| Person ID | ID | Question 5.8 - Do you agree with the Draft Policy for Houses in Multiple Occupation which Council proposes to use in the determination of planning applications as set out in Appendix 5.1? | | iment 1 | Attachment 2 | Attachment 3 | Attachment 4 | Attachment 5 | Attachment 6 |
|-----------|------------|--|--|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1246544 | LPIO-10169 | yes | care must be taken that HMO planning applications provide safe and healthy living conditions, and meet zero-carbon objectives - and preferably are not ugly! | | | | | | |
| 1241337 | LPIO-10201 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1241629 | LPIO-10445 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1246724 | LPIO-10498 | no | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1246803 | LPIO-10699 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1247066 | LPIO-10821 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1247073 | LPIO-10835 | no | | | | | | | |
| 1237930 | LPIO-10851 | no | Homes of this nature should be considered as apartments | | | | | | |
| 1247077 | LPIO-10867 | no | Homes of this nature should be considered as apartments | | | | | | |
| 1247103 | LPIO-10949 | no | | | | | | | |
| 1247129 | LPIO-11047 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1247130 | LPIO-11067 | no | | | | | | | |
| 1247135 | LPIO-11114 | no | | | | | | | |
| 1245190 | LPIO-11131 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1247146 | LPIO-11163 | no | These should be considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1246647 | LPIO-11175 | no | | | | | | | |
| 1243890 | LPIO-1118 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1247154 | LPIO-11202 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1247196 | LPIO-11593 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247214 | LPIO-12420 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247492 | LPIO-12521 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1240843 | LPIO-12683 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | 1 |
| 1244681 | LPIO-1269 | yes | Planning applications need to be scrutinised to make sure they provide safe and healthy living conditions and meet net-zero carbon objectives. | | | | | | 1 |
| 1247578 | LPIO-12881 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | 1 |
| 1247510 | LPIO-13005 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | 1 |
| 1246335 | LPIO-13141 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | 1 |
| 1246853 | LPIO-13397 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1246852 | LPIO-13519 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247746 | LPIO-13675 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1242183 | LPIO-13994 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | 1 |
| 1247218 | LPIO-14087 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | 1 |
| 1247219 | LPIO-14191 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1241412 | LPIO-142 | yes | Also with HMO's there is a problem of parking if more than one has a vehicle. I also think that HMO's should be allocated more than one green and grey bin as where I live they are always overflowing for the rooms in a HMO. | | | | | | |
| 1247220 | LPIO-14292 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1243700 | LPIO-1438 | yes | I see no difference between houses of multi-occupation and flats. The sighting of such premises should not impact on the area where thay are sighted. | | | | | | |
| 1247222 | LPIO-14421 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247226 | LPIO-14510 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247245 | LPIO-14603 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |

| Person ID | ID | Question 5.8 - Do you agree with the Draft Policy for Houses in Multiple Occupation which Council proposes to use in the determination of planning applications as set out in Appendix 5.1? | Question 5.8a - If you answered No, what alternative approach would you suggest and why? If you answered Yes, you can comment here. | t1 A | Attachment 2 | Attachment 3 | Attachment 4 | Attachment 5 | Attachment 6 |
|-----------|------------|--|---|------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1246827 | LPIO-14727 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247246 | LPIO-15346 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | P |
| 1247248 | LPIO-15466 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247251 | LPIO-15568 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247252 | LPIO-15656 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247274 | LPIO-15756 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247275 | LPIO-15891 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247936 | LPIO-16019 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247287 | LPIO-16222 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247344 | LPIO-16310 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247349 | LPIO-16397 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247353 | LPIO-16485 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1244969 | LPIO-1651 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1247354 | LPIO-16573 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247434 | LPIO-16677 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247935 | LPIO-16747 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247436 | LPIO-16787 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247437 | LPIO-16937 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247439 | LPIO-16938 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247441 | LPIO-17084 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247960 | LPIO-17205 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1245042 | LPIO-1722 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1247962 | LPIO-17292 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247966 | LPIO-17399 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247971 | LPIO-17502 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1241726 | LPIO-17601 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247979 | LPIO-17732 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247980 | LPIO-17733 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1245502 | LPIO-17889 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247541 | LPIO-17988 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1247539 | LPIO-18096 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1237857 | LPIO-18286 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1245060 | LPIO-1864 | no | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1246851 | LPIO-21179 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1246918 | LPIO-21352 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1246924 | LPIO-21353 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1246928 | LPIO-21354 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1246920 | LPIO-21571 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1246926 | LPIO-21572 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1245112 | LPIO-2164 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1241016 | LPIO-223 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1245100 | LPIO-2277 | no | Planning applications need to be scrutinised to make sure that they provide safe and healthy living conditions and meet net zero carbon objectives. | | | | | | |

| Person ID | ID | Question 5.8 - Do you agree with the Draft Policy for Houses in Multiple Occupation which Council proposes to use in the determination of planning applications as set out in Appendix 5.1? | Question 5.8a - If you answered No, what alternative approach would you suggest and why? If you answered Yes, you can comment here. | Attachment 1 | Attachment 2 | Attachment 3 | Attachment 4 | Attachment 5 | Attachment 6 |
|-----------|----------------------|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| 1237870 | LPIO-2335 | no | Multiple Occupancy is often used by unscrupulous landlords. This is a difficult area - but landlords should not be able to operate this system if the accommodation is unsuitable. This is a difficult area to "police" - but it does need to be addressed. | | | | | | |
| 1242185 | LPIO-23923 | yes | Yes. However, we are concerned that the figures quoted represent out-of-date allowances, and discontinued standards. For example, 10.21 m2 for a single bedroom is too small to achieve a proper quality of life for the occupant. We believe the minimum standard ought to be 12 m2, or preferably 14 m2 per person. Likewise, a two-person bedroom should be 16-18m2, rather than 14m2. Additional space ought to be added for en-suite facilities. We understand that a new- build homeless residence in Seaforth, provided individual en-suite bedrooms of 14 to 16 sq.m each plus en-suite shower rooms of 4 to 5 sq.m. and larger provision was provided for ambulant and wheelchair disabled persons. In addition, there are shared bathrooms, living rooms, dining room, gym, training rooms, gardens and much more. We hope that the standard of all our homes are raised through the delivery of the Local Plan in the future. | https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/565912 1 | https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/56842 63 | | | | |
| 1244826 | LPIO-2438 | no | Consider smaller apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1242697 | LPIO-24696 | | The policy sets standards as expected of HMOs. However, their siting should not affect the local area. No HMO should be added to an area where none exist or if one is present through previous planning disregard for the area. | https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/565911 8 | https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/565911 9 | https://wirral- consult.objective. co.uk/file/565912 0 | https://wirral- consult.objective. co.uk/file/565912 | | |
| 1242697 | LPIO-24697 | | Bullet (d) "the proposal not resulting in a change in the character of the surrounding area which would be detrimental" - sounds fine but is too open to interpretation. | https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/565911 8 | https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/565911 9 | https://wirral- consult.objective. co.uk/file/565912 0 | https://wirral- consult.objective. co.uk/file/565912 | | |
| 1242697 | LPIO-24698 | | Bullet (e) "the proposal not resulting in a concentration of HMO's in an area such that the character of the area is adversely affected" (Existing HMO's and valid planning permissions must not comprise more than 10% or more of the properties forming the street frontage within a street block.)" - would be clearer if certain areas were designated for HMOs subject to bullet (e) and the 10% rule. They are not appropriate for higher quality housing areas or where families reside in concentration. | https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/565911 <u>8</u> | https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/565911 9 | | | | |
| 1248956 | LPIO-25395 | | We reserve the right to comment on the policy within the Draft local Plan and on any supplementary planning guidance. | | | | | | |
| 1249015 | LPIO-25593 | | We reserve the right to comment on the policy within the Draft local Plan and on any supplementary planning guidance. | https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/56848 97 | _ | | | | |
| 1246458 | LPIO-25766 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1246459 | LPIO-25767 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1249100 | LPIO-25968 1 of 3 | | We reserve the right to comment on the policy within the Draft local Plan and on any supplementary planning guidance. | https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/567751 | https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/567751 | https://wirral- consult.objective. | https://wirral- consult.objective. co.uk/file/56849 | https://wirral- consult.objective. co.uk/file/567750 | https://wirral- consult.objective. co.uk/file/56849 |
| 1249100 | LPIO-25968 2 of 3 | | We reserve the right to comment on the policy within the Draft local Plan and on any supplementary planning guidance. | <u>https://wirral-</u> consult.objective co.uk/file/567751 | https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/56848 | https://wirral- consult.objective. co.uk/file/567750 | https://wirral- consult.objective. co.uk/file/567751 | https://wirral- consult.objective. co.uk/file/567751 | <u>https://wirral-</u> consult.objective. co.uk/file/567751 |
| 1249100 | LPIO-25968 3 of 3 | | We reserve the right to comment on the policy within the Draft local Plan and on any supplementary planning guidance. | https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/567750 | | | | | - |
| 1249315 | LPIO-26209 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1249320 | LPIO-26220 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1247414 | LPIO-26248 | | Do not know - far too complex for me. | | | | | | |
| 1245180 | LPIO-2731 | no | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1237944 | LPIO-2781 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1245058 | LPIO-2789 | | Maybe. Planning applications need to be scrutinised to make sure they provide safe and healthy living conditions and meet net-zero carbon objectives. | | | | | | |
| 1238835 | LPIO-2844 | no | If you are referring to flats or apartments, then I would agree, but my feeling is that you are just referring to single rooms. Therefore, as this is a very complicated issue, I doubt it will have much bearing on meeting the housing numbers and just seems like another way for the Council to manipulate the figures. | | | | | | |
| 1245159 | LPIO-2983 | yes | | | | | | | |

| Person ID | ID | Question 5.8 - Do you agree with the Draft Policy for Houses in Multiple Occupation which Council proposes to use in the determination of planning applications as set out in Appendix 5.1? | Question 5.8a - If you answered No, what alternative approach would you suggest and why? If you answered Yes, you can comment here. | Attachment 1 | Attachment 2 | Attachment 3 | Attachment 4 | Attachment 5 | Attachment 6 |
|-----------|-----------|--|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1245287 | LPIO-3128 | yes | With thoughtful planning control to avoid over development | | | | | | |
| 1238645 | LPIO-3148 | yes | Planning applications need to be scrutinised to make sure they provide safe and healthy living conditions | | | | | | |
| 1239492 | LPIO-321 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1241315 | LPIO-3291 | no | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments | | | | | | |
| 1245346 | LPIO-3488 | no | Planning applications need to be scrutinised to make sure they provide safe and healthy living conditions and meet zero carbon objectives. | | | | | | |
| 1245462 | LPIO-3631 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1238549 | LPIO-365 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1237827 | LPIO-3802 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1245288 | LPIO-3872 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1240939 | LPIO-4148 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1245638 | LPIO-4273 | no | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1237667 | LPIO-4572 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1244720 | LPIO-4660 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1237696 | LPIO-4718 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1244629 | LPIO-4765 | no | Are these really not just apartments? | | | | | | |
| 1245713 | LPIO-5091 | | should these not be considered as apartments? | | | | | | |
| 1244215 | LPIO-5213 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1242372 | LPIO-5329 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1241661 | LPIO-5659 | yes | Planning applications need to be scrutinised to make sure they provide safe and healthy living conditions and meet net-zero carbon objectives. | | | | | | |
| 1244896 | LPIO-5805 | no | We don't agree with certain details particularly the space per person being allowed. The figures quoted represent out-of-date allowances and discontinued standards. For instance, 10.21 sq.m. for a single bedroom is far too small a provision for a proper quality of life. The minimum should be 12 sq.m. and preferably 14 sq.m pp. And, a two-person bedroom should be not 14 sq.m. but 16 sq.m to 18 sq.m. To these areas should be added space for en-suite shower or bathrooms rooms with W.C.s. For comparison, the new-build Homeless Residence in Seaforth, Merseyside, with which the author was involved, provided individual en-suite bedrooms of 14 to 16 sq.m each plus en-suite shower rooms of 4 to 5 sq.m And, larger provision was provided for ambulant and wheelchair disabled persons. In addition, there are shared Bathrooms, living rooms, dining room, Gym, training rooms, gardens and much more. The standard of all our homes must be raised and the Local Plan should be a driver for this. | | | | | | |
| 1246310 | LPIO-5950 | no | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1246342 | LPIO-6025 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1242751 | LPIO-623 | no | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1246389 | LPIO-6318 | no | | | | | | | |
| 1242541 | LPIO-6330 | no | Planning applications need to be scrutinised to make sure they provide safe and healthy living conditions and meet net-zero carbon objectives. | | | | | | |
| 1246393 | LPIO-6356 | no | | | | | | | |
| 1246410 | LPIO-6414 | no | | | | | | | |
| 1246402 | LPIO-6453 | no | | | | | | | |
| 1246419 | LPIO-6506 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1241723 | LPIO-6572 | yes | Planning applications need to be scrutinised to make sure they provide safe and healthy living conditions and meet net-zero carbon objectives. Far too many HMO's in previous years have been 'unacceptable' accommodation yet passed in planning due to lack of adequate policy protection. | | | | | | |

| Person ID | ID | Question 5.8 - Do you agree with the Draft Policy for Houses in Multiple Occupation which Council proposes to use in the determination of planning applications as set out in Appendix 5.1? | | Attachment 1 | Attachment 2 | Attachment 3 | Attachment 4 | Attachment 5 | Attachment 6 |
|--------------------|------------------------|--|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1246435 | LPIO-6637 | no | | | | | | | |
| 1245286 | LPIO-6655 | no | | | | | | | |
| 1246438 | LPIO-6672 | no | | | | | | | - |
| 1246441 1246447 | LPIO-6729 LPIO-6777 | no | | | | | | | |
| 1246447 | LPIO-6777 | no | | | | | | | - |
| 1246455 | LPIO-6811 | yes | | | | | | | - |
| 1237647 | LPIO-687 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1246482 | LPIO-7045 | yes | | | | | | | - |
| 1246486 | LPIO-7138 | no | | | | | | | |
| 1246495 | LPIO-7152 | no | | | | | | | |
| 1246488 | LPIO-7208 | | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1244604 | LPIO-7233 | yes | ····· ································ | | | | | | |
| 1246515 | LPIO-7258 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1246518 | LPIO-7285 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1246545 | LPIO-7413 | | Can't find the relevant information online! | | | | | | |
| 1237978 | LPIO-7431 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1246549 | LPIO-7447 | no | | | | | | | |
| 1246592 | LPIO-7768 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1246594 | LPIO-7841 | no | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments | | | | | | |
| 1240903 | LPIO-7958 | no | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1246605 | LPIO-8163 | no | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments | | | | | | |
| 1240653 | LPIO-8231 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1241770 | LPIO-8232 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1239410 | LPIO-834 | | I would suggest the landlords of any HMO property in any area of the Wirral, should be subject to the existing Selective Licencing scheme. In other areas of the country, HMO's encourage slum conditions through poor management of tenants and general upkeep. | | | | | | |
| 1246612 | LPIO-8357 | yes | Although if the home was then classed as a residence for say, 3 people, it could count as 3 rather than 1 towards the councils unmet housing need | | | | | | |
| 1237882 | LPIO-8410 | no | Houses in Multiple Occupancy is a complex issue. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |
| 1246631 | LPIO-8878 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1245034 | LPIO-8930 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1246666 | LPIO-9029 | no | | | | | | | |
| 1246651 | LPIO-9094 | | Planning applications need to be closely scrutinized to make sure they provide safe and healthy living conditions and meet net zero carbon objectives. | | | | | | |
| 1246671 | LPIO-9133 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1239377 | LPIO-9159 | no | Multiple Occupancy is complex and perhaps, homes covering this scenario should be reclassified as 'apartments'. | | | | | | |
| 1243448 | LPIO-916 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1246672 | LPIO-9170 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1245289 | LPIO-9295 | yes | | | | | | | |
| 1246678 | LPIO-9357 | yes | | | | | | | <u> </u> |
| 1246624 | LPIO-9415 | yes | | | | | | | <u> </u> ! |
| 1246693 | LPIO-9795 | no | HMO's are complex and often in my experience provide poor accommodation for their occupants. I do not see why homes of this nature are not considered as apartments. | | | | | | |