

| Person ID | ID | Question 4.14d - Smaller sites may not be able to support significant improvements to local infrastructure. | Question 4.14d - Green Belt lost across a number of locations in the Borough, albeit smaller sites. | Question 4.14e - Are there any other disadvantages ? | Question 4.14f - Please set out any additional disadvantages or comments below: | Attachment 1 | Attachment 2 | Attachment 3 | Attachment 4 | Attachment 5 | Attachment 6 | Attachment 7 |
|-----------|------------|---|---|--|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1241337 | LPIO-10083 | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| 1246760 | LPIO-10133 | Yes | Yes | yes | Major disadvantage: Once developers get any suggestion that green belt land may be released they will fight and lobby for it and neglect brownfield developments because building large expensive houses on green belt is far more lucrative to them and landowners. This will be in exact opposition to the Council's aspirations to develop existing neglected urban areas and will lead to lengthy delays in building any housing. It will also lead to bad feeling and long protracted objections by the voting public opposed to the loss of green belt. | | | | | | | |
| 1246747 | LPIO-10143 | Yes | Yes | yes | Even these smaller/weaker sites are a loss. No building should take place on the greenbelt. | | | | | | | |
| 1241319 | LPIO-10221 | Yes | Yes | yes | Ruining local green belt for residents. | | | | | | | |
| 1241629 | LPIO-10316 | Yes | Yes | yes | it is not accepted that there has been proper analysis of the impact of the loss of such green belt sites. The housing need of about 4,000 can be met from brownfield land. | | | | | | | |
| 1246717 | LPIO-10384 | | | no | | | | | | | | |
| 1246778 | LPIO-10520 | No | No | no | | | | | | | | |
| 1246731 | LPIO-10564 | No | No | yes | Not really, land will be required for development and in that sense, there can always be an argument that some harm is created, but the need and benefits of developing these sites need to be carefully weighed against the harm and absence of the benefit of not meeting the identified housing need. | | | | | | | |
| 1243890 | LPIO-1104 | Yes | Yes | yes | Once greenbelt is lost it is final. We have already had a health centre built on greenbelt land in Thingwall and that is one development too many. I am baffled by the term 'weakly-performing' greenbelt - it is doing what the greenbelt is supposed to do, separate urban areas, improve the climate and our quality of life., | | | | | | | |
| 1247129 | LPIO-11042 | | | yes | This puts a massively skewed increase into the village of Irby, 1800 new homes concentrated in one area I believe this will over subscribe existing services to schools and doctors etc and traffic build on Irby Road and Thingwall Road will cause significant issues. The fields south of Thingwall Road provide needed flood relief building 1100 homes here will further weaken our flood defence. | | | | | | | |
| 1247130 | LPIO-11061 | | | yes | There should not be any options to build on greenbelt at all. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want. | | | | | | | |
| 1247132 | LPIO-11073 | | | yes | Besides all of my previous comments, our Wirral Peninsula is becoming increasingly saturated with water from building on flood fields & land. The water table is at its limits. My own garden in Irby has never flooded in 34 years until now. | | | | | | | |
| 1247133 | LPIO-11091 | | | | I disagree with the release of greenbelt for housing developments. Greenbelt spaces on Wirral are vital to the quality of life for Wirral residents. | | | | | | | |
| 1247135 | LPIO-11107 | | | yes | 60% of the dispersed approach is in or around Irby, so the proposal is not in fact 'dispersed'. | | | | | | | |
| 1245190 | LPIO-11127 | | | | I totally disagree with the need for any Green Belt land release at all for the period covered by this Local Plan, as I expect that our future housing needs will be met via a combination of re-setting the target (as per my response to Qs 3), and by pursuing the Preferred Option 1, Urban Intensification. | | | | | | | |
| 1247144 | LPIO-11142 | | | yes | No greenbelt sites should be released at all. This is not what the residents of Wirral want. There are no advantages to building on greenbelt only disadvantages. | | | | | | | |
| 1247146 | LPIO-11158 | | | yes | I totally disagree. There should NOT be an options to build on any greenbelt. This is not what the majority of Wirral residents want. There is no advantage to building on any Greenbelt. | | | | | | | |
| 1247154 | LPIO-11196 | | | yes | There should be NO release of Greenbelt land. | | | | | | | |
| 1247015 | LPIO-11785 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1243700 | LPIO-1368 | Yes | Yes | yes | The present road infrastructure and traffic volumes of Wirral ensures that any development of green belt land will have an adverse effect on the quality of life currently obtained by residents within the area of development. These adverse effects will penetrate the highway network and key routes of the peninsula as a whole. The present transport evidence is out of date, base on modelling and national trends and totally unsuitable for use as evidence in supporting such developments. | | | | | | | |
| 1238192 | LPIO-13798 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |

| Person ID | ID | Question 4.14d - Smaller sites may not be able to support significant improvements to local infrastructure. | Question 4.14d - Green Belt lost across a number of locations in the Borough, albeit smaller sites. | Question 4.14e - Are there any other disadvantages? | Question 4.14f - Please set out any additional disadvantages or comments below: | Attachment 1 | Attachment 2 | Attachment 3 | Attachment 4 | Attachment 5 | Attachment 6 | Attachment 7 |
|-----------|------------|---|---|---|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1247012 | LPIO-13853 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247014 | LPIO-13907 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247016 | LPIO-14843 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247018 | LPIO-14910 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1244969 | LPIO-1629 | | | | No release of Green Belt. | | | | | | | |
| 1238043 | LPIO-1781 | No | Yes | no | There is no official definition of "weaker" Green Belt. It is just a weasel word for developers. If one of the objectives of green belt is to force regeneration of urban areas, all green belt is of equal strength, especially in the geography of Wirral, as a paeninsular. | | | | | | | |
| 1247021 | LPIO-18394 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1245060 | LPIO-1840 | | | | There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want. | | | | | | | |
| 1247022 | LPIO-18448 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247023 | LPIO-18503 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247024 | LPIO-18558 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247025 | LPIO-18631 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247038 | LPIO-18632 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247039 | LPIO-18753 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247040 | LPIO-18754 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247041 | LPIO-18846 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |

| Person ID | ID | Question 4.14d - Smaller sites may not be able to support significant improvements to local infrastructure. | Question 4.14d - Green Belt lost across a number of locations in the Borough, albeit smaller sites. | Question 4.14e - Are there any other disadvantages? | Question 4.14f - Please set out any additional disadvantages or comments below: | Attachment 1 | Attachment 2 | Attachment 3 | Attachment 4 | Attachment 5 | Attachment 6 | Attachment 7 |
|-----------|------------|---|---|---|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1247042 | LPIO-18912 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247060 | LPIO-18996 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247061 | LPIO-18997 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247063 | LPIO-19088 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247064 | LPIO-19142 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247068 | LPIO-19197 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247071 | LPIO-19254 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247072 | LPIO-19309 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247078 | LPIO-19366 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247080 | LPIO-19440 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247081 | LPIO-19441 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247082 | LPIO-19634 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247083 | LPIO-19689 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247084 | LPIO-19744 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247085 | LPIO-19807 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |

| Person ID | ID | Question 4.14d - Smaller sites may not be able to support significant improvements to local infrastructure. | Question 4.14d - Green Belt lost across a number of locations in the Borough, albeit smaller sites. | Question 4.14e - Are there any other disadvantages? | Question 4.14f - Please set out any additional disadvantages or comments below: | Attachment 1 | Attachment 2 | Attachment 3 | Attachment 4 | Attachment 5 | Attachment 6 | Attachment 7 |
|-----------|------------|---|---|---|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1247088 | LPIO-19873 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247089 | LPIO-19934 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247090 | LPIO-19990 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247091 | LPIO-20044 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247092 | LPIO-20102 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247093 | LPIO-20163 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247094 | LPIO-20222 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247095 | LPIO-20278 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247096 | LPIO-20334 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247099 | LPIO-20390 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247101 | LPIO-20444 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247108 | LPIO-20581 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247102 | LPIO-20582 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247106 | LPIO-20621 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247105 | LPIO-20622 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |

| Person ID | ID | Question 4.14d - Smaller sites may not be able to support significant improvements to local infrastructure. | Question 4.14d - Green Belt lost across a number of locations in the Borough, albeit smaller sites. | Question 4.14e - Are there any other disadvantages? | Question 4.14f - Please set out any additional disadvantages or comments below: | Attachment 1 | Attachment 2 | Attachment 3 | Attachment 4 | Attachment 5 | Attachment 6 | Attachment 7 |
|-----------|------------|---|---|---|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1247109 | LPIO-20714 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247110 | LPIO-20783 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247111 | LPIO-20784 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247112 | LPIO-20934 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247113 | LPIO-20988 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247115 | LPIO-21044 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247116 | LPIO-21098 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247117 | LPIO-21693 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247118 | LPIO-21694 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247145 | LPIO-21801 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247147 | LPIO-21802 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247148 | LPIO-21909 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247150 | LPIO-21910 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1244329 | LPIO-22011 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247119 | LPIO-22082 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |

| Person ID | ID | Question 4.14d - Smaller sites may not be able to support significant improvements to local infrastructure. | Question 4.14d - Green Belt lost across a number of locations in the Borough, albeit smaller sites. | Question 4.14e - Are there any other disadvantages? | Question 4.14f - Please set out any additional disadvantages or comments below: | Attachment 1 | Attachment 2 | Attachment 3 | Attachment 4 | Attachment 5 | Attachment 6 | Attachment 7 |
|-----------|------------|---|---|---|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1246678 | LPIO-22083 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247151 | LPIO-22190 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247152 | LPIO-22191 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247153 | LPIO-22304 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247155 | LPIO-22305 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247156 | LPIO-22412 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247158 | LPIO-22413 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247159 | LPIO-22607 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247160 | LPIO-22608 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247161 | LPIO-22647 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247164 | LPIO-22648 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247167 | LPIO-22780 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247168 | LPIO-22781 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1238835 | LPIO-2281 | Yes | | yes | There are many disadvantages to building on the Greenbelt. The September 2018 consultation told you all that was needed to know, ie DONT BUILD ON THE GREENBELT. There are no advantages to this anywhere. | | | | | | | |
| 1247169 | LPIO-22975 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |

| Person ID | ID | Question 4.14d - Smaller sites may not be able to support significant improvements to local infrastructure. | Question 4.14d - Green Belt lost across a number of locations in the Borough, albeit smaller sites. | Question 4.14e - Are there any other disadvantages? | Question 4.14f - Please set out any additional disadvantages or comments below: | Attachment 1 | Attachment 2 | Attachment 3 | Attachment 4 | Attachment 5 | Attachment 6 | Attachment 7 |
|-----------|------------|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|--------------|
| 1247170 | LPIO-22976 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247173 | LPIO-23057 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247174 | LPIO-23058 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247175 | LPIO-23165 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247176 | LPIO-23166 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247177 | LPIO-23304 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247178 | LPIO-23305 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1247179 | LPIO-23306 | | | | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building. | | | | | | | |
| 1248749 | LPIO-24874 | | | | With regard to the stated disadvantages: smaller sites will not require significant infrastructure improvements given their scale; all the smaller sites are located in areas viable for development and able to offer S106 contributions for any required infrastructure in the area; although Green Belt release would be seen by lots of separate communities it would be on sites which are not deemed to provide a contribution to the Green Belt; the release of smaller sites would significantly reduce the cumulative impact of development compared to one large development parcel. | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684847 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684848 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684845 | | | | |
| 1248769 | LPIO-24996 | | | | With regard to the stated disadvantages: smaller sites will not require significant infrastructure improvements given their scale; all the smaller sites are located in areas viable for development and able to offer S106 contributions for any required infrastructure in the area; although Green Belt release would be seen by lots of separate communities it would be on sites which are not deemed to provide a contribution to the Green Belt; the release of smaller sites would significantly reduce the cumulative impact of development compared to one large development parcel. | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659045 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684957 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659039 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659038 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684956 | | |
| 1248823 | LPIO-25099 | | | | With regard to the stated disadvantages: smaller sites will not require significant infrastructure improvements given their scale; all the smaller sites are located in areas viable for development and able to offer S106 contributions for any required infrastructure in the area; although Green Belt release would be seen by lots of separate communities it would be on sites which are not deemed to provide a contribution to the Green Belt; the release of smaller sites would significantly reduce the cumulative impact of development compared to one large development parcel. | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674317 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684865 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684849 | | | | |
| 1245083 | LPIO-2518 | No | No | no | | | | | | | | |
| 1248833 | LPIO-25314 | | | | With regard to the stated disadvantages: smaller sites will not require significant infrastructure improvements given their scale; all the smaller sites are located in areas viable for development and able to offer S106 contributions for any required infrastructure in the area; although Green Belt release would be seen by lots of separate communities it would be on sites which are not deemed to provide a contribution to the Green Belt; the release of smaller sites would significantly reduce the cumulative impact of development compared to one large development parcel. | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661125 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661100 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661124 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661120 | | | |

| Person ID | ID | Question 4.14d - Smaller sites may not be able to support significant improvements to local infrastructure. | Question 4.14d - Green Belt lost across a number of locations in the Borough, albeit smaller sites. | Question 4.14e - Are there any other disadvantages? | Question 4.14f - Please set out any additional disadvantages or comments below: | Attachment 1 | Attachment 2 | Attachment 3 | Attachment 4 | Attachment 5 | Attachment 6 | Attachment 7 |
|----------------------------|------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1248986 | LPIO-25511 | | | | With regard to the stated disadvantages: smaller sites will not require significant infrastructure improvements given their scale; all the smaller sites are located in areas viable for development and able to offer S106 contributions for any required infrastructure in the area; although Green Belt release would be seen by lots of separate communities it would be on sites which are not deemed to provide a contribution to the Green Belt; the release of smaller sites would significantly reduce the cumulative impact of development compared to one large development parcel. | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662723 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662725 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662770 | | | | |
| 1249638 | LPIO-26320 | | | | There are only two disadvantages set out in the WLP against Option 2A. The first claimed disadvantage is a view that smaller sites may not be able to support significant improvements to local infrastructure. Whilst there is merit in the promotion of larger sites to deliver social and physical infrastructure on or close to the site, we do not agree that a range of smaller sites is a disadvantage as the Community Infrastructure Levy was specifically introduced to help capture contributions towards the delivery of major infrastructure at a district scale. The 'smaller' site being referred to, and the 385 being promoted at Greasby, are still of a critical enough scale to be able to deliver major community benefits through off site contributions, in line with identified infrastructure needs. The second stated disadvantage in the WLP is the view that the loss of Green Belt across the Borough as a whole has a negative perception. The GBR does however temper this by confirming that as these will be smaller sites, there will be less of an impact in a single location. We would also add that the GBR is a starting point and that whilst sites that are considered to make a 'Weak Contribution' ultimately a decision could be reached that a 'moderate' scoring site could also be considered appropriate in consideration of other circumstances. process of identifying sites for release should mean that only those sites which make the weakest contribution to the objective and purpose of including land in the Green Belt will be released. As such, the determining factor should be understanding the contribution that individual Parcels (and sites within Parcels) make towards the purposes of including land within the Green Belt, rather than an arbitrary concern about the perception of Green Belt release as a matter of principle across the whole of the borough. We agree that there are no further disadvantages to consider. Finally, the dispersed approach would provide long term flexibility in the supply of housing land and is more likely to meet housing needs across the Borough as a whole. This is a huge advantage that the dispersed approach brings which is not listed in the consultation document. This advantage should be considered by the Council and given significant weight when considering the Preferred Options version of the WLP. | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675735 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685061 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685064 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685062 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685066 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685065 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5685063 |
| 1237944 | LPIO-2750 | Yes | Yes | yes | Detailed and robust Design Brief, and local community consultation and involvement in developing these small sites, would be needed to ensure that these potential development sites are viable in the long-term | | | | | | | |
| 1237546 Wirral Wildlife | LPIO-2855 | Yes | No | no | While dispersed release would spread wildlife damage onto a number of smaller sites, the proposed ones include several with major wildlife impact- out of 10 sites proposed, 3 have major wildlife importance (7.25,6.15,7.27), 3 have lesser but still significant wildlife implications (5.9,7.26,7.18) and similarly possibly 2 more (5.8,7.19). Total 6-8 out of the 10. Which continues to show that in Wirral, whatever Green Belt is chosen for release carries a high risk of significant harm to wildlife. Even if different parcels are chosen, it is likely that there will be wildlife impact because, out of the 54 2018 review parcels, 48 had wildlife objections or concerns. In this ARUP review, out of 43 weakly-performing sites, 22 have serious wildlife objections and 10 more have concerns for impact on wildlife. At least 3 have BMV agricultural land (5.9,4.13.7.11), of significance to future food supplies. | | | | | | | |
| 1239029 | LPIO-2948 | No | | yes | You do not need to use any Green Belt you do not need 12000 new houses. It seems only planning officers think they are required. WHY? | | | | | | | |
| 1241315 | LPIO-3277 | Yes | Yes | no | There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want. | | | | | | | |
| 1245437 | LPIO-3517 | Yes | Yes | yes | | | | | | | | |
| 1245448 | LPIO-3546 | No | No | yes | Not all sites may be suitable for a mixed range of housing as they lie close to areas which contain predominately high value properties. This will cause reduced social mobility as potential developers will only wish to build high value properties which would be unaffordable to the majority of Wirral residents. | | | | | | | |
| 1245451 | LPIO-3560 | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| 1245469 | LPIO-3701 | Yes | Yes | yes | | | | | | | | |
| 1237827 | LPIO-3789 | Yes | Yes | yes | The dispersed option will probably impact a larger number of current residents. It will also likely prove more difficult to control, in terms of safety & HSE, buildings regs and build quality etc. Any release of Green Belt may be more attractive to developers than some urban options. So this needs to be a option of last resort. | | | | | | | |

| Person ID | ID | Question 4.14d - Smaller sites may not be able to support significant improvements to local infrastructure. | Question 4.14d - Green Belt lost across a number of locations in the Borough, albeit smaller sites. | Question 4.14e - Are there any other disadvantages? | Question 4.14f - Please set out any additional disadvantages or comments below: | Attachment 1 | Attachment 2 | Attachment 3 | Attachment 4 | Attachment 5 | Attachment 6 | Attachment 7 |
|-----------|-----------|---|---|---|--|---|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1245288 | LPIO-3847 | No | No | yes | Green Belt serves everybody and needs preserving for this reason. As a resident of West Wirral for the past 28 years, I can say that my well being has been enriched, through being surrounded by woodlands, fields and open spaces. I spent my childhood living on an overcrowded estate in Liverpool, I was fortunate to spend all my school holidays with family who lived in Cornwall and know how this benefitted my mental health. My 3 children were fortunate to be born & bred in West Wirral and have enjoyed growing up here, enjoying all the exercise, walking and exploring that nature has to offer. Future generations deserve clean air, fields & woodland. NOT concrete estates. | | | | | | | |
| 1245513 | LPIO-4031 | No | Yes | yes | Should it be decided Green belt is altered, only small impacts can iteratively be made. Urban sprawl will be prevented. Neighbouring towns will not be merged. Large open areas of countryside will be impacted minimally. The setting and character will not be severely impacted in one single location. | | | | | | | |
| 1245638 | LPIO-4249 | No | No | no | | | | | | | | |
| 1245501 | LPIO-4398 | Yes | Yes | yes | Development of green field sites will reduce biodiversity and historical natural habitat. Such sites should therefore be the priority. Such actions are not recognisable to its local residents, and jeopardize the future existence natural wildlife inhabitants. | | | | | | | |
| 1241065 | LPIO-453 | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| 1237667 | LPIO-4559 | No | No | | | | | | | | | |
| 1244720 | LPIO-4631 | Yes | Yes | yes | Loss of greenbelt see above | | | | | | | |
| 1241327 | LPIO-4941 | No | No | no | | | | | | | | |
| 1237923 | LPIO-5067 | Yes | Yes | yes | any loss of greenbelt is a disadvantage to residents of wirral | | | | | | | |
| 1237567 | LPIO-5198 | No | No | no | | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5658055 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5669873 | | | | | |
| 1239571 | LPIO-5260 | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| 1245607 | LPIO-5363 | Yes | Yes | yes | Does not make the best use of existing sustainable transport that is available. Diminishes character of established areas. | | | | | | | |
| 1240383 | LPIO-5422 | Yes | Yes | yes | No green belt release is appropriate | | | | | | | |
| 1246159 | LPIO-5602 | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| 1245073 | LPIO-5629 | Yes | Yes | yes | Major disadvantage: Once developers get any suggestion that green belt land may be released they will fight and lobby for it and neglect brownfield developments because building large expensive houses on green belt is far more lucrative to them and landowners. This will be in exact opposition to the Council's aspirations to develop existing neglected urban areas and will lead to lengthy delays in building any housing. It will also lead to bad feeling and long protracted objections by the voting public opposed to the loss of green belt. | | | | | | | |
| 1245984 | LPIO-5726 | | No | yes | Again there should be no release of green belt. Green belt cannot be restored once released and given the scope of the climate and environment crisis that we now face green belt and green sites should be protected at all costs. | | | | | | | |
| 1244896 | LPIO-5791 | Yes | Yes | yes | NO!! We disagree with release of any Green Belt. 'Weakly Performing' parcels are still Green Belt. Open spaces should NOT be touched. ITPAS, WGSAs and authors will NOT enter into a commentary on relative merits of GB Sites. They are ALL unacceptable, unsustainable, unnecessary, wrongly located, destructive, unhealthy, polluting, unsuited to the housing required (smaller, starter, apartments, 'affordable' and NOT larger). No selecting which child to be saved!! There are NO advantages only disadvantages to ANY Options in Green Belt. The concept is flawed as GB cannot by Guidance and now strengthened Government Policy be considered when there are alternatives – and there are. Option 2A is anyway a complete non-starter as it is NEITHER truly 'dispersed' (centred around Irby, completely changing its rural village character and linking it to the distinct communities of Thingwall, Pensby, Heswall and Barnston) NOR compliant with many assessment criteria. The Green Belt Review is fundamentally flawed in methodology, interpretation of the Purposes of Green Belt and suggested outcomes which have not been subjected to Public Consultation – is this legal? It also ignores completely the special case and 'exceptional circumstances' of Wirral, particularly as regards Purpose 5 and the long-standing need for and lack of extensive Regeneration - thwarted by past mistakes of allowing Green Belt development and other Council actions (mainly inaction) whichever Government was in power. There is simply NO NEED. See earlier Responses by ITPAS on Green Belt Release and the flawed Green Belt Review, which gives plenty of opportunity for legal and other challenge later as the Local Plan on the current basis is tumbling headlong towards failure without a further drastic change of direction and detail by the hard-pressed, meek Council. Correct the 'Housing Need' figure and all difficulties fall away. | | | | | | | |

| Person ID | ID | Question 4.14d - Smaller sites may not be able to support significant improvements to local infrastructure. | Question 4.14d - Green Belt lost across a number of locations in the Borough, albeit smaller sites. | Question 4.14e - Are there any other disadvantages? | Question 4.14f - Please set out any additional disadvantages or comments below: | Attachment 1 | Attachment 2 | Attachment 3 | Attachment 4 | Attachment 5 | Attachment 6 | Attachment 7 |
|-----------|-----------|---|---|---|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1238310 | LPIO-6156 | No | No | yes | This option spreads development across the Borough, thereby spreading the impacts of new development on existing infrastructure and ensuring that single settlements are not impacted disproportionately. The greenbelt sites selected for the "dispersed" option 2a do not meet the principle aims of a dispersed option. The advantages and disadvantages listed cannot be applied to the sites forming this option because the sites are not dispersed. A simple quantitative exercise proves this. Option 1a has a shortfall of 2444 houses. 1246 homes (52%) of this shortfall are to be met in Irby alone. Add the 481 homes (20%) in Thingwall that means 1.5 square miles of Wirral are providing 70% of the shortfall. A disadvantage. The Wirral local plan spatial options traffic modelling report 2019 confirms the impact of Option2a is so great that the base lines provided in the August 2019 report cannot be used for comparison. This report vital to sustainability and infrastructure assessment was not used in option 2a selection. It shows an additional 94 junction will be overcapacity 110-115% if option2a is developed. These junctions include Irby center and Thurston roundabout. A disadvantage. This option would devastate the 'single settlement' of Irby. A disadvantage Only weak greenbelt to be used. The loss of any greenbelt is a disadvantage not an advantage Only rounding off sites would be used. 4 areas at least have extensions 1, 5, 7 and 8 The impact of development would be spread across the Borough rather than being concentrated in one single location. 1256 houses in Irby clearly demonstrate this options complete failure in purpose. The existing local infrastructure could be used. It could not. Read the traffic modelling report. | | | | | | | |
| 1246161 | LPIO-6233 | Yes | Yes | yes | A disadvantage that should be considered will be linked to the type of development considered on greenbelt sites. Predominantly these are of a higher end nature and may attract population growth at a higher age profile than urban development. This could potentially put additional upon secondary services caring for a more elderly population. | | | | | | | |
| 1239535 | LPIO-6331 | | | | Whatever it takes, Wirral's Green Belt should be preserved for the following reasons. - Because of the developing climate crisis extreme rain fall is now the new norm. Therefore Green Spaces have to be preserved in order to act as soakaway areas for flood water. - Similarly, the Green Belt has to be preserved because of the current nature crisis, earth is losing species at a rate not seen since the dinosaurs were wiped out. Insect populations have plummeted, without these and other invertebrates soil will deteriorate to dust and pollination of food crops will fail. - Green Spaces including Green Belt, Parks, Parkland and Playing fields are essential for mental well-being, rates of mental health in the Wirral have increased dramatically over the last decade and show no signs of decreasing any time soon. Having access to or at least being close to green spaces has been proven to boost mental well-being. | | | | | | | |
| 1246393 | LPIO-6351 | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| 1245086 | LPIO-6611 | Yes | Yes | yes | There are no advantages to building on any green belt land. It is the thin end of the wedge. Build on so called "weakly performing" sites and there will NEVER be an end to it. | | | | | | | |
| 1246435 | LPIO-6632 | No | No | | There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want. | | | | | | | |
| 1245286 | LPIO-6648 | | | | There should be NO OPTIONS to build on green belt. This would be totally opposed to the wishes of the majority of Wirral people. It is estimated that 40-45,000 people have signed petitions to protect green belt so far. | | | | | | | |
| 1245286 | LPIO-6650 | No | No | | There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want. | | | | | | | |
| 1246438 | LPIO-6667 | No | No | | There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want. | | | | | | | |
| 1241910 | LPIO-6684 | No | No | | There should be NO OPTIONS to build on green belt. This would be totally opposed to the wishes of the majority of Wirral people. It is estimated that 40-45,000 people have signed petitions to protect green belt so far. ANY development of green belt would make a mockery of declaring a climate emergency, and would be devastating for wildlife around the borough. | | | | | | | |
| 1246441 | LPIO-6724 | | | | There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want. | | | | | | | |
| 1246445 | LPIO-6751 | | | | But 'Weaker' Green Belt contribution and value sounds like nonsense. Green Space is Green Space. | | | | | | | |
| 1246447 | LPIO-6773 | | | | There should not be ANY options to build on ANY Greenbelt land. This is not what the majority of Wirral residents want. | | | | | | | |
| 1237647 | LPIO-679 | Yes | Yes | yes | For the smaller sites the cost of providing infrastructure, such as access roads, sewage disposal and utilities, may be a very significant fraction of the overall build cost and therefore make the housing provision uneconomic. | | | | | | | |
| 1246452 | LPIO-6790 | | | | There should not be any options to build on Greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want. | | | | | | | |
| 1241025 | LPIO-6887 | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| 1241096 | LPIO-6900 | | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| 1246482 | LPIO-7031 | Yes | Yes | yes | Once lost, these green areas are lost for all future generations. During the Covid 19 lockdown, these green areas have been so valuable in the ability to give residents somewhere for their short daily exercise. | | | | | | | |
| 1246456 | LPIO-7072 | | | | "Weaker" Green Belt is still Green Belt. "Rounding off" existing settlements just makes them bigger and eventually they would all join up into one large settlement. | | | | | | | |

| Person ID | ID | Question 4.14d - Smaller sites may not be able to support significant improvements to local infrastructure. | Question 4.14d - Green Belt lost across a number of locations in the Borough, albeit smaller sites. | Question 4.14e - Are there any other disadvantages ? | Question 4.14f - Please set out any additional disadvantages or comments below: | Attachment 1 | Attachment 2 | Attachment 3 | Attachment 4 | Attachment 5 | Attachment 6 | Attachment 7 |
|-----------|-----------|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1246486 | LPIO-7101 | | | | There should not be ANY options to build on Greenbelt land. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want. We as residents NEED our open spaces. | | | | | | | |
| 1246501 | LPIO-7169 | | | | There should be no building on greenbelt Wirral does not won't or agree with this. | | | | | | | |
| 1246504 | LPIO-7192 | | | | The infrastructure of Wirral does not support such an approach. | | | | | | | |
| 1244604 | LPIO-7222 | | | | The "Advantages" put forward by the Council are weakly expressed. Under the document "National Planning Policy Framework" date 27/3/2012 paragraph 133 "the essential characteristics of Green Belts are their openness and THEIR PERMANENCE" | | | | | | | |
| 1246515 | LPIO-7251 | | | | "Advantages" have been weakly expressed by the Council. As per National Planning Framework dated 27/3/2012. "The essential characteristics of Green Belts are their permanence. | | | | | | | |
| 1238102 | LPIO-7306 | | | | Given that the figures for housing given by the Council are vastly inflated, there is NO need to build on any Green Belt. | | | | | | | |
| 1237978 | LPIO-7424 | | | | Green Belt cannot be classified as STRONG or WEAK. Any release is to be deplored. Also see answer to Question 13. Small sites would NOT need significant improvements to infrastructure. Each time a piece of Green Belt is classified as weak and subsequently developed it makes the adjoining area of Green Belt weak in turn, 'ad infinitum'. | | | | | | | |
| 1238381 | LPIO-7493 | No | No | no | See my previous comments. | | | | | | | |
| 1240653 | LPIO-7548 | No | Yes | no | | | | | | | | |
| 1241770 | LPIO-7549 | No | Yes | no | | | | | | | | |
| 1243342 | LPIO-775 | Yes | Yes | no | | | | | | | | |
| 1240903 | LPIO-7906 | No | No | yes | There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want. | | | | | | | |
| 1240925 | LPIO-8070 | No | Yes | no | | | | | | | | |
| 1240932 | LPIO-8313 | No | No | | No, we don't agree with the disadvantages as stated. We would observe that the Leverhulme sites identified as part of this Option are of a scale that could support local infrastructure improvements either if released as an individual site or preferably as part of a comprehensive approach to the development of a network of sites across Leverhulme's landholding as outlined in the accompanying Vision Document and support those sites on this basis. | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683689 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682697 | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682701 | | | | |
| 1246612 | LPIO-8325 | Yes | Yes | yes | There is not enough greenbelt as it is, removing even small amounts cannot be reversed. Once lost and built on there becomes reasons why the next parcels are now weak and will then be lost. Any loss is the thin end of the wedge. There is only one option -Do not build on any greenbelt at all!! | | | | | | | |
| 1237882 | LPIO-8369 | Yes | No | yes | There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want. | | | | | | | |
| 1246550 | LPIO-8391 | | | | There should not be ANY options to build on Greenbelt land. The Council has an obligation to listen to what the people of the Borough want and I know the majority want to retain Wirrals Greenbelt. DO NOT SELL OFF THIS ASSET OF OUR BOROUGH. The building of homes is already in progress as you prepare the local plan. These new homes must be take into account when calculating the total projected number of houses needed. Further calculations are essential to establish accurate number of homes required to help protect the Greenbelt. | | | | | | | |
| 1237748 | LPIO-8507 | No | Yes | yes | The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. | | | | | | | |
| 1241852 | LPIO-8550 | Yes | Yes | yes | THE SMALLER SITES OFFER LESS CHANCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION SUCH AS WILDLIFE CORRIDORS AND BUFFER ZONES. THEY ARE USUALLY BUILT TIGHTLY NEXT TO EXISTING HOUSING IMPACTING ON THE LOCAL RESIDENTS LIFE AND RUINING THEIR ALREADY ESTABLISHED COMMUNITY. | | | | | | | |
| 1243888 | LPIO-8551 | Yes | Yes | yes | Smaller sites offer less chance of environmental mitigation such as buffer zones and wildlife corridors. They are more likely to involve building right up against existing housing and totally ruining the visual and practical amenity enjoyed by local people. | | | | | | | |
| 1243448 | LPIO-872 | | | yes | Green belt/field sites should never be developed. | | | | | | | |
| 1246631 | LPIO-8802 | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| 1246544 | LPIO-8804 | Yes | Yes | | Allowing parcels of development will lead to further nibbling away of Green Belt land. | | | | | | | |
| 1245034 | LPIO-8831 | Yes | Yes | no | | | | | | | | |
| 1244819 | LPIO-8900 | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| 1246666 | LPIO-9013 | | | | There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want. | | | | | | | |

| Person ID | ID | Question 4.14d - Smaller sites may not be able to support significant improvements to local infrastructure. | Question 4.14d - Green Belt lost across a number of locations in the Borough, albeit smaller sites. | Question 4.14e - Are there any other disadvantages ? | Question 4.14f - Please set out any additional disadvantages or comments below: | Attachment 1 | Attachment 2 | Attachment 3 | Attachment 4 | Attachment 5 | Attachment 6 | Attachment 7 |
|-----------|-----------|---|---|--|---|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1246670 | LPIO-9071 | | | | Disadvantages : Limited or no public transport for certain sites thus increasing car usage on current road infrastructure which at best does not meet current demands i.e. Montgomery Hill - through run from Caldby to other parts of Wirral and local and national motorways. Re:- Site at West Kirby | | | | | | | |
| 1240872 | LPIO-9081 | Yes | Yes | yes | As stated there are sites on these lists that have been incorrectly classed as 'weak' I know the specifics of SP043 which is at least a moderate performing site. How many others have been incorrectly assessed? This land grab of our prized greenbelt serves no benefit to anyone on the Wirral, so why is it being promoted? Nobody benefits from destroying greenbelt sites like Sp043, they hold far more value to us as they are, not only economically (ref cereal production vs other farming) but from the health and wildlife benefits they provide. This will be opposed by all who live on the Wirral. | | | | | | | |
| 1246678 | LPIO-9326 | Yes | No | no | | | | | | | | |
| 1245289 | LPIO-9440 | No | Yes | yes | I think that the number of dwellings should not be so large as to change the existing feel of the area. My bigger concern is that some of the areas noted are unlikely to include truly affordable housing which would undermine a major arm of this plan. | | | | | | | |
| 1246712 | LPIO-9577 | No | No | no | Please see our attached statement for our full case. | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5633234 | | | | | | |
| 1245833 | LPIO-9578 | | | yes | Additional disadvantages: setting of more sensitive sites (such as NT properties) will be affected; also lead to more widespread disruption of green corridors, for wildlife, & community access | https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656419 | | | | | | |
| 1246717 | LPIO-9664 | | No | no | N/A | | | | | | | |
| 1237724 | LPIO-9716 | No | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| 1246720 | LPIO-9746 | No | No | no | | | | | | | | |
| 1238424 | LPIO-9800 | | | no | It is a gradual erosion of the green belt, each reduction is to the detriment of the environment and makes the remaining green belt less viable. | | | | | | | |
| 1246651 | LPIO-9851 | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| 1245994 | LPIO-9979 | No | No | yes | Major disadvantage: Once developers get any suggestion that green belt land may be released they will fight and lobby for it and neglect brownfield developments because building large expensive houses on green belt is far more lucrative to them and landowners. This will be in exact opposition to the Council's aspirations to develop existing neglected urban areas and will lead to lengthy delays in building any housing. It will also lead to bad feeling and long protracted objections by the voting public opposed to the loss of green belt. | | | | | | | |