any Green Belt release would further be included in the residential boundaries within the draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674317	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684865	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684849			
1248832	LPIO-25222	no	The boundaries currently proposed are consistent with the Primary Residential Areas in the previous UDP. We expect that any Green Belt release would further be included in the residential boundaries within the draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684857	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659562				
1248833	LPIO-25326	no	The boundaries currently proposed are consistent with the Primary Residential Areas in the previous UDP. We expect that any Green Belt release would further be included in the residential boundaries within the draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661125	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661100	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661124	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661129		
1248956	LPIO-25394	no	The boundaries of the Primarily Residential Areas do not yet take account of sites that are proposed for Green Belt release. The boundaries will be a need to be redrawn to take account of all allocations within the Draft Local Plan including those which seek to remove land from the Green Belt at the appropriate time. We reserve the right to comment on the boundaries of the Primarily Residential Areas, once they are redrawn.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677474	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684859				
1248986	LPIO-25536	no	The boundaries currently proposed are consistent with the Primary Residential Areas in the previous UDP. We expect that any Green Belt release would further be included in the residential boundaries within the draft Local Plan.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662723	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662725	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662770			
1249015	LPIO-25592	no	The boundaries of the Primarily Residential Areas do not yet take account of sites that are proposed for Green Belt release. The boundaries will be a need to be redrawn to take account of all allocations within the Draft Local Plan including those which seek to remove land from the Green Belt at the appropriate time. We reserve the right to comment on the boundaries of the Primarily Residential Areas, once they are redrawn.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684897					
1246458	LPIO-25764	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1246459	LPIO-25765	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						

Person ID	ID	Question 5.7 - Do you agree with the boundaries to the Primarily Residential Areas that the Council proposes to include on the new Local Plan Policy Map?	Question 5.7a - If No, how would you change the boundary, where and why? Ideally please upload a sketch plan showing the proposed changes.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1242155	LPIO-25884	no	Yes albeit further subdivision is required. The current boundaries do not respect individual townships and settlement boundaries which may result in mergers. By specific example the potential Green Belt release site Parcel 6.15 (SP013, SHLAA 4056) West of Column Road, West Kirby will effectively merge Caldy and Newton and this should not be supported.						
1249100	LPIO-25965 1 of 3	no	The boundaries of the Primarily Residential Areas do not yet take account of sites that are proposed for Green Belt release. The boundaries will be a need to be redrawn to take account of all allocations within the Draft Local Plan including those which seek to remove land from the Green Belt at the appropriate time. We reserve the right to comment on the boundaries of the Primarily Residential Areas, once they are redrawn.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/14	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/12	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56848/98	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56849/49	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/09	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56849/51
1249100	LPIO-25965 2 of 3	no	The boundaries of the Primarily Residential Areas do not yet take account of sites that are proposed for Green Belt release. The boundaries will be a need to be redrawn to take account of all allocations within the Draft Local Plan including those which seek to remove land from the Green Belt at the appropriate time. We reserve the right to comment on the boundaries of the Primarily Residential Areas, once they are redrawn.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/10	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56848/95	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/08	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/11	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/13	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/16
1249100	LPIO-25965 3 of 3	no	The boundaries of the Primarily Residential Areas do not yet take account of sites that are proposed for Green Belt release. The boundaries will be a need to be redrawn to take account of all allocations within the Draft Local Plan including those which seek to remove land from the Green Belt at the appropriate time. We reserve the right to comment on the boundaries of the Primarily Residential Areas, once they are redrawn.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/07					
1243721	LPIO-2597	no	Better designation of Local Green Spaces to protect these pockets of green land for wild-life, leisure etc. including the triangle opposite the Catholic Church in Heswall						
1249219	LPIO-26498	no	Minor adjustment to be proposed in Heswall (information to follow) but excluding any changes to Green Belt boundaries.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/29	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/28				
1246736	LPIO-26569	no	No. For the reasons set out above, Eastham Village should be removed from the Green Belt.						
1245180	LPIO-2730	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1237944	LPIO-2780	yes							
1238835	LPIO-2841	no	This is a very confusing map, which I think is designed specifically to confuse any lay person trying to read it. Boundaries should reflect reality and not manipulated just to satisfy Council's need to show settlements.						
1245159	LPIO-3002	yes							
1245287	LPIO-3126	yes							
1237546	LPIO-3171	no	Area west of Ditton Lane Nature Area, Moreton. The nature area itself is designated open space, except for some reason its north-east corner, where bat roosts were found in 2019, but the fields to its west are put in a general housing area colour. These fields are proposed LWS for "undetermined species-rich grassland" and likely wetland bird usage. Building on these fields would lose the habitat and increase human pressure on the rest.						
1239492	LPIO-320	no	My only comment is around the change from Green Belt use to residential (option) for SHLAA 4056.						
1241315	LPIO-3290	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1245416	LPIO-3381	yes							
1245451	LPIO-3563	yes							
1245462	LPIO-3630	yes							
1237827	LPIO-3801	yes							
1245638	LPIO-4271	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1245501	LPIO-4446	no	The boundaries indicated by the red dotted line look as to have been drawn up to satisfy the councils political desire to not only change the nature of historical villages and communities but also to meet their own defined criteria of a settlement. Boundaries must not be changed or enlarged and should define an existing area, community, village.						
1237696	LPIO-4717	yes							
1244629	LPIO-4764	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1237923	LPIO-5081	no	boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a settlement is created						
1245713	LPIO-5089	no							
1242372	LPIO-5328	yes							

Person ID	ID	Question 5.7 - Do you agree with the boundaries to the Primarily Residential Areas that the Council proposes to include on the new Local Plan Policy Map?	Question 5.7a - If No, how would you change the boundary, where and why? Ideally please upload a sketch plan showing the proposed changes.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245954	LPIO-5511	yes							
1245073	LPIO-5642	no	I object to these Primary Residential Area definitions because the Council is using them to define settlements that lump together individual unique/discrete villages into one large residential area so that they can class building on green belt as in fill rather than urban sprawl. Primary Residential Area boundaries should exclude green belt or it should be made clear that building on green belt within them will not be permitted under any circumstances.						
1244896	LPIO-5804	no	We agree with the boundaries of distinct existing areas shown on the Local Plan Policy Map as they are now. But we do not accept: 1. Boundaries being extended into Green Belt, as shown dashed red on the Map (which Sites include those selected through manipulation of eligibility criteria); 2. The use and consequences of the use of the undefined and unofficial term 'Settlement' by Council Planners, where distinct towns, villages and communities are lumped together artificially and in contravention to Second Purpose (b) of Green Belt in order to classify the separate, distinct communities as a single 'Settlement' and the space between the separate, distinct communities as being 'highly enclosed' and 'infill'. This applies particularly to Site 7.27, which is completely non-eligible for release or classification in this manipulated regard – an opinion backed by legal advice received.						
1246310	LPIO-5949	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1242751	LPIO-622	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1246402	LPIO-6451	no	No boundaries should reflect the character of settlements not be dragged in by false boundaries.						
1245086	LPIO-6831	no	The extensions into the green belt should not be included (Options 2A & 2B). All the green belt should remain as green belt. Further encroachment of the residential area into the industrial zone should be considered where this is viable.						
1246482	LPIO-7044	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1246488	LPIO-7206	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1246348	LPIO-7239	no	Don't call something a boundary that isn't a boundary and don't manipulate things so that something can be called a settlement and the council can develop where they should not develop.						
1246551	LPIO-7489	no	In response to Q5.7 the boundary for the Primarily Residential Area should include under-utilised, previously developed land within the existing urban area, specifically the particular site of Paulsfield Drive Woodland.						
1246592	LPIO-7765	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1246594	LPIO-7840	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1240903	LPIO-7954	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created. The council should not change areas to satisfy its own agenda.						
1246596	LPIO-8068	no							
1246605	LPIO-8162	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created.						
1246612	LPIO-8356	no	Boundaries should reflect the residential area, not the neighbouring, adjacent or enclosed greenbelt. The new boundaries and settlements seem to make it easier to say that greenbelt isn't greenbelt and is therefore 'up for grabs'						
1237882	LPIO-8408	no	The boundaries should reflect an area. A boundary should not be extended to satisfy a council that a 'settlement' is created. These settlements seems to have been created in order for the WBC to justify the inclusion of specific greenbelt parcels such as Parcel 6.15 rather than reflect the nature of the actual area and conservation nature of certain areas.						
1246598	LPIO-8699	no	Include the Ellerman Lines Site in the context of NDP masterplan area CL1 reconfiguration of residential and industrial areas and potential Wildfowl and Wetlands Centre						
1246631	LPIO-8876	yes							
1245034	LPIO-8924	yes							
1240872	LPIO-9118	yes							
1243448	LPIO-915	no	In the centre of Greasby, there is a large blue area denoting a proposed retail centre. At this time, the area outlined encompasses shops, restaurants, pubs, health centre, vets, and community centre. These existing amenities are more than sufficient for the local population. I would strongly object to further development of this site as it is surrounded by some attractive green space which must not be developed. Any further development of non-housing on this site may lead to oversupply of retail space and empty retail premises and then to a decline of this well functioning village centre. Also, on the map, the former Champions site at Arrowse Hill in Upton is divided between primarily industrial and other housing. I would suggest that the whole of this site be allocated to housing and the industrial operations which are already located there be relocated to other brownfield sites less suitable for housing. There is also a Huws Grey situated in the centre of a prime residential area on Berwyn Drive in Heswall. This business should be relocated to a more appropriate brownfield site therefore releasing the land for housing.						

