

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1241495	LPIO-10016	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release							
1238147	LPIO-10059	c An 'Hybrid' Option involving a mix of Options 2A and 2B	I am forced to make a response to this question having clicked on it, at risk of losing all my other submissions However there is no option to reject all the options, which is my position. Please do not regard this reply as supporting any of the three choices.						
1246760	LPIO-10147		I do not want to see any release of green belt. The housing requirement figure of 12,000 homes is vastly over exaggerated and there is no requirement for green belt release. Options 2A and 2B should be removed from the plan.						
1245044	LPIO-10148		It is not necessary to either have option 2a or 2b or a hybrid. The target must be recalculated and then there would be no need to release any green belt						
1241337	LPIO-10152		None of these. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit of all areas of Wirral. Any release of green belt will jeopardise this.						
1246747	LPIO-10186		No Greenbelt land should be built on.						
1246559 Wirral Wildlife Trust	LPIO-10319	c An 'Hybrid' Option involving a mix of Options 2A and 2B	It is absolutely crucial that the ability to protect and enhance the natural environment has to inform this decision. The forthcoming GI review and Ecological Network study should be key documents used for this purpose, therefore we propose that no decisions are made until the appropriate studies are complete.						
1241629	LPIO-10387	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	BUT it is not accepted that there is any need to release any green belt land. the housing need must not be inflated and must be met from brown field sites; or from outside the borough. There is fantastic housing development in Liverpool which is appropriate as it is an urban area. wirral figures should not be compiled in isolation without taking account of housing in easy reach of the area.						
1246717	LPIO-10395	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	Option 2A, dispersed Green Belt release, is more preferable to support the Urban Intensification Strategy than a single larger urban extension. Dispersed Green Belt release of some sites would support and 'de-risk' the Urban Intensification strategy by meeting residual housing requirements. Furthermore development would strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements. Also, the impact of development would be spread across the Borough. Land ownership within large urban extensions can often be more complex, where the development of a scheme, agreement of any planning obligations and delivery may depend on the successful co-operation of multiple land-owners. Additionally, these sites require more investment in infrastructure to make them suitable for residential development, and generally have longer lead-in times. It is considered this option would not be appropriate given the Council have already identified a number of Sites (Table 4.3 – Broad Locations for Growth) which may already have similar issues.						
1241065	LPIO-10407	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension							
1244412	LPIO-1043		I do not agree to any release. Forecasted housing demand figures need to be recalculated						
1246724	LPIO-10467	c An 'Hybrid' Option involving a mix of Options 2A and 2B	I would prefer no release whatsoever.						
1246778	LPIO-10531	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release							
1246803	LPIO-10688	c An 'Hybrid' Option involving a mix of Options 2A and 2B							
1246242	LPIO-10787		There is NO need to release land from the GREEN BELT. The Council need to get a grip and proceed with Wirral Waters Development and not waste further time and money pontificating.						
1247066	LPIO-10817	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	Prefer A. Dispersed release of land but prefer NO release of Green Belt as this should not be required.						
1237930	LPIO-10848		I would prefer NO release of Greenbelt.						
1247077	LPIO-10864		I would prefer NO release of Greenbelt.						
1247079	LPIO-10879		I do not agree to the approach to release any greenbelt.						
1247087	LPIO-10898		All threats to the Green Belt will not be acceptable to the Wirral residents.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247098	LPIO-10928		I do not agree with either option. There should be no release of land from the Green Belt under any circumstances.						
1247103	LPIO-10946		No release at all.						
1247120	LPIO-10962		No green belt land should be used.						
1240539	LPIO-110	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	See my comments on 4.17, regarding the deficient road infrastructure at the Storeton Lane/Barnston Road junction.						
1247128	LPIO-11031		It is not necessary as the information is not accurate.						
1247130	LPIO-11063		I do not agree at all with the approach to release any greenbelt.						
1243890	LPIO-1109	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	It should not be necessary to supplement urban intensification but that was not an option in this consultation - no doubt deliberately slanted to support property developers against the people.						
1247133	LPIO-11093		I do not agree to the approach to release any greenbelt.						
1247135	LPIO-11111		I do not agree at all to releasing any greenbelt.						
1245190	LPIO-11129		I do not agree that the need is there for the release of any Green Belt land to supplement Urban Intensification, as laid out in the Preferred Option 1.						
1247143	LPIO-11147		Thank you for your email regarding the Local Plan. I am really keen that Green Belt land is used.						
1247146	LPIO-11160		I would prefer NO release from Greenbelt what so ever!						
1247154	LPIO-11198		It should not be necessary to release ANY land from Greenbelt.						
1247196	LPIO-11636		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247015	LPIO-11801		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247214	LPIO-12470		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247492	LPIO-12573		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1240843	LPIO-12727		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247578	LPIO-12928		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247510	LPIO-13051		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1246335	LPIO-13189		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1246578	LPIO-13356		Whilst I do not agree with the release of any greenbelt, I do believe that a hybrid between the 2 options would be preferable. This would allow local services to be spread but at the same time limiting the number of greenbelt sites affected.						
1246853	LPIO-13442		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1246852	LPIO-13568		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247746	LPIO-13724		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1238192	LPIO-13804		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247012	LPIO-13858		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247014	LPIO-13912		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1242183	LPIO-14042		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247218	LPIO-14136		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1243700	LPIO-1422		I would prefer no release of the Green Belt from its present boundaries.						
1247219	LPIO-14242		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247220	LPIO-14337		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247222	LPIO-14464		I would prefer no release whatsoever						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247226	LPIO-14554		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247245	LPIO-14652		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1246827	LPIO-14770		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247016	LPIO-14848		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1242155	LPIO-14903	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	Dispersed due to much greater likelihood of delivery throughout the plan period. This approach would also be much better in meeting needs throughout the Borough. There would be less environmental harm through greater dispersal and dilution of the impacts. Enhancements to infrastructure would be required, being more environmentally favourable to new large scale.						
1247018	LPIO-14917		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247246	LPIO-15390		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247248	LPIO-15520		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247251	LPIO-15612		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247252	LPIO-15700		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247274	LPIO-15803		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247275	LPIO-15957		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247936	LPIO-16071		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247287	LPIO-16265		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247344	LPIO-16353		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247349	LPIO-16440		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247353	LPIO-16529		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247354	LPIO-16623		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247935	LPIO-16722		I would prefer no release whatsoever.						
1247434	LPIO-16731		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247436	LPIO-16831		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247437	LPIO-17038		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247439	LPIO-17039		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247441	LPIO-17127		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247960	LPIO-17248		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247962	LPIO-17345		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247966	LPIO-17450		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247971	LPIO-17546		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1241726	LPIO-17646		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1242966	LPIO-17811		Q 4.12 and 4.17 and 4.12- 4.20, Both of options 2: Option 2A, Dispersed Green Belt Release and Option 2B Urban Extension conflict with National Planning Policy Framework. None of these sites are weakly performing green belt sites they all meet the test of green belt as set out in paragraph 134 of National planning Framework. site 11 is preventing neighbouring towns from merging into one another, they all check unrestricted urban sprawl and safeguard the countryside from encroachment. Therefore none of these sites meet the Exceptional test for removing land from the Green Belt. None of the sites in either of option 2 are served by adequate public transport, they will result in additional traffic generation, leading to congestion, noise and have an adverse impact on air quality. This is unsustainable development with an adverse impact on climate change. the Council needs o make a more concerned effort to deliver Option 1 and Central Government needs to look at the Standard Method for addressing the housing requirement as a matter of urgency.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247979	LPIO-17837		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247980	LPIO-17838		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1245502	LPIO-17939		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1238043	LPIO-1794	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	There should be no need to release any green belt, but if it did come to it, the dispersed release would be more flexible and easier to stop when it became apparent that the demand did not exist.						
1247541	LPIO-18044		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1237857	LPIO-18264		I would prefer no release whatsoever. It is not necessary						
1247996	LPIO-18330		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247021	LPIO-18399		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1245060	LPIO-1844		I would prefer no release whatsoever.						
1247022	LPIO-18453		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247023	LPIO-18508		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247024	LPIO-18563		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247025	LPIO-18641		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247038	LPIO-18642		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247039	LPIO-18763		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247040	LPIO-18764		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247041	LPIO-18851		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247042	LPIO-18917		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247060	LPIO-19006		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247061	LPIO-19007		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247063	LPIO-19094		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247064	LPIO-19148		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247068	LPIO-19202		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247071	LPIO-19259		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247072	LPIO-19316		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247078	LPIO-19371		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247080	LPIO-19456		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247081	LPIO-19457		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247082	LPIO-19640		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247083	LPIO-19694		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247084	LPIO-19753		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1238379	LPIO-1978		It is clear that the housing need figures are far to high and a more realistic assessment of the housing need would mean that there should be no requirement to release green belt at all. I therefore do not agree to any of these options.						
1247085	LPIO-19812		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247088	LPIO-19878		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1242519	LPIO-1990		I would wish no release of greenbelt.						
1247089	LPIO-19939		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247090	LPIO-19995		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247091	LPIO-20049		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247092	LPIO-20108		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247093	LPIO-20170		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247094	LPIO-20227		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247095	LPIO-20283		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247096	LPIO-20339		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247099	LPIO-20395		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247101	LPIO-20449		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247108	LPIO-20511		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247102	LPIO-20512		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247106	LPIO-20631		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247105	LPIO-20632		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247109	LPIO-20719		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247110	LPIO-20793		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247111	LPIO-20794		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247112	LPIO-20939		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247113	LPIO-20993		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247115	LPIO-21049		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247116	LPIO-21103		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1246851	LPIO-21222		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1246918	LPIO-21481		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1246924	LPIO-21482		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1246928	LPIO-21483		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1245112	LPIO-2154	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release							
1246920	LPIO-21659		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1246926	LPIO-21660		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1247117	LPIO-21703		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247118	LPIO-21704		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247145	LPIO-21811		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247147	LPIO-21812		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247148	LPIO-21919		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247150	LPIO-21920		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1244329	LPIO-22017		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247119	LPIO-22092		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1246678	LPIO-22093		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247151	LPIO-22200		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247152	LPIO-22201		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247153	LPIO-22314		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247155	LPIO-22315		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247156	LPIO-22422		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247158	LPIO-22423		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1245100	LPIO-2250	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	Suitable infra structure could be included, there would be greater opportunity to demand high standards from developers and proper communities planned for.						
1247159	LPIO-22528		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247160	LPIO-22529		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247161	LPIO-22658		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247164	LPIO-22659		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247167	LPIO-22801		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247168	LPIO-22802		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247169	LPIO-22898		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247170	LPIO-22899		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247173	LPIO-23067		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247174	LPIO-23068		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1237870	LPIO-2314		There is no option for "None of the Above". I TOTALLY DISAGREE with the use of GREENBELT land for building development.						
1247175	LPIO-23175		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247176	LPIO-23176		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247177	LPIO-23319		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1247178	LPIO-23320		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247179	LPIO-23321		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1245146	LPIO-2342	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	NO GREEN BELT RELEASE AT ALL						
1248445	LPIO-23821		If the Council, unwisely, proceeds with its 2A or 2B options, Option 2A has the advantage of protecting all parcels indicated, releasing only those for which there emerges, over time, an indisputable need as evidenced by actual demands not met from the development of brownfield sites.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659115	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659116				
1248448	LPIO-23855		A dispersal strategy is the optimum way to achieve the housing delivery so that there are a range of sites and developers across the Wirral to meet housing needs where they arise.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656108	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656110				
1242185	LPIO-23914		We do not think exceptional circumstances exist to justify Green Belt release, therefore we believe we should not comment on a preferred approach at this stage, as it would be unhelpful to ambitions associated with regeneration aims, thus entirely premature to do so. We believe the pressure from Government and threat of special measures may have led to the Council jumping straight to allocating Green Belt sites. Currently, the Council has not progressed the respective evidence bases adequately and even though we understand the need to refresh the information available, we do not understand how certain decisions concerning allocations can be made without having the full set of evidence before the Council. We do not think it is a robust approach to allocate sites based on 'draft' or 'interim' evidence bases, that are still in need of further refinement. The analysis and findings must lead to the 'best' sites being allocated, when considered against relevant 'sustainable development criteria'. If not, land in the wrong, or least sustainable locations will be proposed as allocations and this is what we believe to have occurred with the allocations proposed in the Issues and Options Local Plan (Regulation 18). We believe it was premature to list Green Belt sites without public comment and progression of important issues such as the impact of developing the particular parcels and impacts on heritage assets, leading to misleading conclusions about 'weakly performing' Green Belt land.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684263	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5657006			
1248472	LPIO-24045		The dispersed release of land from the Green Belt coupled with sensible aspirations for delivery urban intensification are supported. As drafted the Plan is likely to fail as it over-reliant on urban intensification.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684824	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684823				
1247798	LPIO-24257		Spatial Option 2A must be advanced if the Council is to progress a 'sound' Local Plan. Smaller sites are unlikely to be subject to the same potential viability implications associated with the delivery of larger sites (i.e. highways improvements/delivery and phasing), would be capable of completion in a shorter timescale and are likely to have fewer land ownership constraints (including issues such as land value equalisation, ransom positions).	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684846					
1248517	LPIO-24285		Option 2A is our preferred approach, having regard to the evidence of proposed need and the evidence of Wirral Green Belt Review 2019 we believe that this is the preferred strategic option. We agree with Paragraph 4.54 that land that ought to be considered should be: Avoid land falling within Flood Zone 3; Avoid land with statutory environmental designations; Include land considered to perform weakly against Green Belt purposes; and Include land with a known developer/landowner interest but believe that in order to accord with the NPPF a further criterion needs to be added to prioritise the release of previously developed land.						
1244826	LPIO-2429		I would prefer no release at all of our green belt land on Wirral.						
1248142	LPIO-24295		I do not support the idea of any green belt release. I do not agree with a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, nor a hybrid of the two options.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5658623					
1246559 Cheshire Wildlife Trust	LPIO-24558		It is absolutely crucial that the ability to protect and enhance the natural environment has to inform this decision. The forthcoming GI review and Ecological Network study should be key documents used for this purpose, therefore we propose that no decisions are made until the appropriate studies are complete.						
1248825	LPIO-24621		Other options which involve the release of Green Belt still require Wirral Waters to play an integral role at the heart of the Plan and its strategy and make a significant contribution to the deliverable and developable housing land supply. If Options 2A or 2B are progressed, it is vital that the priority continues to be supporting development and investment at Wirral Waters and across the surrounding areas.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684264					

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1242697	LPIO-24688		The real advantage is with Option 2B – a single site. While I consider no greenbelt should be released, this option does have the advantage that the development can be planned as a whole with the dwelling mix to be optimised according to the genuine need. And the site is relatively close to Arrowse Park and Clatterbridge Hospitals. If the Council can prove, despite its failure to use the latest demographic evidence and understated delivery in Tables 4.1 and Table 4.2, that more land is absolutely necessary for minimal needs (and not a wish list or aspirations), then the single urban site at Option 2B enables management of dwelling mix, concentrates harm in one area, and diverts activity away from population centres which are already under pressure.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659118	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659119	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659120	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121		
1245936	LPIO-24742		Would prefer to see a dispersed release of land approach esp in relation to 4.14						
1248749	LPIO-24879	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	We would recommend the dispersed release of Green Belt land, which has numerous benefits over a single large urban extension, including improved economy for a number of settlements; less impact on local infrastructure; less prolonged impact on local residents; ability to deliver housing and affordable housing within first 5 years; delivery of housing requirements across the whole of the Borough; less impact on the Green Belt through use of low contributing Green Belt sites; benefits from S106 agreements to go to multiple locations; would not lead to the merging of settlements; and would better respond to market demand and assist house prices in a number of locations. A hybrid approach would be similar to the dispersed method given that the large urban settlement would no doubt be reduced into smaller land parcels but before a hybrid approach is considered we would encourage a more thorough investigation of other Green Belt sites based on the assessment of individual SHLAA sites and not strategic parcels. We consider that there are further Green Belt sites appropriate for development which have been overlooked because they are located within a strategic parcel which does not represent the same characteristics of the site. As part of a hybrid approach we would also require more information on why any currently allocated Green Belt site has been removed, as there is a significant development requirement which cannot be dealt with by the urban intensification approach alone.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684847	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684848	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684845			
1248769	LPIO-25001	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	We would recommend the dispersed release of Green Belt land, which has numerous benefits over a single large urban extension, including improved economy for a number of settlements; less impact on local infrastructure; less prolonged impact on local residents; ability to deliver housing and affordable housing within first 5 years; delivery of housing requirements across the whole of the Borough; less impact on the Green Belt through use of low contributing Green Belt sites; benefits from S106 agreements to go to multiple locations; would not lead to the merging of settlements; and would better respond to market demand and assist house prices in a number of locations. A hybrid approach would be similar to the dispersed method given that the large urban settlement would no doubt be reduced into smaller land parcels but before a hybrid approach is considered we would encourage a more thorough investigation of other Green Belt sites based on the assessment of individual SHLAA sites and not strategic parcels. We consider that there are further Green Belt sites appropriate for development which have been overlooked because they are located within a strategic parcel which does not represent the same characteristics of the site. As part of a hybrid approach we would also require more information on why any currently allocated Green Belt site has been removed, as there is a significant development requirement which cannot be dealt with by the urban intensification approach alone.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659045	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684957	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659039	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659038	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684956	
1248823	LPIO-25105		We would recommend the dispersed release of Green Belt land, which has numerous benefits over a single large urban extension, including improved economy for a number of settlements; less impact on local infrastructure; less prolonged impact on local residents; ability to deliver housing and affordable housing within first 5 years; delivery of housing requirements across the whole of the Borough; less impact on the Green Belt through use of low contributing Green Belt sites; benefits from S106 agreements to go to multiple locations; would not lead to the merging of settlements; and would better respond to market demand and assist house prices in a number of locations. A hybrid approach would be similar to the dispersed method given that the large urban settlement would no doubt be reduced into smaller land parcels but before a hybrid approach is considered we would encourage a more thorough investigation of other Green Belt sites based on the assessment of individual SHLAA sites and not strategic parcels. We consider that there are further Green Belt sites appropriate for development which have been overlooked because they are located within a strategic parcel which does not represent the same characteristics of the site. As part of a hybrid approach we would also require more information on why any currently allocated Green Belt site has been removed, as there is a significant development requirement which cannot be dealt with by the urban intensification approach alone.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674317	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684865	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684849			
1242541	LPIO-2515		We should not be releasing greenbelt land						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248832	LPIO-25213		We would recommend the dispersed release of Green Belt land, which has numerous benefits over a single large urban extension, including improved economy for a number of settlements; less impact on local infrastructure; less prolonged impact on local residents; ability to deliver housing and affordable housing within first 5 years; delivery of housing requirements across the whole of the Borough; less impact on the Green Belt through use of low contributing Green Belt sites; benefits from S106 agreements to go to multiple locations; would not lead to the merging of settlements; and would better respond to market demand and assist house prices in a number of locations. A hybrid approach would be similar to the dispersed method given that the large urban settlement would no doubt be reduced into smaller land parcels but before a hybrid approach is considered we would encourage a more thorough investigation of other Green Belt sites based on the assessment of individual SHLAA sites and not strategic parcels. We consider that there are further Green Belt sites appropriate for development which have been overlooked because they are located within a strategic parcel which does not represent the same characteristics of the site. As part of a hybrid approach we would also require more information on why any currently allocated Green Belt site has been removed, as there is a significant development requirement which cannot be dealt with by the urban intensification approach alone.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684857	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659562				
1245083	LPIO-2523		None of the above. I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1248833	LPIO-25319	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	We would recommend the dispersed release of Green Belt land, which has numerous benefits over a single large urban extension, including improved economy for a number of settlements; less impact on local infrastructure; less prolonged impact on local residents; ability to deliver housing and affordable housing within first 5 years; delivery of housing requirements across the whole of the Borough; less impact on the Green Belt through use of low contributing Green Belt sites; benefits from S106 agreements to go to multiple locations; would not lead to the merging of settlements; and would better respond to market demand and assist house prices in a number of locations. A hybrid approach would be similar to the dispersed method given that the large urban settlement would no doubt be reduced into smaller land parcels but before a hybrid approach is considered we would encourage a more thorough investigation of other Green Belt sites based on the assessment of individual SHLAA sites and not strategic parcels. We consider that there are further Green Belt sites appropriate for development which have been overlooked because they are located within a strategic parcel which does not represent the same characteristics of the site. As part of a hybrid approach we would also require more information on why any currently allocated Green Belt site has been removed, as there is a significant development requirement which cannot be dealt with by the urban intensification approach alone.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661125	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661100	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661124	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661129		
1248956	LPIO-25386		It will be necessary to release Green Belt land if the Borough is to address its housing needs within the plan and this should be genuinely dispersed, in proportion with the needs of each settlement area, rather than being focused in a single location. The Sustainability Appraisal also identifies dispersed Green Belt release as preferable to release in a single location, when considering the positive effects of delivering new homes across the Borough, biodiversity and landscape and is the only option that has the potential for significant positive effects for both housing and biodiversity.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684859	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677474				
1248956	LPIO-25387		We consider that no single spatial option is head and shoulders above the rest in terms of the likely effects on European sites, when considering recreational pressure and functionally-linked habitat.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684859	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677474				
1248986	LPIO-25529		We would recommend the dispersed release of Green Belt land, which has numerous benefits over a single large urban extension, including improved economy for a number of settlements; less impact on local infrastructure; less prolonged impact on local residents; ability to deliver housing and affordable housing within first 5 years; delivery of housing requirements across the whole of the Borough; less impact on the Green Belt through use of low contributing Green Belt sites; benefits from S106 agreements to go to multiple locations; would not lead to the merging of settlements; and would better respond to market demand and assist house prices in a number of locations. A hybrid approach would be similar to the dispersed method given that the large urban settlement would no doubt be reduced into smaller land parcels but before a hybrid approach is considered we would encourage a more thorough investigation of other Green Belt sites based on the assessment of individual SHLAA sites and not strategic parcels. We consider that there are further Green Belt sites appropriate for development which have been overlooked because they are located within a strategic parcel which does not represent the same characteristics of the site. As part of a hybrid approach we would also require more information on why any currently allocated Green Belt site has been removed, as there is a significant development requirement which cannot be dealt with by the urban intensification approach alone.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662723	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662725	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662770			

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1249015	LPIO-25586		It will be necessary to release Green Belt land if the Borough is to address its housing needs within the plan and this should be genuinely dispersed, in proportion with the needs of each settlement area, rather than being focused in a single location. The Sustainability Appraisal also identifies dispersed Green Belt release as preferable to release in a single location, when considering the positive effects of delivering new homes across the Borough.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684897					
1249070	LPIO-25674		It will be necessary to release Green Belt land if the Borough is to address its housing needs within the plan and this should be genuinely dispersed, in proportion with the needs of each settlement area, rather than being focused in a single location. The Sustainability Appraisal also identifies dispersed Green Belt release as preferable to release in a single location, when considering the positive effects of delivering new homes across the Borough.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684896	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5679650				
1246458	LPIO-25855		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1246459	LPIO-25856		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1243721	LPIO-2589		None of these is attractive or acceptable, whilst there is sufficient land space elsewhere to support regeneration.						
1249100	LPIO-25914		It will be necessary to release Green Belt land if the Borough is to address its housing needs within the plan and this should be genuinely dispersed, in proportion with the needs of each settlement area, rather than being focused in a single location. The Sustainability Appraisal also identifies dispersed Green Belt release as preferable to release in a single location, when considering the positive effects of delivering new homes across the Borough.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677514	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677512	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684898	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684949	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677509	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684951
1249100	LPIO-25914		It will be necessary to release Green Belt land if the Borough is to address its housing needs within the plan and this should be genuinely dispersed, in proportion with the needs of each settlement area, rather than being focused in a single location. The Sustainability Appraisal also identifies dispersed Green Belt release as preferable to release in a single location, when considering the positive effects of delivering new homes across the Borough.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677510	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684895	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677508	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677511	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677513	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677516
1249100	LPIO-25914		It will be necessary to release Green Belt land if the Borough is to address its housing needs within the plan and this should be genuinely dispersed, in proportion with the needs of each settlement area, rather than being focused in a single location. The Sustainability Appraisal also identifies dispersed Green Belt release as preferable to release in a single location, when considering the positive effects of delivering new homes across the Borough.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677507					
1249100	LPIO-25915		Option 2B would also take much longer to deliver than Option 2A, due to the substantial infrastructure requirements and delivery in a single location would saturate the market. It is likely that no more than 3 to 4 housebuilders would build out at the same site under Option 2B, whereas Option 2A enables multiple housebuilders to deliver across the Borough at the same time.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677514	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677512	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684898	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684949	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677509	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684951
1249100	LPIO-25915		Option 2B would also take much longer to deliver than Option 2A, due to the substantial infrastructure requirements and delivery in a single location would saturate the market. It is likely that no more than 3 to 4 housebuilders would build out at the same site under Option 2B, whereas Option 2A enables multiple housebuilders to deliver across the Borough at the same time.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677510	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684895	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677508	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677511	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677513	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677516
1249100	LPIO-25915		Option 2B would also take much longer to deliver than Option 2A, due to the substantial infrastructure requirements and delivery in a single location would saturate the market. It is likely that no more than 3 to 4 housebuilders would build out at the same site under Option 2B, whereas Option 2A enables multiple housebuilders to deliver across the Borough at the same time.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677507					

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1249116	LPIO-25987 1 OF 2		We do not support a single urban extension option in isolation but acknowledge that the Council has suggested that a potential solution may be a hybrid approach. Any hybrid approach would need to include a substantial number of sites dispersed around the Borough to meet the needs that we have identified. We are promoting sites identified in Table 4.5 of the Issues and Options consultation as being able to deliver 240 and 1,160 dwellings respectively. However, these capacities do not reflect the site specific considerations (including those set out at paragraph 4.58 of the Issues and Options consultation) and detailed technical work carried to date and submitted to the Council at several points in the preparation of the Local Plan which clearly demonstrate how the sites can deliver 180 dwellings and 260 dwellings respectively. A major factor in these differences is that we have carefully considered the sites and have undertaken detailed masterplanning to ensure that site constraints, including retaining appropriate areas of natural and open space (the attached masterplan demonstrates how the site can be developed sensitively whilst taking into account and addressing the flood risk issues on the eastern portion and maintaining a sufficient amount of open space to create a well-designed development).	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675698	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675693	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675700	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675692		
1249116	LPIO-25987 2 OF 2		We do not support a single urban extension option in isolation but acknowledge that the Council has suggested that a potential solution may be a hybrid approach. Any hybrid approach would need to include a substantial number of sites dispersed around the Borough to meet the needs that we have identified. We are promoting sites identified in Table 4.5 of the Issues and Options consultation as being able to deliver 240 and 1,160 dwellings respectively. However, these capacities do not reflect the site specific considerations (including those set out at paragraph 4.58 of the Issues and Options consultation) and detailed technical work carried to date and submitted to the Council at several points in the preparation of the Local Plan which clearly demonstrate how the sites can deliver 180 dwellings and 260 dwellings respectively. A major factor in these differences is that we have carefully considered the sites and have undertaken detailed masterplanning to ensure that site constraints, including retaining appropriate areas of natural and open space (the attached masterplan demonstrates how the site can be developed sensitively whilst taking into account and addressing the flood risk issues on the eastern portion and maintaining a sufficient amount of open space to create a well-designed development).	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675697	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675694	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675696			
1249116	LPIO-26035		We do not support a single urban extension option in isolation but acknowledge that the Council has suggested that a potential solution may be a hybrid approach. Any hybrid approach would need to include a substantial number of sites dispersed around the Borough to meet the needs that we have identified. We only control the western portion of the Irby Farm site (SHLAA1932) and the attached masterplan demonstrates how the first phase of this site (southern parcel) can be developed sensitively whilst taking into account and addressing any flood risk issues associated with, and providing a sufficient amount of open space adjacent to Arrowe Brook.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684802	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684835	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677041	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677037	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684804	
1249116	LPIO-26068		We do not support a single urban extension option in isolation but acknowledge that the Council has suggested that a potential solution may be a hybrid approach. Any hybrid approach would need to include a substantial number of sites dispersed around the Borough to meet the needs that we have identified.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674240	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684832	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674256	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684834	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684837	
1249116	LPIO-26086		We do not support a single urban extension option in isolation but acknowledge that the Council has suggested that a potential solution may be a hybrid approach. Any hybrid approach would need to include a substantial number of sites dispersed around the Borough to meet the needs that we have identified.						
1249271	LPIO-26131		A dispersed approach will allow identified needs to be met in settlement areas where needs have been identified outside of the main focus on regeneration, to help sustain their vitality and viability, reduce the widening affordability gap, deliver affordable homes and meet the need for choice and for larger, higher value aspirational family homes.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677492	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678239	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678247	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684889	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678241	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678248
1249271	LPIO-26131		A dispersed approach will allow identified needs to be met in settlement areas where needs have been identified outside of the main focus on regeneration, to help sustain their vitality and viability, reduce the widening affordability gap, deliver affordable homes and meet the need for choice and for larger, higher value aspirational family homes.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677491	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677493	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678242	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684854	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678236	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678244
1249271	LPIO-26131		A dispersed approach will allow identified needs to be met in settlement areas where needs have been identified outside of the main focus on regeneration, to help sustain their vitality and viability, reduce the widening affordability gap, deliver affordable homes and meet the need for choice and for larger, higher value aspirational family homes.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677494	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685010	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678243	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678240	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677490	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678246

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1249271	LPIO-26131		A dispersed approach will allow identified needs to be met in settlement areas where needs have been identified outside of the main focus on regeneration, to help sustain their vitality and viability, reduce the widening affordability gap, deliver affordable homes and meet the need for choice and for larger, higher value aspirational family homes.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5678238					
1249263	LPIO-26182		A dispersed approach will allow identified needs to be met in settlement areas where needs have been identified outside of the main focus on regeneration, to help sustain their vitality and viability, reduce the widening affordability gap, deliver affordable homes and meet the need for choice and for larger, higher value aspirational family homes.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684852					
1249638	LPIO-26295 1 of 2		We have a clear evidenced based view, supported by the Consortium's response that the Council will have to release land from the Green Belt in order that future housing needs are met in Wirral and the WLP can be found to be sound. Critical to any decision regarding the location and scale of sites to be released will comprise of a balance between which sites make the least contribution towards the purposes of including land in the Green Belt and those that are known to be developable, available and deliverable, in sustainable locations. A range of supply in a range of locations should be also be provided to ensure that the identified housing market needs are provided for across the borough and the persistent short fall in delivery of housing in Wirral is addressed. We consider that the principal of a dispersed release of sites would be attractive to the market and to residents looking to acquire a variety of house types across the borough, including those in need of affordable housing.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675735	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685061	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685064	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685062	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685066	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685065
1249638	LPIO-26295 2 of 2		However, as it stands, the vast majority of dispersed land under Option 2A in the consultation document is heavily dependent on the deliverability of Parcel 7.27, which is estimated as being able to provide 1,106 dwellings across the 56.42 hectare site. We therefore consider it necessary to pursue the dispersed approach, but given the quantum of land that needs to be released from the Green Belt, it is inevitable that some larger urban extensions will also be necessary and so SHLAA3003/4020 is well placed in this regard, when considering its sustainability credentials and the weak contribution the land currently makes towards the purposes for including land within the Green Belt as identified in the Green Belt Review.						
1249638	LPIO-26324 1 of 2		We have a clear evidenced based view, supported by the Consortium's response that the Council will have to release land from the Green Belt in order that future housing needs are met in Wirral and the WLP can be found to be sound. Critical to any decision regarding the location and scale of sites to be released will comprise of a balance between which sites make the least contribution towards the purposes of including land in the Green Belt and those that are known to be developable, available and deliverable, in sustainable locations. A range of supply in a range of locations should be also be provided to ensure that the identified housing market needs are provided for across the borough and the persistent short fall in delivery of housing in Wirral is addressed. We consider that the principal of a dispersed release of sites would be attractive to the market and to residents looking to acquire a variety of house types across the borough, including those in need of affordable housing.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675735	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685061	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685064	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685062	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685066	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685065
1249638	LPIO-26324 2 of 2		However, as it stands, the vast majority of dispersed land under Option 2A in the consultation document is heavily dependent on the deliverability of Parcel 7.27, which is estimated as being able to provide 1,106 dwellings across the 56.42 hectare site. We therefore consider it necessary to pursue the dispersed approach, but given the quantum of land that needs to be released from the Green Belt, it is inevitable that some larger urban extensions will also be necessary and so SHLAA1774/1776 is well placed in this regard, when considering its sustainability credentials and the weak contribution the land currently makes towards the purposes for including land within the Green Belt as identified in the Green Belt Review.						
1249743	LPIO-26369 1 of 2		We have taken a clear evidenced based view, supported by the Consortium's response, that the Council will have to release land from the Green Belt for the WLP to be found sound. It is also evidenced that the amount of land to be released from Green Belt should increase from the considered WLP position. Critical to any decision regarding the location and scale of sites to be released will be a balancing exercise between which sites make the least contribution towards the purposes of including land in the Green Belt and those that are known to be developable, available and deliverable, in sustainable locations. A range of supply in a range of locations should also be provided to ensure that the identified housing market needs are provided for across the borough and the persistent shortfall in delivery of housing in Wirral is addressed for the long term social and economic sustainability of the Borough. We consider that the principal of a dispersed release of sites would be attractive to the market and to residents looking to acquire a variety of house types across the Borough.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684858					

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1249743	LPIO-26369 2 of 2		However the deliverability of dispersed land under Option 2A in the consultation document is heavily dependent on Parcel 7.27, which is estimated as being able to provide 1,106 dwellings across the 56.42 hectare site. This 'dispersal' option is reliant on one site in one location to deliver almost 45% of the required 2,500 shortfall of homes. A significant quantum of land needs to be released from the Green Belt, well in excess of the 2,500 currently allowed for under Option 2A. We therefore consider it necessary to pursue the dispersed approach only, but given the quantum of land that needs to be released from the Green Belt, it is inevitable that some smaller-medium sized urban extensions will also be necessary. SHLAA1942 is well placed in this regard, when considering its sustainability credentials and the weak contribution the land makes towards the purposes of including land within the Green Belt, as identified at the Development Options Stage and within the Council's GBR.						
1249746	LPIO-26403		The potential for a single urban extension adjacent to Eastham and Bromborough requires further evaluation, and the Council has suggested it might accommodate 1,394 dwellings. Inevitably, additional smaller allocations would therefore be required in addition even if it is otherwise justified. The Council has not fully evaluated the potential of weakly performing Green Belt sites for housing allocation. In the case of Vineyard Farm (SHLAA 1930), there are factual errors, and premature judgement has been made without satisfactory evidence. In conclusion, the correct approach would be to start from an assessment of the urban hierarchy and to proactively plan for the preferred spatial strategy, which must logically be to focus development to the east of the M53 motorway. Whilst they might not constitute a single large urban extension, a grouping of smaller and medium sized urban extensions within this area will complement the regeneration of the urban core, reduce the need to travel and boost housing delivery through providing a combination of viable sales outlets	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683633	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683637	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683635	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683638	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683639	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683636
1249219	LPIO-26474		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677529	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677528				
1249812	LPIO-26550 1 of 2		A balanced growth strategy delivers a significant number of benefits such as contributing to actual and existing housing needs allowing younger families and older people to remain within settlements, rather than move to other locations to secure a home. Something which is likely under Options 1A, 1B and 2B. Distributing growth across the Borough will also balance the impact of development such as associated traffic, congestion and air pollution rather than overburdening the east of the Borough which is already experiencing capacity issues. Increased growth across the Borough will aid the viability of local infrastructure such as local bus services with increased levels of patronage. Given the lack of constraints associated with greenfield sites, there is more confidence that the sites can be developed viably and as such contribute to crucial planning obligations such as open space, education and affordable housing ensuring that local residents benefit from development being delivered in their local area. The importance of a strategy that can deliver affordable housing cannot be underestimated given the existing shortfall and required level of affordable housing going forward over the plan period.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684813	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684811	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684812			
1249812	LPIO-26550 2 of 2		Greenfield sites are inherently more likely to deliver affordable compliant schemes reducing the imbalance across the Borough. This balanced approach will reduce unaffordability ratios, reducing inequality in terms of access the housing market, a major objective of both national and local policy. Concern has been raised over the impact of releasing Green Belt in terms of openness and landscape harm. However, given that the sites proposed are land parcels that perform weakly contribution against the principles of the Green Belt and are isolated parcels on the edge of settlements, the level of harm is likely to be low. The delivery of housing and the Local Plan being found sound far outweigh any harm to openness. It is worth noting that currently 45% (7,317 hectares) of the Wirral is classed as Green Belt. If all of the 9 sites were released from the Green Belt, there would be an overall reduction of 0.9% of the Green Belt with a total of 7,173 hectares. Should only SHLAA4056 be released, there would be a reduction of just 0.11% of the total Green Belt across the Wirral. It is our view that clearly demonstrates there would be very limited harm to the total amount of Green Belt across the Wirral should the Council adopt option 2A.						
1246736	LPIO-26567		In our client's view it is necessary to release land from the Green Belt. In doing so, regard should be made to paragraphs 138, 139 and 140 of the NPPF. In terms of our client's site, because it is not necessary to restrict development in Eastham Village as it does not have an open character that makes an important contribution to the openness of the Green Belt, it is not necessary to retain the village in the Green Belt. It is already within a conservation area and therefore the village should be excluded from the Green Belt as conservation policies provide sufficient protection.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1240932	LPIO-26615	c An 'Hybrid' Option involving a mix of Options 2A and 2B	We support a hybrid option. We strongly believe that it will be necessary for the Council to release land from the Green Belt to enable a sound plan to be created. We support a hybrid option of the single larger urban extension identified on land west of Barnston Road, Heswall and the dispersed release of other sites as part of a comprehensive partnership to meet the Borough's development needs.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683689	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682697	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682701			
1245180	LPIO-2715		I would prefer no release whatsoever.						
1245058	LPIO-2739	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	If release of land from the Green Belt were to be considered necessary, a single urban extension is preferable to dispersed development, because suitable infrastructure could be included, there would be greater opportunity to demand high standards from developers, and proper communities could be planned for.						
1237944	LPIO-2763	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	A larger urban development would be more suitable for a robust Design Brief completed in advance of any development proposal to ensure infrastructure (e.g. shops; schools; medical facilities; religious provision; commercial services) are provided at an early stage, together with Green Zones to visually separate parcels of development. Such a Design Brief must include robust public transport policy to ensure links to a Central Transport Hub (Conway Park rail/bus transport hub in Birkenhead).						
1238835	LPIO-2830		There is absolutely no need to release any of the Greenbelt on the Wirral, so this question is irrelevant.						
1237546 Wirral Wildlife	LPIO-2865	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	The single large release proposed would urbanise a large section of central Wirral, reducing wildlife corridors and impacting protected species, priority habitat, priority species and possibly Supporting Habitat to SPAs. Dispersed release would still be very harmful to wildlife, but slightly less than the large area. Much the best option is to accept that the target of 12000 houses is unnecessary, and accommodate a reduced target in the urban areas.						
1242134	LPIO-298	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension							
1245287	LPIO-3115	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension							
1238645	LPIO-3124	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	If release of land from the Green Belt were to be considered necessary, a single urban extension is preferable to dispersed development, because suitable infrastructure could be included, there would be greater opportunity to demand high standards from developers, and proper communities could be planned for.						
1241315	LPIO-3282		I would prefer no release whatsoever.						
1245416	LPIO-3373	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension							
1245346	LPIO-3431	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	If release of land from the Green Belt were to be considered necessary, a single urban extension is preferable to dispersed development, because suitable infrastructure could be included, there would be greater opportunity to demand high standards from developers, and proper communities could be planned for.						
1245437	LPIO-3522		No release of green belt whatsoever.						
1245448	LPIO-3557	c An 'Hybrid' Option involving a mix of Options 2A and 2B	This option would provide a better balance for the needs of the community in terms of housing mix, access to greenbelt and sustainability. It would also mean that no current available farmland would be used for housing development; this surely must be an absolute criterion considering the need to feed an increasing population in a world which is experiencing a dramatic change in climate exacerbated by global warming.						
1238549	LPIO-359	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension							
1237827	LPIO-3793	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	A single urban extension would impact fewer current residents, and be much easier to control and to develop. A phased approach to the development needs to be taken, releasing land as and when other options and opportunities are found wanting. Use of Green Belt needs to remain a last option for the Council.						
1245498	LPIO-3965	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	to be honest no release of greenbelt at all						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1242359	LPIO-397	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	I like the idea of a single larger urban extension, especially at the edge of Heswall/Barnston where ribbon development makes the greenbelt largely invisible. It would also add more of a mix of inhabitants to this area and add to the diversity of the population.						
1245513	LPIO-4035	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	Dispersed release has far greater benefits and less negatives compared to single large development.						
1240939	LPIO-4138	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	Smaller sites offering less impact on surrounding areas						
1245638	LPIO-4260		I would prefer no release of greenbelt land whatsoever.						
1239029	LPIO-4344		You do not need any greenbelt						
1245153	LPIO-4377	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	Urban intensification and investment in Birkenhead, Wallasey Docks and other eastern Wirral sites is far and away the best option but if that needs to be supplemented a single larger urban extension is preferable to dispersed release.						
1245501	LPIO-4411		I would prefer no release of greenbelt whatsoever.						
1237667	LPIO-4564	c An 'Hybrid' Option involving a mix of Options 2A and 2B	I do not consider that there should be any release of land from the Green Belt						
1244720	LPIO-4636		Neither and none of the above options because Wirral only has 2/5 greenbelt left and this needs to be protected. Wirral is loved for its heritage, open spaces and coastline, and its wildlife depends on us to protect it. Any incursion on precious greenbelt threatens this and will damage the tourist potential of the peninsula. Wirral residents feel strongly about this issue; over 35,000 people across the whole of Wirral have registered their objections to any building on greenbelt. WBC really needs to listen to them.						
1237696	LPIO-4711	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	Any development must not create pockets of urban housing so larger greenbelt areas can be left untouched.						
1237873	LPIO-4857		I would prefer no release whatsoever.						
1241327	LPIO-4967	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release							
1245816	LPIO-5029		A convincing case has not been made for the release of Green Belt land. If the Council were to use the housing need figure arrived at by up-to-date expert analysis, urban regeneration alone will cover all demand.						
1245713	LPIO-5071		Do not agree with releasing any greenbelt						
1237923	LPIO-5076		i do not prefer any of these options as there should be no release of green belt at all						
1244215	LPIO-5207	c An 'Hybrid' Option involving a mix of Options 2A and 2B	I favour a larger urban expansion to ensure that infrastructure is properly considered and invested in as required - but feel that some small additional dispersed sites would be useful to allow some 'quick wins' and growth in all settlements.						
1245908	LPIO-5296		i have not chosen an option because it is a loaded question. Brownfield sites and Peel should be pushed to deliver the quota required						
1246041	LPIO-5552		No Green belt land should be used						
1246159	LPIO-5605	c An 'Hybrid' Option involving a mix of Options 2A and 2B	If development in the green belt cannot be avoided, the net minimisation of impact on the green belt of option 2B is desirable for that reason, although I have doubts that transport infrastructure in particular could cope with this (unless there is a significant bus, rail and road upgrade to accommodate this development). Perhaps the impact of overdeveloping the option 2B 'urban extension' could be mitigated with development of certain sites included in option 2A, selected in terms of maximum sustainability and minimum contribution to the green belt.						
1245073	LPIO-5634		I do not want to see any release of green belt. The housing requirement figure of 12,000 homes is vastly over exaggerated and there is no requirement for green belt release. Options 2A and 2B should be removed from the plan.						
1241661	LPIO-5653	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	If release of land from the Green Belt were to be considered necessary, a single urban extension is preferable to dispersed development, because suitable infrastructure could be included, there would be greater opportunity to demand high standards from developers, and proper communities could be planned for.						
1245984	LPIO-5731	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	We would strongly object to any green belt release but option 2b is preferable to option 2a.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1241868	LPIO-5783		I would prefer to see no release of Green Belt land whatsoever. The green aspect is what makes Wirral attractive to residents and tourists.						
1244896	LPIO-5796 1 of 2		Stop asking the same Questions. Instead, ask: why are the Council and their Consultants ("hired guns") continuing with a Local Plan based upon a 'Housing Need' figure known to be wrong, far too high and problematic, and publicly acknowledged as such by Senior Cabinet Members and Officers as well as by the most eminent specialist in the Country (a Professor of Manchester University) and other experts, to the extent that NONE of the Council's advisors have produced any substantiation despite repeated requests? As stated previously, ITPAS and WGSAs will NOT enter into a commentary on relative merits of Green Belt Sites, even hypothetically. There is no need nor justification. ALL GB Options are unacceptable, unsustainable, unnecessary, wrongly located, destructive, unhealthy, polluting, unsuited to the housing required (e.g. smaller, starter, apartments, 'affordable' and NOT larger dwellings).						
1244896	LPIO-5796 2 of 2		This is the opinion of the public and relevant experts. In view of the 2018 Development Options Review Responses NOT having been fully assessed or properly reported upon (in contravention of EU, UK Government and Local Government Guidelines), former Government Statistician and WGSAs Member performed an analysis of a representative and statistically reliable number of the Responses and found that the proportion of Responders demanding that Green Belt boundaries remain as they are now and that no GB land is released for development was in the high 90s of percentage. Ignoring this extent of clear instruction is clearly undemocratic and unjustified. Corrective steps MUST be taken. This Question should not be being asked and is symptomatic of a fatally flawed Local Plan process based upon a wholly false premise. As the principals know and admit this to be the case, it has to be asked how and when they will be held to account?						
1246295	LPIO-5839	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release							
1245767	LPIO-5902		A more realistic assessment of Wirral's housing need is capable of being accommodated within existing urban and regeneration areas without any need to open up the Green Belt.						
1246310	LPIO-5941		I would prefer no release whatsoever!!!!!!						
1245599	LPIO-6120		The council could meet the realistic housing need of the Wirral by using brownfield sites and not using any greenbelt.						
1242751	LPIO-614		I would prefer no release of Greenbelt whatsoever.						
1246339	LPIO-6163	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension							
1238310	LPIO-6168	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	WBC needs to clarify the options. The options information provided in the document is contradictory. Option2a is not a dispersed option. Option 2b is already a hybrid as the sites with developer's interest in option 2b are also included in option 2a. Option 1a the urban intensification approach cannot include greenbelt. If it includes greenbelt for 20% of its housing need then by definition it is not an urban intensification approach. WBC has identified over 15500 sites on urban land. There are 2750 surplus urban sites. WBC does not need to supplement from greenbelt sites. Using the typologies included in the 2018 Viability report 2018 all Wirral's housing need can be proved developable using urban intensification. There are sufficient brownfield sites to select from. Option1b stepped urban intensification should be used to eliminate this question. WBC has published no evidence that greenbelt sites are developable or deliverable. WBC clearly states sites with developer's interest will be prioritised. It appears it will be developer's preference that decides the greenbelt site selection not citizens answering this question. This is clearly not in the best interests of the Wirral and its people.						
1246161	LPIO-6237	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	WUTH believes that Option 2A - dispersed release of land is preferable as it distributes the impact upon health systems across the Borough.						
1246410	LPIO-6409		Leave Green Belt alone.						
1246402	LPIO-6443		Dont agree with any release of Green Belt						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246425	LPIO-6545		There is no need to present two options for Green Belt release as it is a sequential process that should result in sites that best promote sustainable patterns of development being given first consideration over sites that will result in less sustainable patterns of development. The sites that best promote sustainable patterns of development should be released from the Green Belt to meet identified need until no further sites are needed. This means that sites that are well served by public transport, that meet the Council's accessibility objectives and preferred approach to the settlement hierarchy should be released first. Put simply, Green Belt sites in the Urban Conurbation should be released before sites to the west of the M53 and when identified need is met, no further Green Belt sites should be released. Eastham contains sites (including SP050 West of Rivacre Road (parcel 4.14)) that are well connected to public transport and so they should be given first consideration for potential release.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5669568					
1241723	LPIO-6566	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	If release of land from the Green Belt were to be considered necessary, a single urban extension is preferable to dispersed development, because suitable infrastructure could be included, there would be greater opportunity to demand high standards from developers, and proper communities could be planned for.						
1245086	LPIO-6616		I would not want any green belt land released for building. It is not necessary & should not be an option. The very worst case scenario is to build one large urban development which would not only destroy a large area of green belt but the need for new roads & other infrastructure with this option would add to the huge environmental impact.						
1246445	LPIO-6754	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	Single urban extension - as long as mature trees were respected and Green Space provided accessible to all.						
1237647	LPIO-682	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	Option 2a is likely to result in a hotch potch of dwellings crammed into the available spaces with no additional amenities or useful infrastructure. Option 2 b has the merit of enabling the creation of a custom-designed village with amenities and infrastructure - a garden village.						
1246348	LPIO-6884		NO BUILDING, UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES, ON GREEN BELT, EITHER LARGE OR SMALL AREAS. IT IS NOT NECESSARY, REPEAT NOT NECESSARY.						
1246482	LPIO-7036		No release whatsoever.						
1246486	LPIO-7106		I would prefer no release of Greenbelt land what so ever.						
1246501	LPIO-7172		I would prefer no release whatsoever.						
1246524	LPIO-7338		Yes, a dispersed release would be better and allow for scaled expansion of school places, additional doctors/health care etc at local facilities						
1246488	LPIO-7372		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1246545	LPIO-7410		Definitely a dispersed release of land, NOT a single larger urban extension.						
1237978	LPIO-7427	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	A dispersed release of land quite definitely.						
1246549	LPIO-7444	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	I would prefer to see a dispersed release of land. To have another Woodchurch Estate would alter the whole area.						
1241770	LPIO-7558	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release							
1240653	LPIO-7559	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release							
1240932	LPIO-7615	c An 'Hybrid' Option involving a mix of Options 2A and 2B	Our Client supports a hybrid-style option. As outlined elsewhere in these representations, Our Client strongly believes that it will be necessary for the Council to release land from the Green Belt to enable a sound plan to be created. We support a hybrid option of the single larger urban extension identified on land west of Barnston Road, Heswall and the dispersed release of other Our Client sites as part of a comprehensive partnership to meet the Borough's development needs in the most sustainable manner possible, bringing significant benefits to the Wirral. The accompanying Vision Document outlines this approach in more detail and proposes a number of land parcels which perform weakly in Green Belt terms which are in Our Client's control and are available for development if required as part of a comprehensive approach to development, Green Belt compensation and the enhancement of the Wirral. All of these sites offer deliverable options for the creation of high-quality, sustainable and healthy neighbourhoods. They are immediately available and have no significant constraints which would prevent them from being brought forward for sustainable development. Further information on Our Client's proposal can be found in our response to Q4.20 and the accompanying Vision Document.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683689	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682697	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682701			

Person ID	ID	Question 4.19 - If it was necessary to supplement urban intensification by releasing land from the Green Belt, would you prefer to see a dispersed release of land, a single larger urban extension, or a hybrid of the two options:	Question 4.19a - Please explain your choice:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246581	LPIO-7649		There should be no release whatsoever. Urban regeneration must be made to work for the benefit for all parts of Wirral. Any release will jeopardise this.						
1246592	LPIO-7747		I do not agree with any release whatsoever of Greenbelt land						
1243342	LPIO-779	c An 'Hybrid' Option involving a mix of Options 2A and 2B	I think a smaller single urban extension, potentially linked to major investment in transport infrastructure coupled with some smaller separate sites would be the best compromise.						
1246594	LPIO-7819		I would prefer no release whatsoever.						
1246431	LPIO-7837		The danger must be that the option of releasing GreenBelt leads to less development in the urban area. I am strongly in favour of the regeneration of the urban areas of Wirral						
1246591	LPIO-7908	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release							
1240903	LPIO-7920		I disagree with ANY release of Greenbelt land.						
1246596	LPIO-8059		No release at all						
1240925	LPIO-8076	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	A phased approach to development, over a period of time, allowing current need to be met, and for local infrastructure to adapt and change to cope with the additional demands placed on it. Moving forward in smaller, prudent increments reduces risk, cost and adverse/unforeseen impact. It allows you to ensure that you retain the flexibility to deliver what is needed for our borough at the time it is needed.						
1246605	LPIO-8154		I would prefer no release whatsoever						
1246612	LPIO-8341	c An 'Hybrid' Option involving a mix of Options 2A and 2B	There will be no need as long as the estimates are accurate and the plan for regeneration of brownfield sites is well thought out. We should only need to discuss greenbelt development if all other options have been exhausted and we are a long way away from that. For now simply take that option off the table then we can all back your plan						
1237882	LPIO-8379	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	I would prefer no release whatsoever.						
1246550	LPIO-8403		I would not want to see any release of Greenbelt land at all. This has to be protected at all costs for the current residents and future generations wellbeing.						
1241852	LPIO-8554	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension							
1237832	LPIO-8616	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	This would have the least environmental impact and if done properly, with new infrastructure and facilities, would be only disastrous, as opposed to catastrophic						
1241096	LPIO-8682		WBC should ensure that it is not necessary to build on greenbelt. Full local consultations should be carried out with residents directly affected by such potential developments if any parcels of land within greenbelt are put forward by owners.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684262	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661944				
1243448	LPIO-877	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	Green belt/field sites should never be developed.						
1246544	LPIO-8838	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	I am not in favour of any of the greenfield options. In extremis, a single site could be better planned for, in terms of infrastructure, but there would have to be very strict planning controls on quality, design, provision of affordable homes and encouragement of a proper community						
1245034	LPIO-8844	c An 'Hybrid' Option involving a mix of Options 2A and 2B	A hybrid option would allow elements of all options to be progressed, ensuring that development can take place as quickly as possible in response to the need.						
1246631	LPIO-8848	b Option 2B: Single larger urban extension	I cannot accept that any release of green belt land is justifiable or acceptable, so I have chose option 2b as the least objectionable but do not regard it as a valid choice.						
1246286	LPIO-8918	a Option 2A: Dispersed Release	Option 2A (Dispersed release) would be preferable because it is more flexible - if less housing is ultimately needed then it is easier for less to be built.						

