Person ID	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1241337	LPIO-10083	No	No	No	No	no	The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as stated by the Council. There should not be any options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. As already stated, use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would take away the need to release any Green Belt for building							
1245044	LPIO-10096	No	No	No	No	no	There should be no building on green belt, concentrate all development at Wirral Waters and on the "left bank" of the Mersey. Green Belt development is not necessary as their are enough brownfield sites and the housing target of 12000 is overinflated and needs recalculating							
1246760	LPIO-10133	No	No	No	No	no	All options to build on green belt should be removed in keeping with the wishes of the majority of Wirral residents. I don't agree with your classification of "weaker" green belt contribution - it is all green belt and it all contributes. It won't strengthen any settlements it will just lead to urban sprawl and destroy them. Your definition of "rounding off" is different to mine. 1106 houses merging Pensby, Thingwall, Irby and Heswall (Ref SP060) is not rounding off anything - it is just blatant urban sprawl on a massive scale. The impact of development would be minimised by setting realistic housing requirements for the plan to start with and building them on brownfield sites.							
1246747	LPIO-10143	No	No	No	No	no	I don't believe that any of the above reasons are justification for building on greenbelt. 'Weaker performing' greenbelt sites are still greenbelt. To build on them would impact severely on wildlife and local residents.							
1241319	LPIO-10221	No	No	No	No	no	Building on this land would ruin the area for residents and visitors of the area.							
1241629	LPIO-10316	No	No	No	No	no								
1244412	LPIO-1035	No	No	No	No	no	There should not be any option to build on greenbelt. Housing demand figures are vastly overstated and need can be met from existing brownfield sites							
1246717	LPIO-10384	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	It is considered that losing some Green Belt land which makes a weak contribution to the purposes of the Green Belt as set out in Paragraph 145 of the National Planning Policy Framework is not necessarily a disadvantage. Under the dispersed Green Belt Release Option, only land which performs poorly against the purposes of including land within the Green Belt should be released from the Green Belt. Releasing some of this land would support the Urban Intensification approach by helping meet residual housing need in the Borough. Furthermore development would strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements. Also, the impact of development would be spread across the Borough. As we demonstrate within the Supporting Statement for Question 2.16, Home England's land interest, Land at Clatterbridge Hospital, makes a weak contribution to the Green Belt. This site is suitable for development (both in terms of release from the Green Belt and with regard to sustainable location), achievable and available.							
1246724	LPIO-10441	No	No	No	No	no	There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1246778	LPIO-10520	No	No	No	No	no								
1246731	LPIO-10564	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	These sites would be developable and deliverable, making a stark contrast to the lack of developability and deliverability of the otherwise proposed allocations, making this approach sound, and therefore more likely to be approved by an Inspector at Examination in Public.							
1246242	LPIO-10782						There should NOT be any options. There is NO NEED TO BUILD ON GREEN BELT. FACT.							
1247066	LPIO-10813						Do not agree with any Green Belt dispersions. Looking at the proposed dispersion parcels, I think some of these would adversely affect the individual character of the surrounding villages, as well as giving access problems. Certainly for e.g. Parcels 6 & 7 around Gills Lane and the Barnston Dip.							
1247073	LPIO-10831	No	No	No	No	no								
1237930	LPIO-10846						There should not be any options to build on Greenbelt. It is certainly NOT what the majority of Wirral residents want.							

	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.		rather than	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below: There should not be any options to build on Greenbelt. It is certainly NOT what the majority of Wirral residents want.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1247079	LPIO-10877						I disagree with the release of greenbelt for housing developments. Greenbelt spaces on Wirral are vital to the quality							
1247079	LPIO-10877					no	of life for Wirral residents.							
1247087	LPIO-10896					no	The Council should be very careful of the negative impact on West Wirral of destroying the Green Belt as proposed. The unique environment of the area and its attractiveness for visitors and residents would easily be damaged for future generations by the developments being proposed. For example, at the risk of being called a Nimby, the fields below Stapledon Wood in parcel 6.15 are identified as 'weaker Green Belt contribution'. Obviously a judgement of someone who hasn't stood on the lowest path in Stapledon and looked across the fields to Thurstaston. The changing trees over the seasons are a delight and particularly noteworthy. To destroy this vista would be unforgivable. Mental health of Wirral residents who are suffering stress over the Council's threats to the environment we all love.							
1247097	LPIO-10909	Yes												
1247098	LPIO-10926					no	There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. We are fortunate to have Green Belt within the Borough and we need to protect and cherish it. It was created specifically to direct development into run-down areas and to prevent further decline: the need for Regeneration remains as evident as ever. Building houses in Green Belt would directly reduce still further the viability of housing in the north and east of the Peninsula, delaying their rejuvenation and improved quality of life.							
1247103	LPIO-10944					no	There should be no greenbelt development at all. The residents of these areas will have purchased property priced to reflect the greenbelt area. They do not want this to change and to see more new build houses on the beautiful green areas that already separate the large amount of housing we have.							
1247120	LPIO-10960					no	There should be no consideration or use of green belt land at all. There are no advantages of using green belt land except the council and developers who would profit at the detriment to local residents, the environment and the future generations							
1247128	LPIO-11029					no	No Green Belt release. The Brownfield site information is not accurate. Better urban planning - build up not out! It works in other locations and countries. Due to climate change there should be no building on Green Belt.							
1243890	LPIO-1104	No	No	No	No	no								
1247129	LPIO-11042		ļ			no								
1247130	LPIO-11061					no	There should not be any options to build on greenbelt at all. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
	LPIO-11073					no								
	LPIO-11091					no								
	LPIO-11107					no	60% of the dispersed approach is in or around Irby, so the proposal is not in fact 'dispersed'.							
1245190 1247144	LPIO-11127 LPIO-11142					no	No greenbelt sites should be released at all. This is not what the residents of Wirral want. There are no advantages to building on greenbelt only direct astrong							
1247146	LPIO-11158					no	building on greenbelt only disadvantages.							
	LPIO-11158					no								
	LPIO-11196		-			no								
	LPIO-11579				+		There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							

Person ID	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1247015	LPIO-11785						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247214	LPIO-12406						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247492	LPIO-12505						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1240843	LPIO-12669						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247578	LPIO-12867						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247510	LPIO-12991						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1246335	LPIO-13124						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1246578	LPIO-13336						I do no agree with the release of any green belt							
1246853	LPIO-13383						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1246852	LPIO-13505						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247746	LPIO-13660						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1243700	LPIO-1368	No	No	No	No	no	Each Green Belt area makes a significant contribution to Wirral either by preventing urban sprawl and ribbon development or by aiding biodiversity and heritage assets, carbon capture, rain catchment and flood prevention not to mention being an aid to air quality. All areas of green belt have advantages and disadvantages over each other but all areas are the better for being left untouched instead of being concreted over,							
1238192	LPIO-13798						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247012	LPIO-13853						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247014	LPIO-13907						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1242183	LPIO-13979						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247218	LPIO-14073						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247219	LPIO-14177						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247220	LPIO-14277						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247222	LPIO-14407						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247226	LPIO-14494						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247245	LPIO-14585						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247829	LPIO-14657						I broadly agree with the assessment of the advantages and disadvantages of Dispersed versus Single urban extension but neither should be necessary or allowed bearing in mind that the Green Belt should remain intact and, in any case, the 800 houses p.a. claim being unrealistic (see answers 2.1 and 2.2)!							
1246827	LPIO-14713						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							

Person ID	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.		Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1247016	LPIO-14843						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1242155	LPIO-14891						The assessment must not assume that Green Belt status itself is a kite mark for quality. It should not be assumed in this assessment that all Green Belt has the same value. A further advantage should be added that Green Belt release in higher value areas is much more likely to be able to make meaningful contributions affordable housing and wider local improvements (through s278, 5106 etc). A dispersed model of development brings increased likelihood for deliverability due to 'spread of risk' and a greatly increased breadth of stakeholders and delivery agents compared to Birkenhead or single site release model.							
1247018	LPIO-14910						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247246	LPIO-15332						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247248	LPIO-15447						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247251	LPIO-15550						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247274	LPIO-15742						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247275	LPIO-15858						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247936	LPIO-15996						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247287	LPIO-16208						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1244969	LPIO-1629	No	No	No	No	no	No release of Green Belt.							
1247344	LPIO-16296						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.						-	
1247349	LPIO-16383						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247353	LPIO-16471						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247354 1247434	LPIO-16559 LPIO-16663						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247434	LPIO-16663						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want. There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247933	LPIO-16703						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247430	LPIO-16908				1		There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247439	LPIO-16909			1			There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247441	LPIO-17070						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1245042	LPIO-1711	No	No	Yes	Yes	no	These sites have no real infrastructure. There will also be much more need for public transport. The sites are primarily accessible by car. The Government's zero emissions policy will be breached by these developments. Educational provision is limited. Has the Council any powers to build new schools?							
1247960	LPIO-17191						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247962	LPIO-17278						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247966	LPIO-17384						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247971	LPIO-17488						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1241726	LPIO-17585						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							

	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1247979	LPIO-17704						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247980	LPIO-17705						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1242966	LPIO-17779						Q 4.12 and 4.17 and 4.12- 4.20, Both of options 2: Option 2A, Dispersed Green Belt Release and Option 2B Urban Extension conflict with National Planning Policy Framework. None of these sites are weakly performing green belt sites they all meet the test of green belt as set out in paragraph 134 of National planning Framework. Site 11 is preventing neighbouring towns from merging into one another, they all check unrestricted urban sprawl and safeguard the countryside from encroachment. Therefore none of these sites meet the Exceptional test for removing land from the Green Belt. None of the sites in either of option 2 are served by adequate public transport, they will result in additional traffic generation, leading to congestion, noise and have an adverse impact on air quality. This is unsustainable development with an adverse impact on climate change. the Council needs o make a more concerned effort to deliver Option 1 and Central Government needs to look at the Standard Method for addressing the housing requirement as a matter of urgency.							
1238043	LPIO-1781	No	No	No	Yes	no								
1245502	LPIO-17874						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247541	LPIO-17972						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247539	LPIO-18081						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247996	LPIO-18234						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1237857	LPIO-18244						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want. The council do need to listen to what the voting public are saying and not try to hide behind process or spuriously claim that central government are dictating the housing need figures.							
1247021	LPIO-18394						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1245060	LPIO-1840						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247022	LPIO-18448						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247023	LPIO-18503						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247024	LPIO-18558						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247025	LPIO-18631						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247038	LPIO-18632						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							

Person ID		Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to be used to be used to round-off the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1247039	LPIO-18753						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247040	LPIO-18754						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247041	LPIO-18846						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247042	LPIO-18912						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247060	LPIO-18996						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247061	LPIO-18997						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247063	LPIO-19088						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247064	LPIO-19142						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247068	LPIO-19197						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247071	LPIO-19254						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247072	LPIO-19309						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247078	LPIO-19366						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247080	LPIO-19440						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							

Person ID	D	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to vound-off the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1247081	LPIO-19441						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247082	LPIO-19634						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247083	LPIO-19689						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1238379	LPIO-1973	No	No	No	No	no	There should be NO options to build on Green Belt. It is quite clear that it is totally unnecessary and not what the majority of Wirral want.							
1247084	LPIO-19744						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247085	LPIO-19807						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1242519	LPIO-1985	No	No	No	No	no	I totally disagree with any greenbelt release , wirral council is not listening to its residents.							
1247088	LPIO-19873						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247089	LPIO-19934						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247090	LPIO-19990						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247091	LPIO-20044						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247092	LPIO-20102						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247093	LPIO-20163						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247094	LPIO-20222						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							

Person ID		Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to be used to round-off the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1247095	LPIO-20278						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247096	LPIO-20334						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247099	LPIO-20390						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANV options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247101	LPIO-20444						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247108	LPIO-20581						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANV options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247102	LPIO-20582						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247106	LPIO-20621						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247105	LPIO-20622						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247109	LPIO-20714						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247110	LPIO-20783						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANV options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247111	LPIO-20784						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANV options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1245105	LPIO-2087						Greenbelt should not be used							
1237870	LPIO-2089	No	No	No		no	I have said this numerous times - KEEP GREENBELT LAND GREEN. Once it is gone it is gone forever. Use BROWNFIELD SITES for development. The Council are there to represent the voting public. The vast majority of people on The Wirral DO NOT WANT GREENBELT LAND USED FOR HOUSING.							
1247112	LPIO-20934						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANV options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							

Person ID	סו	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1247113	LPIO-20988						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247115	LPIO-21044						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247116	LPIO-21098						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1246851	LPIO-21165						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1246918	LPIO-21309						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1246924	LPIO-21310						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1246928	LPIO-21311						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1245112	LPIO-2151	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes									
1246920	LPIO-21543						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1246926	LPIO-21544						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1247117	LPIO-21693						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247118	LPIO-21694						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1241016	LPIO-218						I do not think any Green Belt land should be released for development unless it is poorly performing and the change of use is supported by the local community.							
1247145	LPIO-21801						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247147	LPIO-21802						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247148	LPIO-21909						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247150	LPIO-21910						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1244329	LPIO-22011						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							

Person ID	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to be used to be used to round-off the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1247119	LPIO-22082						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1246678	LPIO-22083						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247151	LPIO-22190						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247152	LPIO-22191						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247153	LPIO-22304						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247155	LPIO-22305						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247156	LPIO-22412						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247158	LPIO-22413						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247159	LPIO-22607						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247160	LPIO-22608						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247161	LPIO-22647						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247164	LPIO-22648						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247167	LPIO-22780						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							

Person ID	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1247168	LPIO-22781						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1238835	LPIO-2281	No				no	The vast majority of Wirral residents do not support the building of houses on any of our Greenbelt, anywhere on Wirral. There should not be any options to build on the Greenbelt, anywhere.							
1247169	LPIO-22975						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247170	LPIO-22976						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247173	LPIO-23057						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247174	LPIO-23058						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247175	LPIO-23165						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247176	LPIO-23166						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANV options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247177	LPIO-23304						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247178	LPIO-23305						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1247179	LPIO-23306						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANV options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1245146	LPIO-2339	No	No	No	Yes									

Person ID	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1242185	LPIO-23909					no	No. The use of the term 'weaker' under advantages depends on the robustness of the Green Belt Review 2019, which is disputed (see answer to Question 2.16). The use of 'rounding-off' is excessive in some cases. The Option is not in our view strategic. In terms of disadvantages Option 2A is the least sustainable, therefore least preferred option. The small bundles of land are relatively remote from the MS3 motorway, would be opposed by the many residents and groups who would have their local amenity harmed. The sites lack the scale to support a master planned approach. And even if this was the case, we are concerned that the planning team couldn't adequately oversee twelve separate masterplans for these areas during the Local Plan period? With pressure on resources and limits to capacity we encourage the Council to stay focused on the Wirral Waters and Left Bank regeneration masterplans.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56591 21	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56842 63	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56570 06				
1248471	LPIO-23991						Genuinely spreading the sites 'widely' across the peninsula would have the advantage of dispersing the air pollution and spreading traffic away from hot spots, unlike the current Option 2A proposal and mirror the truly dispersed situation of the many urban infill / redevelopment sites across Wirral in Option 1B (outside the strategic regeneration area of 'Birkenhead').	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56570 06	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56569 63	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56824 47	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 38	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56558 82	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56569 57	
1248472	LPIO-24027						In relation to Heswall the weakest performing land in Green Belt terms is not being used to accommodate new housing. In that respect our land at Dee coast, Heswall (SHLAA 1938, 1939, 1940, 1941, 1967, 1968) is the weakest performing land compared to other sites around the settlement including the proposed allocation and/or the possible strategic urban extension. Our land should be allocated for housing for the reasons stated elsewhere in our response. Whilst rounding off is always a useful planning consideration when releasing Green Belt, the focus should be on releasing the poorest performing land in Green Belt terms, i.e. our land at Heswall. The dispersed release option will help to minimise the risk that the housing requirement will not be delivered, however we remain concerned that the spatial strategy is too heavily weighted towards urban intensification, which has issues with regard to viability, lead-in times and delivery rates. There is no definition of what a smaller site is in Table 4.6 however in the case of our land at Heswall there is no reason to suggest that it could not provide for the required infrastructure whilst also delivering a policy compliant planning obligation by way of Section 106 Agreement(s).	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 24	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 23					
1244826	LPIO-2423	No	No	No	No	no	There should not be ANY options to build on the greenbelt. The vast majority of Wirral residents do not want building in any green belt land.							
1248517	LPIO-24286						We believe that the assessment of disadvantages and advantages of Option 2A fails to recognise that advantages of such a strategy would be to enhance the range of housing land supply and to ensure the release of previously developed land.							
1242697	LPIO-24682						One so called advantage in Table 4.6 is "Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements' - vitality is not in doubt in many settlements, rather, the reverse, that development worsens the issues with local infrastructure. Wirral wide housing needs are best helped through the wider plan; it is too prescriptive to attempt to deliver a local aspiration within a small local area, and within a dynamic housing market which operates in its own way.	https://wirral- consult.objective . <u>co.uk/file/56591</u> 1 <u>8</u>	https://wirral- consult.objective . <u>co.uk/file/56591</u> 1 <u>9</u>	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56591 20	https://wirral- consult.objective . <u>co.uk/file/56591</u> 21			
1242697	LPIO-24683						One so called advantage in Table 4.6 is "Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns" - this is not an advantage but a consequence of wanting to linearise a piece of greenbelt with the aim to release it. Development, if applied on this principle, would then form an encroachment, particularly to larger parcels. There is no real advantage.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56591 18	<u>https://wirral-</u> consult.objective .co.uk/file/56591 19	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56591 20	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56591 21			

Person ID	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to be used to be used to round-off the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1245936	LPIO-24740						<ul> <li>In general agreement with Table 4.6 relating to the advantages and disadvantages of a dispersed approach to releasing sites.</li> <li>The impact of development being spread across the borough rather than concentrated in one single location is a significant advantage.</li> <li>This approach will also deliver much needed affordable units to the west of the Wirral where, as stated within the Draft Wirral SHMA Report, house prices are higher and affordable homes are less available.</li> </ul>							
1248749	LPIO-24873						Additional advantages include: less strain on existing infrastructure; smaller sites would be more deliverable in a much quicker timescale; delivery of Green Belt sites will able to offer affordable housing in line with the recommended 30% policy; development across all the settlements would respond to the housing needs outlined in the SHMA; development in these locations could provide the mix and tenure of homes required, being mostly 3 and 4 - bedroom family homes; will respond to demand and help to relax house prices in western parts of Wiral; and new and existing residents would benefit from improved connections to the Green Belt/ green infrastructure for recreation.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 47	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 48	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 45				
1248769	LPIO-24995						Additional advantages include: less strain on existing infrastructure; smaller sites would be more deliverable in a much quicker timescale; delivery of Green Belt sites will able to offer affordable housing in line with the recommended 30% policy; development across all the settlements would respond to the housing needs outlined in the SHMA; development in these locations could provide the mix and tenure of homes required, being mostly 3 and 4+ bedroom family homes; will respond to demand and help to relax house prices in western parts of Wirral; and new and existing residents would benefit from improved connections to the Green Belt/ green infrastructure for recreation.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56590 45	<u>https://wirral-</u> consult.objective . <u>co.uk/file/56849</u> 57	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56590 39	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56590 38	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56849 56		
1248823	LPIO-25098						Additional advantages include: less strain on existing infrastructure; smaller sites would be more deliverable in a much quicker timescale; delivery of Green Belt sites will able to offer affordable housing in line with the recommended 30% policy; development across all the settlements would respond to the housing needs outlined in the SHMA; development in these locations could provide the mix and tenure of homes required, being mostly 3 and 4+ bedroom family homes; will respond to demand and help to relax house prices in western parts of Wirral; and new and existing residents would benefit from improved connections to the Green Belt/ green infrastructure for recreation.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56743 17	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 65	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 49				
1245083	LPIO-2518	No	No	No	No	no	There should not be any options to build on green belt. It certainly isn't what the majority of Wirral residents want							
1248832	LPIO-25206						Additional advantages include: less strain on existing infrastructure; smaller sites would be more deliverable in a much quicker timescale; delivery of Green Belt sites will able to offer affordable housing in line with the recommended 30% policy; development across all the settlements would respond to the housing needs outlined in the SHMA; development in these locations could provide the mix and tenure of homes required, being mostly 3 and 4+ bedroom family homes; will respond to demand and help to relax house prices in western parts of Wirral; and new and existing residents would benefit from improved connections to the Green Belt/ green infrastructure for recreation.	https://wirral_ consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 57	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56595 62					
1248832	LPIO-25207						With regard to the stated disadvantages: smaller sites will not require significant infrastructure improvements given their scale; all the smaller sites are located in areas viable for development and able to offer \$106 contributions for any required infrastructure in the area; although Green Belt release would be seen by lots of separate communities it would be on sites which are not deemed to provide a contribution to the Green Belt; the release of smaller sites would significantly reduce the cumulative impact of development compared to one large development parcel.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 57	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56595 62					

Person ID	ID.	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1248833	LPIO-25314						Additional advantages include: less strain on existing infrastructure; smaller sites would be more deliverable in a much quicker timescale; delivery of Green Belt sites will able to offer affordable housing in line with the recommended 30% policy; development across all the settlements would respond to the housing needs outlined in the SHMA; development in these locations could provide the mix and tenure of homes required, being mostly 3 and 4 - bedroom family homes; will respond to demand and help to relax house prices in western parts of Wirrai; and new and existing residents would benefit from improved connections to the Green Belt/ green infrastructure for recreation.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56611 25	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56611 00	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56611 24	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56611 29			
1248956	LPIO-25380						Agree with the Council's overall assessment of the advantages of Green Belt release (although not with the chosen sites). An additional advantage is that Green Belt sites can generally deliver housing more quickly and are generally viable and thus able to contribute to affordable housing and other infrastructure improvements. This is supported by the Council's 2018 Viability Study which shows the most viable areas are generally to the west of the M53 where there is more greenfield land.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 59	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56774 74					
1248986	LPIO-25511						Additional advantages include: less strain on existing infrastructure; smaller sites would be more deliverable in a much quicker timescale; delivery of Green Belt sites will able to offer affordable housing in line with the recommended 30% policy; development across all the settlements would respond to the housing needs outlined in the SHMA; development in these locations could provide the mix and tenure of homes required, being mostly 3 and 4+ bedroom family homes; will respond to demand and help to relax house prices in western parts of Wirral; and new and existing residents would benefit from improved connections to the Green Belt/ green infrastructure for recreation.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56627 23	<u>https://wirral-</u> consult.objective . <u>co.uk/file/56627</u> 25	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56627 70				
1249015	LPIO-25582					yes	Agree with the Council's overall assessment of the advantages of Green Belt release (although not with the chosen sites). An additional advantage is that Green Belt sites can generally deliver housing more quickly and are generally viable and thus able to contribute to affordable housing and other infrastructure improvements. This is supported by the Council's 2018 Viability Study which shows the most viable areas are generally to the west of the M53 where there is more greenfield land.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 97						
1249070	LPIO-25669						We generally agree with the Council's overall assessment of the advantages of Green Belt release (although not with the chosen sites). Relying on weaker parcels should not, however, be seen as an advantage, as this is not supported by policy or guidance. Other advantages, which are not stated, include: Green Belt sites can generally deliver housing more quickly and are generally viable and able to contribute to affordable housing and other infrastructure improvements. The Council's Viability Study shows the most viable areas are generally to the east of the M53 where there is more greenfield land, and dispersed Green Belt release in and around villages will help deliver new homes and facilities to support and sustain villages located within the Green Belt. This would give the opportunity for existing village residents to remain in the village whatever stage of the housing market they may be at, whether they are first- time buyers or older people looking to downsize.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 96	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56796 50					
1246458	LPIO-25733						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1246459	LPIO-25734						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1243721	LPIO-2576	No	No	No	No	no	The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							

Person ID	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to be used to be used to round-off the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1249100	LPIO-25908						Agree with the Council's overall assessment of the advantages of Green Belt release (although not with the chosen sites). An additional advantage is that Green Belt sites can generally deliver housing more quickly and are generally viable and thus able to contribute to affordable housing and other infrastructure improvements. This is supported by the Council's 2018 Viability Study which shows the most viable areas are generally to the west of the M53 where there is more greenfield land.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56775 14	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56775 12	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 98	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56849 49	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56775 09	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56849 51	
1249100	LPIO-25908						Agree with the Council's overall assessment of the advantages of Green Belt release (although not with the chosen sites). An additional advantage is that Green Belt sites can generally deliver housing more quickly and are generally viable and thus able to contribute to affordable housing and other infrastructure improvements. This is supported by the Council's 2018 Viability Study which shows the most viable areas are generally to the west of the M53 where there is more greenfield land.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56775 10	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 95	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56775 08	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56775 11	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56775 13	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56775 16	
1249100	LPIO-25908						Agree with the Council's overall assessment of the advantages of Green Belt release (although not with the chosen sites). An additional advantage is that Green Belt sites can generally deliver housing more quickly and are generally viable and thus able to contribute to affordable housing and other infrastructure improvements. This is supported by the Council's 2018 Viability Study which shows the most viable areas are generally to the west of the M53 where there is more greenfield land.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56775 07						
1249116	LPIO-25930 1 OF 2						We agree with the advantages set out in Table 4.6 of the Issues and Options document as dispersed Green Belt release of weaker Green Belt parcels would strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, helping to meet localised housing needs, and making use of existing local infrastructure which would also support other local improvements. Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns and therefore the impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56740 92	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56740 93	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56740 95	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56740 96	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 33	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 36	
1249116	LPIO-25980 1 OF 2						We agree with the advantages set out in Table 4.6 of the Issues and Options document as dispersed Green Belt release of weaker Green Belt parcels would strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, helping to meet localised housing needs, and making use of existing local infrastructure which would also support other local improvements. Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns and therefore the impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56756 98	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56756 93	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56757 00	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56756 92			
1249116	LPIO-25980 2 OF 2						We agree with the advantages set out in Table 4.6 of the Issues and Options document as dispersed Green Belt release of weaker Green Belt parcels would strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, helping to meet localised housing needs, and making use of existing local infrastructure which would also support other local improvements. Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns and therefore the impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56756 97	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56756 94	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56756 96				
1249116	LPIO-26032						We agree with the advantages set out in Table 4.6 of the Issues and Options document as dispersed Green Belt release of weaker Green Belt parcels would strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, helping to meet localised housing needs, and making use of existing local infrastructure which would also support other local improvements. Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns and therefore the impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 02	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 35	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56770 41	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56770 <u>37</u>	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 04		
1249116	LPIO-26065						We agree with the advantages set out in Table 4.6 of the Issues and Options document as dispersed Green Belt release of weaker Green Belt parcels would strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, helping to meet localised housing needs, and making use of existing local infrastructure which would also support other local improvements. Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns and therefore the impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56742 40	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 32	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56742 56	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 34	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 <u>37</u>		

Person ID	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to vound-off the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1249271	LPIO-26126 1 of 4						The release of greenfield sites for development in appropriate locations brings with it the likely benefit of delivering development quickly and aiding the Council in achieving a housing trajectory that boosts delivery towards the start of the plan period. Greenfield sites are often less complex than urban brownfield sites and can be developed in a shorter-term, which would assist the Council in delivering the large number of homes required in the early years of the plan.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56774 92	https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/56782 39	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56782 47	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 89	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56782 41	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56782 48	
1249271	LPIO-26126 2 of 4						The release of greenfield sites for development in appropriate locations brings with it the likely benefit of delivering development quickly and alding the Council in achieving a housing trajectory that boosts delivery towards the start of the plan period. Greenfield sites are often less complex than urban brownfield sites and can be developed in a shorter-term, which would assist the Council in delivering the large number of homes required in the early years of the plan.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56774 91	https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/56774	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56782 42	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56782 36	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56782 44	
1249271	LPIO-26126 3 of 4						The release of greenfield sites for development in appropriate locations brings with it the likely benefit of delivering development quickly and aiding the Council in achieving a housing trajectory that boosts delivery towards the start of the plan period. Greenfield sites are often less complex than urban brownfield sites and can be developed in a shorter-term, which would assist the Council in delivering the large number of homes required in the early years of the plan.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56774 94	https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/56850	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56782 43	https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/56782 40	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56774 90	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56782 46	
1249271	LPIO-26126 4 of 4						The release of greenfield sites for development in appropriate locations brings with it the likely benefit of delivering development quickly and aiding the Council in achieving a housing trajectory that boosts delivery towards the start of the plan period. Greenfield sites are often less complex than urban brownfield sites and can be developed in a shorter-term, which would assist the Council in delivering the large number of homes required in the early years of the plan.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56782 38	2					
249263	LPIO-26177						The release of greenfield sites for development in appropriate locations brings with it the likely benefit of delivering development quickly and aiding the Council in achieving a housing trajectory that boosts delivery towards the start of the plan period. Greenfield sites are often less complex than urban brownfield sites and can be developed in a shorter-term, which would assist the Council in delivering the large number of homes required in the early years of the plan.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 52	2					
47414	LPIO-26244						Maybe you should come to terms with the strong feelings shared by the majority of Wirral residents and Keep your hands off our Greenbelt. Resort to Greenbelt as your last option and abide by the common sense of the people.							

Person ID	D	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to viound-off the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1249638	LPIO-26291 1 of 2						We are in agreement that the dispersed approach to releasing land from the Green Belt (an alternative version of Option 2A) is preferable to a single release in one location (Option 2B). Whilst we agree with the principal of what Option 2A is trying to achieve in releasing only the most appropriate sites, we have serious doubts about the robustness of the GBR process underpinning this piece of work. We agree that dispersal of Green Belt sites to round off existing settlements is an advantage of Option 2A. This advantage would not be achieved with a single large extension in one location as proposed under Option 2B. It is also agreed that an advantage of dispersing new Green Belt allocations across the borough is that investment and the benefits derived from housing growth will be spread across different locations and neighbourhoods. New investment through the delivery of housing means that new residents will support existing local services, infrastructure and businesses. Any negative effects perceived or otherwise, from the delivery of development would also be shared across the borough, meaning that a single market area will be not carry the burden of maintaining a continuous housing land supply. Flexibility is key. There are only two disadvantages set out in the WLP against Option 2A. The first claimed disadvantage is a view that smaller sites may not be able to support significant improvements to local infrastructure. Whilst there is merit in the promotion efforts smaller sites to deliver social and physical infrastructure Levy was specifically introduced to help capture contributions towards the delivery of major infrastructure at a district scale.	https://wirral- consult.objective _co.uk/file/56757 35	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56850 61	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56850 64	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56850 62	https://wirral= consult.objective .co.uk/file/56850 <u>66</u>	https://wirral- consult.objective _co.uk/file/56850 65	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56850 63
1249638	LPIO-26291 2 of 2						The 'smaller' site being referred to, and the 385 being promoted at Greasby, are still of a critical enough scale to be able to deliver major community benefits through off site contributions, in line with identified infrastructure needs. The second stated disadvantage in the WLP is the view that the loss of Green Belt across the Borough as a whole has a negative perception. The GBR does however temper this by confirming that as these will be smaller sites, there will be less of an impact in a single location. We would also add that the GBR is a starting point and that whilst sites that are considered to make a 'Weak Contribution' ultimately a decision could be reached that a 'moderate' scoring site could also be considered appropriate in consideration of other circumstances, process of identifying sites for release should mean that only those sites which make the weakest contribution to the objective and purpose of including land in the Green Belt will be released. As such, the determining factor should be understanding the contribution that individual Parcels (and sites within Parcels) make towards the purposes of including land within the Green Belt, rather than an arbitrary concern about the perception of Green Belt release as a matter of principle across the whole of the borough. We agree that there are no further disadvantages to consider. Finally, the dispersed approach would provide long term flexibility in the supply of housing land and is more likely to meet housing needs across the consultation document. This advantage should be considered approach brings which is not listed in the consultation document. This advantage should be considered by the Council and given significant weight when considering the Preferred Options version of the WLP.							
1249638	LPIO-26320						We are in agreement that the dispersed approach to releasing land from the Green Belt (an alternative version of Option 2A) is preferable to a single release in one location (Option 2B). Whilst we agree with the principal of what Option 2A is trying to achieve in releasing only the most appropriate sites, we have serious doubts about the robustness of the GBR process underpinning this piece of work. We agree that dispersal of Green Belt sites to round off existing settlements is an advantage of Option 2A. This advantage would not be achieved with a single large extension in one location as proposed under Option 2B. It is also agreed that an advantage of dispersing new Green Belt allocations across the borough is that investment and the benefits derived from housing growth will be spread across different locations and neighbourhoods. New investment through the delivery of housing means that new exidents will support existing local services, infrastructure and businesses. Any negative effects perceived or otherwise, from the delivery of development would also be shared across the borough, meaning that a single market area will be not carry the burden of maintaining a continuous housing land supply. Flexibility is key.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56757 35	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56850 <u>61</u>	https://wirral- consult.abjective .co.uk/file/56850 64	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56850 62	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56850 .66	https://wirral- consult.abjective .co.uk/file/56850 65	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56850 63

Person ID	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1249743	LPIO-26365 1 of 3						We agree that the dispersed approach to releasing land from the Green Belt (an alternative version of Option 2A) is preferable to a single release in one location (Option 2B). The dispersed allocation of sites across Wirral will provide for choice and flexibility in the market across the borough. This flexibility is important in terms of an allocation of a supply of developable and deliverable sites to meet Wirral's identified need and maintain required supply over the plan period. This approach will then also rebalance the economic stimulus associated with the delivery of housing and encourage more working-age people into the Borough through the provision of new high-quality housing. Specific housing needs and tenures, such as affordable housing or larger family housing, will also be provided and this responds to the evidenced housing needs in the Borough as set out in the SHIMA. The Lichfields representation for the Consortium at Appendix F sets this argument out in considerable detail. Whils the principle of what this option is trying to achieved is welcomed, it is our view that the methodology used in the GBR, in relation to the assessment of the contributions and overall value of each GA and Parcel, is flawed. Unsound conclusions have been reached as a result of this methodology, likely meaning that whole GAs and therefore, whils we agree with the principle of what Option 2A is trying to achieve in releasing only the most appropriate sites, we have serious doubts about the robustness of the GBR process underpining this piece of work. We agree that dispersal of Green Belt sites to round off existing settlements is an advantage of Option 2A. This advantage, however, would not be achieved with a single large extension in one location such as the one proposed under Option 2B.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 58						
1249743	LPIO-26365 2 of 3						We agree that an advantage of dispersing new Green Belt allocations across the borough is that investment and the benefits from growth will be spread across different locations and neighbourhoods. Alongside the usual economic and social benefits associated with new housing delivery this could also be in the form of grant funding secured through Homes England. A variety of funding programmes are available, which could achieve a variety of objectives, including supporting the delivery of affordable homes and delivering key infrastructure to support housing growth. The additional spending power generated by new residents will then support existing local services helping to sustain local jobs. There are only two considered disadvantages set out in the WLP against Option 2A, both of which we do not agree with. The first claimed disadvantage is a view that smaller sites may not be able to support significant improvements to local infrastructure. Whilst there is merit in the promotion of larger sites to deliver social and physical infrastructure on c close to new development, we do not agree that a range of smaller sites is a disadvantage. The Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) was specifically introduced to help capture contributions towards the delivery of major infrastructure at a district scale. By capturing contributions from a wide variety of developments, it is then possible to deliver economic and (particularly) social benefits to a greater number of communities by distributing funding for infrastructure denomes in approach as opposed to a concentration of infrastructure delivery in a single location, as proposed under the Urban Intensification or Single Urban Extension options.							

Person ID	D	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1249743	LPIO-26365 3 of 3						This option would also benefit more Parish groups with a made Neighbourhood Plan, particularly where infrastructure needs have been identified. The second stated disadvantage in the WLP recognised by the council is that the loss of Green Belt across the Borough as a whole has a negative perception. The report mentions that this is tempered by the fact that these will be smaller sites, meaning there will be less of an impact in one location. This reduces the severity of this stated disadvantage. We consider that the process of identifying sites for Green Belt release should be undertaken from an objective viewpoint, where only sites which make the weakers contribution to the objectives and purpose of including land in the Green Belt will be released (this is what would have happened had the Green Belt assessment methodology not been flawed). As such it is the value of land to the Green Belt which should be the key consideration when considering land to be released, rather than an arbitrary concern about the perception of Green Belt release as a matter of principle across the whole of the borough. Whilt the loss of Green Belt in some areas is likely to be considered to have impact locally, there are other policy mechanisms which can and are currently in place to preserve and protect local areas. We agree that there are no further disadvantages of this Option to consider. The dispersed approach would provide long term flexibility in respect of housing supply and is more likely to meet housing meeds across the Borough as a whole. This approach would also serve to rebalance housing needs away from just Birkenhead and allow smaller settlements to realise the advantages that new residential development brings. It would also introduce variety to the market and ultimately increase working age population which will further stimulate the local economy. This is a huge advantage to the dispersed approach which is not listed in the consultation document. This advantage should be considered by the Council and							
1249782	LPIO-26429						We agree with the Council's overall assessment of the advantages of Green Belt release (although not with the chosen sites). One additional advantage that has not been considered in the Issues and Options report is that Green Belt sites can generally deliver housing more quickly and are generally viable and thus able to contribute to affordable housing and other infrastructure improvements. The dispersed Green Belt release options seeks to release twelve small to medium sized Green Belt sites, which will collectively provide 2,933 homes. One issue we have with the sites proposed is that they are not genuinely dispersed. Table 9.1 (see attachment) shows the distribution of housing from the dispersed Green Belt release sites amongs the settlement areas. Growth is directed largely to the settlement area of Heswall with very little growth elsewhere. Of particular note, Bromborough and Eastham is set to receive just 1.5% of growth, despite being highly sustainable settlements and including suitable Greene Belt release sites such as Raby Hall Road. A more sustainable pattern of growth, and one which would better address local housing needs, would be proportionate to the existing population and services and facilities within the settlement areas within the Urban Settlements. The Council then need to consider which sites are suitable within the settlement areas when taking account of the needs of the individual townships and the suitability of Green Belt sites	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56838 92						
1249219	LPIO-26470						The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as stated in 4.13 use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56775 29	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56775 28					

Person ID	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Developmet would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1249812	LPIO-26547						The strategy has a number of significant advantages over the alternative options which will ensure that the Local Plan can be found sound. Firstly, given the sites are greenfield in nature, they can be developed and delivered with far greater confidence than brownfield sites. Greenfield sites in general have less constraints and do not require remediation or removal of existing infrastructure. Given that the Council cannot demonstrate an immediate five year housing land supply, allocating greenfield sites is the only strategy which will provide certainty in the short term. The greenfield sites proposed lie on the edge of settlements and therefore offer an opportunity to bolster the vitality of existing communities with occupiers utilising and supporting existing local services. Indeed, sites on the edge of settlements can assist in fostering a community and improving social cohesion. Releasing land Green Belt sites will result in a more balanced and even distribution of growth across the Wirral over the plan period, overcoming the associated constraints of concentrating development to the east of the Borough or proposing a large release of Green Belt in one smaller defined area.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 13	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 11	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 12				
1240932	LPIO-26610						We broadly agree with part of the Council's assessment shown at Table 4.6 of the Issues and Options document.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56836 89	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56826 97	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56827 01				
1245180	LPIO-2710					no	There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1237944	LPIO-2750	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no								
1237546 Wirral Wildlife	LPIO-2855	No	No	No	No	no	While dispersed release would spread wildlife damage onto a number of smaller sites, the proposed ones include several with major wildlife impact:- out of 10 sites proposed, 3 have major wildlife importance (7.25,6.15,7.27), 3 have lesser but still significant wildlife implications (5.9,7.26,7.18) and similarly possibly 2 more (5.8,7.19). Total 6-8 out of the 10. Which continues to show that in Wirral, whatever Green Belt is chosen for release carries a high risk of significant harm to wildlife. Even if different parcels are chosen, it is likely that there will be wildlife impact because, out of the 54 2018 review parcels, 48 had wildlife objections or concerns. In this ARUP review, out of 43 weakly- performing sites, 22 have serious wildlife objections and 10 more have concerns for impact on wildlife. At least 3 have BMV agricultural land (5.9,4.13.7.11), of significance to future food supplies.							
1239029	LPIO-2948	No	No	No		no								
1245159	LPIO-2990	No	No	No	No									
1241315	LPIO-3277	No	No	No	No	no	There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1245437	LPIO-3517	No	No	No	No	no	Green belt needs to remain as green belt. Recalculate housing numbers and use brownfield.							
1245448		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes									
1238549	LPIO-355	No	No	No	No	no	spreading impact creates more issues and impacts on the whole peninsular.							
1245451	LPIO-3560	No	No	No	No	no	Green Belt should be retained. Don't agree that areas are weakly performing. Also developers would not provide the facilities needed like schools, shops etc - just generate more traffic in areas remote from facilities.							
1245469	LPIO-3701	No	No	No	No	no	The green belt should be preserved at all costs, especially on the Dee side of the borough!							
1237827	LPIO-3789	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no								
1245288	LPIO-3847	No	No	No	No	no	Green Belt serves everybody and needs preserving for this reason. As a resident of West Wirral for the past 28 years, I can say that my well being has been enriched, through being surrounded by woodlands, fields and open spaces. I spent my childhood living on an overcrowded estate in Liverpool, I was fortunate to spend all my school holidays with family who lived in Cornwall and know how this benefitted my mental health. My 3 children were fortunate to be born & bred in West Wirral and have enjoyed growing up here, enjoying all the exercise, walking and exploring that nature has to offer. Future generations deserve clean air, fields & woodland. NOT concrete estates.							

Person ID	D	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to be used to be used to round-off the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1245498	LPIO-3955		No	Yes	Yes	no	I don't agree to development on the greenbelt however option A would be prefereable to option B due to a lower impact on bio-diversity							
1245513	LPIO-4031	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	It allows a phased developmental approach structured over time. Land will be taken in small packets over time to meet the current need, not ploughing ahead and developing huge single areas with massive single impacts at one signular point in time. To develop multiple small sites allows an iterative approach to meet a changing demand. Single site development does not allow this as the infrastructure would require huge upfront investment.							
1245638	LPIO-4249	No	No	No	No	no	There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1245501	LPIO-4398	No	No	No	No	no								
1241065	LPIO-453	Yes	No	No	No	no								
1237667	LPIO-4559		No	No	No	no								
1244720	LPIO-4631	No	No	No	No	no	Building on any green belt area will destroy precious habitat, agricultural potential and recreational areas. The parcels identified are not* weakly performing* but contribute to our protection of green spaces, and support our Council's stated commitment to address Climate Change.							
1244629	LPIO-4752	No	No	No	No		There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1237873	LPIO-4852	No	No	No	Yes		There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1245794	LPIO-4914	No	No	No	No	no	No such thing as "Weakly performing Green Belt areas". Not identified anywhere. If WBC used an accurate figure of need, ie 3000, rather than 12,000, consideration of Green Belt would not even arise.							
1241327	LPIO-4941	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		l object to any release of Green Belt. As a last resort a dispersed approach would be more easily and rapidly achieved and have less impact on the borough as a whole.							
1245713	LPIO-5064	No	No	No	No	no	Do not agree with option of any building on greenbelt land							
1237923	LPIO-5067	No	No	No	No	no	there are no advantages to building on greenbelt and there should be no options for this. weakly performing parcels are still greenbelt and should not be touched. the majority of wirral residents do not want any greenbelt to be released for building on.							
1237567	LPIO-5198	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	It would allow previously developed land to be brought forward	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56580	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56698					
1245496	LPIO-5218	No	No	No	No	no	There should not be any options to build on greenbelt land.It is not required and it is not what the majority of local residents want.							
1239571	LPIO-5260	No	No	No	No	no								
1245607	LPIO-5363	No	No	No	No	no	There are no advantages of Greenbelt release when compared with re-development of existing brownfield sites. If given an option developers will focus on the higher margins associated with use of greenbelt in higher priced property areas.							
1240383	LPIO-5422	No	No	No	No	no	No Green belt release							
1246159	LPIO-5602	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes									

Person ID	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to vound-off the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1245073	LPIO-5629	No	No	No	No	no	All options to build on green belt should be removed in keeping with the wishes of the majority of Wirral residents. I don't agree with your classification of 'weaker' green belt contribution - it is all green belt and it all contributes. It won't strengthen any settlements it will just lead to urban sprawl and destroy them. Your definition of "rounding off" is different to mine. 1106 houses merging Pensby, Thingwall, Irby and Heswall (Ref SPGO) is not rounding off anything - it is just blatant urban sprawl on a massive scale. The impact of development would be minimised by setting realistic housing requirements for the plan to start with and building them on brownfield sites.							
1241868	LPIO-5764	No	No	No	No	no	Around 35000 Wirral residents have signed a petition saying that they do not want any release of Green Belt land. Agriculture, biodiversity, heritage, amenity, tourism, health and leisure would be adversely affected if any Green Belt land were covered over with housing estates or employment sites.							
1244896	LPIO-5791	No	No	No	No	no	NO!! We disagree with release of any Green Belt. 'Weakly Performing' parcels are still Green Belt. Open spaces should NOT be touched. ITPAS, WGSA and authors will NOT enter into a commentary on relative merits of GB Sites. They are ALL unacceptable, unsustainable, unnecessary, wrongly located, destructive, unhealthy, polluting, unsuited to the housing required (smaller, starter, apartments, 'affordable' and NOT larger). No selecting which child to be swedi! There are NO advantages only disadvantages to ANY Options in Green Belt. The concept is flawed as GB cannot by Guidance and now strengthened Government Policy be considered when there are alternatives – and there are. Option 2A is anyway a complete non-starter as it is NEITHER truly 'dispersed' (centred around Irby, completely changing its trural village character and linking it to the distinct communities of Thingwall, Pensby, Heswall and Barnston) NOR compliant with many assessment criteria. The Green Belt Review is fundamentally flawed in methodology, interpretation of the Purposes of Green Belt and suggested outcomes which have not been subjected to Public Consultation – is this legal? It also ignores completely the special case and 'exceptional circumstances' of Wirral, particularly as regards Purpose 5 and the long-standing need for and lack of extensive Regeneration – thwarted by past mistakes of allowing Green Belt development and other Council actions (mainly inaction) whichever Government was in power. There is simply NO NEED. See earlier Responses by ITPAS on Green Bet Releases and the flawed Green Belt Review, which gives plenty of opportunity for legal and other challenge later as the Local Plano the current basis is tumbling headlong towards failure without a further drastic change of direction and detail by the hard-pressed, meek Council. Correct the 'Housing Need' figure and all difficulties fall away.							
1245767	LPIO-5857	No	No	No	No	no	My views on this are essentially reflected in answers that I have already given above to previous questions. I don't understand what is meant by 'weakly performing land'. Relative to what? To my mind, the areas currently designated as Green Belt perform the fundamental function for which Green Belt is intended, namely to prevent Urban Sprawl, and in the absence of real justification for the hugely overstated assessment of Wirral's actual housing need, should continue to do so and, in turn, support the Council's policy on regeneration. Adopting a more realistic assessment of housing need, doesn't require any release of Green Belt and can be accommodated on brownfield land in locations that will benefit from regeneration.							
1246303	LPIO-5881	No	No	No		no	There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1246310	LPIO-5937						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1246342	LPIO-6018	No				no	The Local Plan proposals do not take into account the climate emergency, loss of habitats, flooding, pollution, coastal changes, area of special scientific interest, the need to increase green infrastructure. Low scale tourism is appropriate woodlands need to be managed, why not utilise trained volunteers?							
1242751	LPIO-609	No				no	There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1238310	LPIO-6156	No	No	No	No	no								
1246161	LPIO-6233	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no								

Person ID ID	D	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be	could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?		Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1246352 LF	PIO-6290	No					Over 35,000 people in Wirral do not want to build on any Greenbelt - and the figure is growing. Building on any of the Greenbelt would restrict access to historic pathways, lanes, walks - all needed to promote healthy lifestyle.							
1239535 LF	PIO-6331	No	No	No	No		There can never be a good reason for building on the Green Belt. Please note my comments to question 11.							
1246393 LF	PIO-6351	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Dispersed would hopefully have less overall impact on wildlife.							
1242183 LF	PIO-6390	No	No	No	No	no	You have voted on climate change, yet you want to bring 18,000 - 24,000 more vehicles onto our roads. Do you realise that the Wirral has the second highest incidence of bronchial chest infections & asthma in the country.Do not build on Greenfield sites. The majority of residents are totally against building on Greenfield sites.							
1246402 LF	PIO-6433	No	No	No	No	no	There should be no development on Green belt land.Plesae listen to the residents.This consultation document has been so difficult to fill in that many residents voices are not being heard.If you speak to the residents about the green belt land then most would object.							
1246415 LF	PIO-6440	No	No	No	No	no	Proceed with extreme caution one agreed planning permission, it could lead to an avalanche of other lawful permissions.							
1246419 LF	PIO-6498	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes								
1246421 LF	PIO-6524	No	No	No	No									
1246425 LF	PIO-6541						There is no need to present two options for Green Belt release as it is a sequential process that should result in sites that best promote sustainable patterns of development being given first consideration over sites that will result in less sustainable patterns of development. The sites that best promote sustainable patterns of development should be released from the Green Belt to meet identified need until no further sites are needed. This means that sites that are well served by public transport; that meet the Council's accessibility objectives and preferred approach to the settlement hierarchy should be released first. Put simply, Green Belt sites in the Urban Conurbation should be released for before sites to the west of the M53 and when identified need is met, no further Green Belt sites should be public transport and so they should be given first consideration for potential release.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56695 66						
1245086 LF	PIO-6611	No	No	No	No	no	There are no advantages to building on any green belt land & it is not necessary. The redevelopment of Birkenhead & adjacent urban areas should be suitable for all our new housing requirements. We do not need 12000 new homes.							
	PIO-6632	No	No	No	No									
	PIO-6648	No												
	PIO-6650	No	No	No	No							-		
		No	No	No	No									
		No	No	No	No		2A is more flouible, should it he found that aply some of the sites are and should be							
		Yes					2A is more flexible, should it be found that only some of the sites are needed.					+	+	
	PIO-6724 PIO-6751	Yes												
	PIO-6751 PIO-6773	No												
	PIO-679	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes									
		No												
		Yes												

Person ID	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1246348	LPIO-6876	No	No	No	No		Wouldn't allow me to answer previous question. As far as residents are concerned there is no such thing as weekly performing green belt land. These spaces are still important to local people, wild life, quality of life, etc. etc. How often does it need to be said NO BUILDING ON ANY GREEN BELT. WE DO NOT NEED TO. IT IS NOT NECESSARY. NOBODY WANTS IT.							
1241025	LPIO-6887	No	No	No	No	no								
1241096	LPIO-6900		No			no			https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56619 44					
1246482	LPIO-7031	No	No	No	No	no	I totally disagree with any release of greenbelt. Weakly performing parcels are still greenbelt, open spaces and should NOT be touched. There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1246456	LPIO-7072	No												
1246486	LPIO-7101	No												
1246488	LPIO-7154						There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1246501	LPIO-7169	No												
1246504	LPIO-7192	No												
1244604	LPIO-7222	Yes					The "Advantages" put forward by the Council are weakly expressed. Under the document "National Planning Policy Framework" date 27/3/2012 paragraph 133 "the essential characteristics of Green Belts are their openness and THEIR PERMANENCE"							
1246515		Yes					"Advantages" have been weakly expressed by the Council. As per National Planning Framework dated 27/3/2012. "The essential characteristics of Green Belts are their permanence.							
1246518	LPIO-7277	Yes												
1238102	LPIO-7306	No												
1246524	LPIO-7333	Yes					If new homes are needed (are these for existing residents of Wirral or newcomers?) it is best they are spread out along small plots all around the Borough so as to minimize the impact of the infrastructure in any one area. In other words the 'economy of scale'							
1246545	LPIO-7406	Yes					I agree that if Green belt has to be used, it is preferable to use smaller plots than one huge development.							
1237978	LPIO-7424	No												
1238381	LPIO-7493	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	When I spoke to the local planning officer at one of your meetings he clearly indicated that the list of green belt lands in the list 2A were indeed the best to be put forward first for housing development if the number of houses cannot be found from the brownfield sites. This I totally agree with . specifically in regard with the proposal to consider site SP059B SP059C and SP059d are excellent sites . Are purely infill sites and they do not encroach onto housing land or near other conurbations. They would have less impact on other houses in the area as against other major developments.							
1240653	LPIO-7548	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	yes	A dispersed approach allows for an as required release of small parcels of land, thereby limiting them to those only absolutely required.							
1241770	LPIO-7549	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	yes	Taking a dispersed approach could mean that small amounts of land are only made available if needed rather than committing fully to a vast development before the needs are full known.							

Person ID	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to vound-off the existing settlement patterns.	would be spread across the Borough,	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1246581	LPIO-7645	No	No	No	No		I totally disagree with the definition of 'weakly performing Green Belt' areas. The focus should be to focus on the regeneration of urban brownfield sites and there should not be any options to build on Green Belt. The use of a more realistic housing requirement would negate the need to build on Green Belt							
1246592	LPIO-7742	No	No	No	No	no	There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want							
1243342	LPIO-775	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no								
1246594	LPIO-7810	No	No	No	Yes	no	There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want and the assessment of land parcels is flawed in principle.							
1246431	LPIO-7813	No	No	No	No		These sites are not so very dispersed. A large number of the sites and potential houses seem to be close together in the Irby / Thingwall/Pensby area. This means that development couldn't really be said to spread impact. If all built the existing settlement patterns would be substantially changed, not "rounded off". Local infrastructure would be adversely impacted and I believe there are strong arguments that these sites are not weakly performing. (as submitted by others).							
1246591	LPIO-7900	Yes	No	Yes	Yes									
1240903	LPIO-7906	No	No	No	No	no	There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1246596	LPIO-8038	No	No	No	No	no	Totally disagree with any green belt release at all.							
1246523	LPIO-8050	No	No	No	Yes	no	What is the definition of "weakly performing land"?							
1240925	LPIO-8070	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	A phased approach to development, over a period of time, allowing current need to be met, and for local infrastructure to adapt and change to cope with the additional demands placed on it. Moving forward in smaller, prudent increments reduces risk, cost and adverse/unforeseen impact. It allows you to ensure that you retain the flexibility to deliver what is needed for our borough at the time it is needed.							
1246605	LPIO-8148					no	There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1240932	LPIO-8313	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Leverhulme broadly agrees with the advantages of the Council's assessment shown at Table 4.6 of the Issues and Options document, however would observe that the Leverhulme sites identified as part of this Option are of a scale that could support local infrastructure improvements either if released as an individual site or preferably as part of a comprehensive approach to the development of a network of sites across Leverhulme's landholding as outlined in the accompanying Vision Document and support those sites on this basis.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56836 89	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56826 97	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56827 01				
1246612	LPIO-8325	No	No	No	No	no	There are no advantages whatsoever of building on greenbelt. It should be the very last option if there is an absolute need and no other options. We are a long way away from either.							
1237882	LPIO-8369	No	No	No	No	no	There should not be ANY options to build on greenbelt. It is not what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1246550	LPIO-8391	No												
1245434	LPIO-8445						I cannot see how these principles have been applied to Parcel 6.15 (SP013, SHLAA 4056) West of Column Road, West Kirby. It could be argued that the land is not 'weak', the plans will feel like concentration on a single location due to the number of houses in the area being greatly increased. Additionally the area is affluent therefore will not meet the government's overall aim of building more affordable houses.							
1237748	LPIO-8507	No	No	No	Yes	no	The focus should be on regeneration of urban brownfield sites as now stated by the Council. Therefore, there should be not be ANY options to build on Green Belt to catalyse regeneration. In addition, as already stated use of a more realistic housing requirement figure would negate the need to consider releasing any Green Belt for building.							
1241852	LPIO-8550	No	No	No	No	no								

Person ID	ID		Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local inprovements.	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to be used to be used to round-off the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1243888		No	No	No	No		The idea that this option spreads the building around the borough is incorrect as 5 of the 12 sites are in Irby. Rounding off existing settlements is misleading, as real people live here, they are not pictures on a map. The little green spaces are the character and the charm of these settlements. This infilling is not supposed to occur in or around greenbelt communities. Communities that lose character, charm and identity are not strengthened but weakened. Some residents are planning to move if building occurs. The 2a areas do not need regeneration, but the 1a and b sites do. If the greenbelt around Irby and other 2a sites is doing its job in protecting communities' identities and character and giving great emotional wellbeing to local people, then that more than compensates as a function of the land for any supposed weakness in farmland quality. The new Environment Bill and associated legislation is going to require more land to be set aside for preservation of biodiversity and so these areas are not necessarily weak.							
1237832	LPIO-8613	No	No	No	No	no								
1243448	LPIO-872	No	No	No	No	no	Green belt/field sites should never be developed.							
1246622	LPIO-8736	No	No	No	No		these assumptions are made influenced by the perceived need of excessive number of housing which is unreal. Identify more developable/deliverable 'Brownfield' and 'Previously Developed' sites and Funding and the need to release green belt in any shape of form will disappear.							
1246631	LPIO-8802					no	I fundamentally reject the description of any green belt land as 'weakly performing' for reasons already given. All the land designated green belt within Wirral performs strongly against at least three of the five criteria given. To release any would set a precedent for the future and the character of the borough would quite quickly change for ever.							
1246544	LPIO-8804	No	Yes	No			It is not acceptable to designate greenbelt land as "weak", since that only leads to a presumption of likely development. "Rounding off" settlements already in existence can result in precisely what Green Belt was designed to avoid, i.e. settlements sprawling into each other							
1245034	LPIO-8831	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no								
1244819	LPIO-8900	No	No	No	No	no	Greenbelt and agricultural land whether classified as strongly or weakly performing, should all be preserved for the future enjoyment and use by Wirral residents and local wildlife.							
1246666	LPIO-9013	No												
1246670	LPIO-9071	No												
1239377	LPIO-9075	No	No	No	No	no	There should be no options for building on green belt and I dispute the use of the term, 'weaker' in its capacity to suggest some of our green belt under-performs in its unique role. As I have stated earlier, I believe the Council's Green Belt Review to be unsuitable for its purpose.							
1240872	LPIO-9081	No	No	No	No	no	No greenbelt needs to be released, its there to serve a purpose and its destruction will be to our detriment. We do not need 12000 houses, certainly not at the destruction of biodiverse sites that provide for agriculture and clean the air we breath. Serious concerns that any release would have but specific concern regarding the use of the M53 as a boundary. This massively discriminates against residents East of the M53 and cuts of many vital sites from its access to the wider countryside. Eastham Woods, Dibbensdale Nature reserve, Dibbensdale brook. Brotherton Park. This cannot be allowed to happen.							
1246671	LPIO-9114	Yes												
1246672	LPIO-9155	Yes												

Person ID	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	Question 4.14a - Development could strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements.	Question 4.14a - Development be used to be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated in one single location.	Question 4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1237807	LPIO-9213	No	No	No	No	no	This is a prime example of the artificial 'weakly performing green belt' being used to induce an answer that the concept of weakly performing green belt has been accepted. It hasn't - and therefore the question is invalid. No green belt should be released. It cannot be catagorised for the sake of determing how it should be used. It begs the question and is a false premise.							
1246678	LPIO-9326	No	No	No	No	no	Green Belt is Green Belt; serving a purpose to prevent Urban Sprawl. How one area of Green Belt can be classed as 'weaker contribution' is beyond me; how does this not apply to the prevention of urban sprawl?							
1246624	LPIO-9341	No	No	No	No	no	Greenbelt should not be released for building irrespective of your determination of its 'performance'							
1245289	LPIO-9440	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Whilst I think that the use of any greenbelt should be avoided at least using smaller parcels would minimise the loss and impact on surrounding areas.							
1246712	LPIO-9577	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	yes	Please see our attached statement for our full case.							
1246717	LPIO-9664	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	There are a number of additional advantages for Option 2A. Development adjacent to existing settlements would strengthen the vitality of a number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local infrastructure and may support other local improvements. Green Belt release of sites which perform poorly against the purposes of including land within the Green Belt, adjacent to the Urban Conurbation, would assist in contributing to the housing land supply by meeting any residual requirements. We do not agree that sites should be discounted by basis of being located within a parcel that has been scored as making a moderate contribution. As we demonstrate within the supporting statement for Question 2.16 sites can make a much less important contribution to the Green Belt when assessed discretely. Sites within these parcels which are demonstrated to be suitable for development (both in terms of release from the Green Belt and with regard to sustainable location), achievable and available should be released from the Green Belt, and allocated for residential development.							
1237724	LPIO-9716	No	No	No	No	no	The Plan has signally failed to correctly identify weakly performing land as I know for sure in my own experience of SP061 and SP062. Despite on numerous occasions bringing this to the attention of the Councillors and Planners there has been no action to correct this. The residents have already responded very clearly that they do not want any building on greenbelt and moreover there is no need so to do because the housing requirement figures are grossly inflated. There is however an urgent need for regeneration of brownfield sites - any schemes to develop on greenbelt land will be a distraction from this and is totally unwarranted.							
1246693	LPIO-9725						There should NOT be ANY options to build on greenbelt land. I refer back to my earlier comments in relation to the realistic number of houses that need to be built. There is sufficient brownfield sites to do this especially if the Council would increase the number and speed that vacant properties are brought back into use. Classifying areas of agricultural land as weak is a poor excuse and get out clause by the council. The council should be promoting and supporting agricultural land to support the food supply chain not offering it up to developers as cheap short term profit. Building on greenbelt land is NOT what the majority of Wirral residents want.							
1246720	LPIO-9746	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	yes	The only option is allocate Green Belt land and a dispersal strategy is the optimum way to achieve the housing deliver so that there are a range of sites and developers across the Wirral to meet housing needs where they arise. In response to Q 4.17- 4.20 we disagree with the council's approach to the release of very large urban extensions from the Green Belt as they would not provide the dispersal, variety and mix of housing across the district to meet local needs contrary to providing the choice and competition of land (paragraph 73 of the Framework).							
1246691	LPIO-9782	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	The use of a large number of sites allow the development of individual sites which produce the actual number of houses required and keep green belt erosion to a minimum. The development of a single large site commits to the building of more houses than may actually be required.							

Person ID	ID	Question 4.14a - Only land with weaker Green Belt contribution and value would be used.	number of existing settlements, help to meet localised housing needs, make use of existing local	Question 4.14a - Development would only be used to 'round-off' the existing settlement patterns.	Question 4.14a - The impact of development would be spread across the Borough, rather than being concentrated location.	4.14b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.14c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	Attachment 7
1238424	LPIO-9800		No	No		no	As stated in previous comments, green belt should not be released until all the brownfield development has been completed, and then only if there remains further demand for more housing.							
1246651	LPIO-9851	No	No	No	No	no	There should be no building on the greenbelt areas identified. The residents of The Wirral and of these individual village areas are all against building here.							
1245994	LPIO-9979	No	No	No	No	no	All options to build on green belt should be removed in keeping with the wishes of the majority of Wirral residents. I don't agree with your classification of "weaker" green belt contribution - it is all green belt and it all contributes. It won't strengthen any settlements it will just lead to urban sprawl and destroy them. Your definition of "rounding off" is different to mine. 1106 houses merging Pensby, Thingwall, Irby and Heswall (Ref SP060) is not rounding off anything - it is just blatant urban sprawl on a massive scale. The impact of development would be minimised by setting realistic housing requirements for the plan to start with and building them on brownfield sites.							