

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1241337	LPIO-10043	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		I believe the advantages far outweigh the disadvantages and this is the only option that meets the Council's vision.						
1246747	LPIO-10093	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no							
1246760	LPIO-10114	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no							
1246763	LPIO-10303	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Our Client strongly support the Council's intended strategy, as it prioritises development on brownfield sites. By undertaking this option and prioritising sites such as Bromborough Wharf, Wirral Borough Council will be able to maximise their sustainability by focusing on a number of sites across the urban areas of the Borough, allowing for the creation of new neighbourhoods supported by the infrastructure and services required to support sustainable development. This will also assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land such as the Bromborough Wharf Site. In addition to this, urban intensification will prevent the unrestricted sprawl into the Wirral's valuable Green Belt, safeguarding the countryside from encroachment. Although national planning policy does not prescribe a 'brownfield first' approach, the Council are adopting a 'brownfield preference' approach, doing all it can to make sure that brownfield sites come forward in the early part of the plan period. However, to do this the Council will need to continue to support the remediation of contaminated land, provide funding for infrastructure (including sufficient public transport) and to support alternative models of housing delivery. In the same manner to how the Council successfully supported the bid for the Housing Infrastructure Fund to support development within Wirral Waters, further bids for future funding streams to support large scale developments on brownfield land are vital.						
1244412	LPIO-1031								Urban intensification should not encroach on greenbelt land. There are not any advantages urban intensification to encroachment.						
1246717	LPIO-10338						No	no	It is considered that retaining Green Belt as it stands is not necessarily an advantage of the Urban Intensification Strategy. Green Belt land is not necessarily a valuable asset when it does not contribute to meeting the five purposes of the Green Belt. The Green Belt Assessment Review concluded that there are some parcels and sites within the Green Belt which do not contribute to meeting the purposes of the Green Belt, as set out in Paragraph 134 of the National Planning Policy Framework. As such, Sites which do not contribute to the Green Belt and can be demonstrated to be suitable for residential development, such as Land to the South of Clatterbridge Hospital, with due consideration of constraints such as ecology, trees, landscape and visual impact, highways and access, flood risk etc., should be released from the Green Belt and allocated for residential development to help meet housing needs.						
1246724	LPIO-10417	No	No	No	No	No	No	no	Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1246778	LPIO-10500	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no							
1248825	LPIO-10680								Our Client supports Urban Intensification but notes that other options (including 2A and 2B) will still require Wirral Waters to make a significant contribution to housing delivery. See paragraphs 5.35 – 5.41 of the attached representations.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684264					

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246242	LPIO-10778								Urban intensification should not and must not encroach on GREENBELT. There are no advantages of urban intensification via encroachment.						
1247073	LPIO-10828	No	No	No	No	No	No	no							
1247079	LPIO-10875							yes	Urban development should not encroach on greenbelt land, there are no advantages to encroachment.						
1247087	LPIO-10892							no							
1247097	LPIO-10906							no							
1247098	LPIO-10923							no	Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are no advantages to such encroachment and the green belt land should be preserved at all cost.						
1247103	LPIO-10941							yes	Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification too encroachment.						
1247120	LPIO-10957	No						no							
1243890	LPIO-1100	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no							
1247128	LPIO-11026							no	There is no current mechanism to bring Brown field sites forward at this time. It is being left to the discretion of housing associations. Which are from outside of the area. The Council has seemingly no power to influence the housing associations. There is Government funding for remedial work for preparation of Brownfield sites in the Birkenhead area.						
1247130	LPIO-11057							no							
1247133	LPIO-11089							no							
1247135	LPIO-11104							no							
1245190	LPIO-11124	Yes													
1247144	LPIO-11139								Urban intensification should not encroach on greenbelt in any way. There is no advantages to this.						
1247146	LPIO-11155							no	Urban Intensification should not encroach on greenbelt in any way. There are no advantages at all of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1246647	LPIO-11168	No						no							
1247196	LPIO-11575								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247015	LPIO-11781								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247214	LPIO-12402								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1244681	LPIO-1241	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Urban intensification should not encroach on greenbelt						
1247492	LPIO-12501								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1240843	LPIO-12665								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247578	LPIO-12863								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247510	LPIO-12987								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1246335	LPIO-13119								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1246853	LPIO-13379								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1246852	LPIO-13501								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1243700	LPIO-1364	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Here we are with "urban" again! The urban intensification option should not be predominantly using brownfield land it should ALL be on brownfield land as there is sufficient available.						
1247746	LPIO-13656								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247777	LPIO-13776 1 of 2								We are instructed by our Client, to make representations to the above-mentioned consultation process. Our clients are significant landholders on the Wirral and have submitted sites via the Call for Sites exercise to the Council for consideration in the emerging Local Plan. We note that the Council's Preferred Option is 'Urban Intensification' which the Council acknowledges at para 4.2.3 may not be achievable as the existing Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) 2019 shows 'that currently there may not be enough specific 'deliverable', or 'developable' sites within the urban area and on existing brownfield sites that will be able to provide for the number of new homes required to be delivered within the Plan Period'. Whilst a further option is for Urban Intensification with additional urban housing allocations, paragraph 4.2.32 notes 'In many cases, the number of homes that sites can deliver within the Plan Period will depend on a range of factors, some of which are beyond the control or influence of the Council'. At paragraph 2.3.13 the document confirms that the delivery of new homes in Wirral over the previous 3 years was 73% (2018 measurement), below the 85% requirement set out by Government in the NPPF and the latest Housing Delivery Test Results (2019 measurement released February 2020) confirmed that this figure has marginally increased to 76%. The Council does not have a robust position in relation to housing delivery.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247777	LPIO-13776 2 of 2								As such, the Council has been unable to meet the target set by central government and by its own admission the Preferred Option of Urban Intensification may not be achievable as the existing evidence base indicates that there may not be enough specific 'deliverable' or 'developable' sites within the urban area to provide for the number of homes required. Furthermore, it is acknowledged there are factors 'beyond the control or influence of the Council' that will impact how many homes can be delivered during the plan period. In response to Question 10, our Client disagree with the Council's proposed Vision of 'Urban Intensification' as it is unlikely to deliver the required number of homes required over the plan period. Therefore, the proposed vision is contrary to paragraph 67 of the NPPF which requires planning policies to be able to identify a sufficient supply and mix of sites, taking into account their availability, suitability and likely economic viability. The Council has ignored its own evidence base which at paragraph 2.8.6 reiterates the findings of the Wirral Local Plan Economic Viability Study (2018) which outlines amongst its key findings that 'Housing development in lowest value areas (Zone 1) around Birkenhead is generally not viable without adjustment to to the level of developers profit or land price or both'. By envisaging large scale residential development at Wirral Waters (1,800 units), Hind Street (1,000 units) and Woodside (1,000 units) there are serious question marks as to whether or not these sites are 'deliverable' or 'developable' as they are all in Birkenhead.						
1238192	LPIO-13794								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247012	LPIO-13849								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247014	LPIO-13903								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1242183	LPIO-13974								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247218	LPIO-14068								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1244900	LPIO-1414	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1247219	LPIO-14173								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247220	LPIO-14273								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247222	LPIO-14403								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247226	LPIO-14490								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247245	LPIO-14581								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246827	LPIO-14709								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247016	LPIO-14839								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1242155	LPIO-14874								There is a very clear disadvantage, or going further, a reason why Option 1A is unsound as identified. The plan clearly relies on an over optimistic set of circumstance focused around very large volumes of housing delivery in Birkenhead of a similar type and tenure appealing to only a segment of market demand and need. The environmental and infrastructural impacts of development will be focused in a limited area and intensified. A further disadvantage should be that housing need will not be met in parts of the Borough (in particular West Wirral). The allocation of housing supply is disproportionate. There will be a continued distinct lack of supply in certain areas of the Borough discriminating and depriving certain communities of new homes. The housing needs of West Wirral will not be met.						
1247018	LPIO-14904								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247246	LPIO-15328								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1244901	LPIO-1538	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1247248	LPIO-15441								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247251	LPIO-15546								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247252	LPIO-15639								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247275	LPIO-15849								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247936	LPIO-15990								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247287	LPIO-16204								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1244969	LPIO-1621	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Development of the waterfront brings social and amenity benefits not only to the immediate new residents but to all Wirral residents.						
1247344	LPIO-16291								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247349	LPIO-16379								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247353	LPIO-16467								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247354	LPIO-16555								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247434	LPIO-16658								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247436	LPIO-16768								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247437	LPIO-16900								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247439	LPIO-16901								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247441	LPIO-17066								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247960	LPIO-17187								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247962	LPIO-17274								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247966	LPIO-17379								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247971	LPIO-17484								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1241726	LPIO-17578								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247979	LPIO-17696								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247980	LPIO-17697								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1238043	LPIO-1774	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1245502	LPIO-17869								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247541	LPIO-17968								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247539	LPIO-18077								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247996	LPIO-18227								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1237857	LPIO-18230								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245060	LPIO-1836								Urban intensification should not encroach in any way on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247021	LPIO-18390								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247022	LPIO-18444								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247023	LPIO-18499								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247024	LPIO-18554								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247025	LPIO-18622								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247038	LPIO-18624								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247039	LPIO-18745								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247040	LPIO-18746								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247041	LPIO-18842								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247042	LPIO-18908								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247060	LPIO-18988								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247061	LPIO-18989								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247063	LPIO-19085								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247064	LPIO-19138								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247068	LPIO-19193								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247071	LPIO-19250								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247072	LPIO-19305								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247078	LPIO-19362								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245069	LPIO-1940	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no							
1247080	LPIO-19430								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247081	LPIO-19431								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247082	LPIO-19630								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247083	LPIO-19685								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1238379	LPIO-1971	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Urban intensification should not encroach in any way on Green Belt						
1247084	LPIO-19740								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247085	LPIO-19803								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1242519	LPIO-1983	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Development should not encroach on greenbelt, residents in urban areas need easy access to open country.						
1247088	LPIO-19869								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247089	LPIO-19930								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247090	LPIO-19986								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247091	LPIO-20040								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247092	LPIO-20098								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247093	LPIO-20158								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247094	LPIO-20218								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247095	LPIO-20274								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247096	LPIO-20329								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247099	LPIO-20386								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247101	LPIO-20440								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247108	LPIO-20573								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247102	LPIO-20574								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247106	LPIO-20613								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247105	LPIO-20614								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247109	LPIO-20710								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247110	LPIO-20775								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247111	LPIO-20776								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1237870	LPIO-2080						Yes		Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1247112	LPIO-20930								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247113	LPIO-20984								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247115	LPIO-21040								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247116	LPIO-21094								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1246851	LPIO-21161								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1246918	LPIO-21297								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1246924	LPIO-21298								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1246928	LPIO-21299								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1241016	LPIO-214	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1245112	LPIO-2149	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes										
1246920	LPIO-21535								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1246926	LPIO-21536								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247117	LPIO-21685								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247118	LPIO-21686								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247145	LPIO-21793								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247147	LPIO-21794								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247148	LPIO-21901								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247150	LPIO-21902								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1244329	LPIO-22006								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247119	LPIO-22074								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1246678	LPIO-22075								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247151	LPIO-22182								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247152	LPIO-22183								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247153	LPIO-22296								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247155	LPIO-22297								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1238835	LPIO-2240	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	There is absolutely no need whatsoever, to consider encroachment onto the Wirral's Greenbelt land. You will see quite quickly that during any employed stepped approach, by the end of phase 1 ie about 2026, the true number of new houses needed to be built will be in the region of 5000 only. This will create huge economic and social benefits to citizens of Wirral. In essence any urban intensification should involve brownfield land only.						
1247156	LPIO-22404								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247158	LPIO-22405								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1245100	LPIO-2241	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Urban intensification should not encroach on Green Belt						
1247159	LPIO-22598								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247160	LPIO-22599								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247161	LPIO-22639								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247164	LPIO-22640								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247167	LPIO-22772								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247168	LPIO-22773								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247169	LPIO-22967								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247170	LPIO-22968								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247173	LPIO-23049								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247174	LPIO-23050								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247175	LPIO-23157								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247176	LPIO-23158								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247177	LPIO-23292								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247178	LPIO-23293								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						
1247179	LPIO-23294								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6		
1248389 Highways England	LPIO-23782								WMBC have assessed the advantages and disadvantages of their preferred approach of Urban Intensification. In terms of transport, Highways England would agree that the advantage to this approach is correct in that "More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure". We also appreciate that the Council have recognised the disadvantage of this may be "Over concentration of cars and traffic in intensified areas, with impacts on noise and air pollution". Highways England agree with the statement of the key advantage of this approach being it: "Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure. Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity." The key concern for Highways England is the reassurance that sufficient transport infrastructure will be put in place to promote more sustainable travel options, alongside ensuring the at the existing local highway network and its interaction with the SRN is sufficiently maintained and improved where necessary. Promotion of public transport and active travel should therefore be integral to planning consideration for the Council as sites are brought forward.								
1242185	LPIO-23905						yes	Yes, but in addition, in terms of advantages of urban intensification, the carbon footprint of a development per capita is lower, when compared to dispersed development. For example, rural fringe development needs investment in the extension of utilities and other infrastructure. As Table 4.4 states dispersed development leads to the promotion of more unsustainable travel patterns reliant on motor vehicles and it is true that building homes on centrally located sites promotes more active travel, including walking and cycling and supports the delivery of more public transport services through achieving higher densities. What is more, urban intensification supports NPPF Section 11, which should be more prominently mentioned throughout the Local Plan. Another advantage of urban intensification is it protects our greenspace, and the associated rural farming sectors, and also the visitor economy that relies on access to greenfield land and natural habitat to support agricultural jobs and recreation and leisure activities, such as bird watching. The special biodiversity supports incoming spend in tourism related businesses.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684263	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5657006						
1248472	LPIO-24022							We consider that Table 4.4 presents a fair summary of the advantages and disadvantages of the urban intensification option. In our view we would expect the Plan to be found unsound.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684824	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684823							

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6		
1248487	LPIO-24074								We strongly support the Council's approach towards urban intensification as a first priority for meeting its identified need. It is considered that Table 4.4 appropriately addresses the advantages and disadvantages of urban intensification as a sole means of meeting the identified need. As demonstrated within these representations, we support the urban intensification approach as a means to meeting the identified need on the premise that: It supports the underlying principles of the Framework which is to direct development towards the urban area; it will promote the delivery of development in the most sustainable of locations; it will ensure the most efficient use of land; and, it will reduce the need for Green Belt release as a means of meeting the identified need. Whilst we acknowledge the disadvantages for pursuing urban intensification as a sole means for meeting its identified need, it is important that the Council maximise delivery through development in the urban area as a first priority. We do not consider the Council to have fully appraised its urban land supply to ascertain whether there is capacity for it to accommodate its need. This is particularly pertinent in the context of a Site which is not identified in the Council's housing land supply. This is despite it being: no longer in use for its intended purpose; located within the urban area; and, being actively promoted for residential development with an outline planning application proposed for submission in spring 2020.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656330	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656329						
1244826	LPIO-2413	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Urban intensification should not encroach in any way on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.								
1248566 Natural England	LPIO-24470								Natural England supports the councils preferred approach through urban intensification and prioritisation of brownfield development first. We have noted that the area of potential green belt release has been reduced at this stage of development of the Local Plan when compared to the previous development options presented. We welcome this reduction as to reduce potential impact on any potential supporting habitats for coastal designated sites and also additional pressure to nationally designated sites such as Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). We have provided our initial comments on the proposed allocations in Annex A.								
1248571	LPIO-24537								Spatial Strategy: Broadly, Cheshire West supports Wirral's preferred strategy, Option 1A of urban intensification, with the aim of meeting all development requirements within the urban area and increasing densities across all existing settlements, as it would support the purposes of Green Belt set out in the NPPF, and in particular assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land. This would appear to be the most appropriate strategy for Wirral to pursue and remove the need for any Green Belt release set out under Options 2A and 2B.								
1242697	LPIO-24676								The pursuit of regeneration is obvious and the right approach. The key disadvantage is that the projects take time to produce and then Option 1B: Urban Intensification with the stepped approach, is the right one to pursue.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659118	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659119	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659120	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121				

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	
1245936	LPIO-24736								<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposed projections for the delivery of the 'Urban Intensification Option' seem overly optimistic. It is likely that there will be greater disadvantages to this strategy than advantages. significant risk that this plan will be found unsound should it proceed down this route. Further thought and a diversification of allocations across the borough should be considered to provide a steady and more reliable flow of housing delivery. 							
1248749	LPIO-24868								In terms of the stated advantages - development in the Green Belt does not necessarily mean an increase in vehicle dependency. The location of some proposed Green Belt sites is highly sustainable as they provide natural extensions to existing urban settlements, with good transport infrastructure.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684847	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684848	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684845				
1248769	LPIO-24989								In terms of the stated advantages - development in the Green Belt does not necessarily mean an increase in vehicle dependency. The location of some proposed Green Belt sites is highly sustainable as they provide natural extensions to existing urban settlements, with good transport infrastructure.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659045	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684957	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659039	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659038	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684956		
1248823	LPIO-25091								Additional disadvantages include: limited ability to provide appropriate infrastructure and new facilities and amenities for future residents, particularly within areas with already limited opportunities; market saturation from thousands of flats and apartments in Birkenhead in addition to apartment living options in Liverpool City Centre; reliance on a small number of large developers who will monopolise the market; inability to address the large undersupply of larger family homes; and the overconcentration on brownfield sites and allocations in the east of the Borough, which will not respond to the housing needs in the western parts of Wirral and will only further increase demand and house prices in these locations.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674317	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684865	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684849				
1248823	LPIO-25092								In terms of the stated advantages - development in the Green Belt does not necessarily mean an increase in vehicle dependency. The location of some proposed Green Belt sites is highly sustainable as they provide natural extensions to existing urban settlements, with good transport infrastructure.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674317	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684865	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684849				
1245083	LPIO-2514	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	Urban intensification should not encroach on green belt							
1248832	LPIO-25188								Additional disadvantages include: limited ability to provide appropriate infrastructure and new facilities and amenities for future residents, particularly within areas with already limited opportunities; market saturation from thousands of flats and apartments in Birkenhead in addition to apartment living options in Liverpool City Centre; reliance on a small number of large developers who will monopolise the market; inability to address the large under supply of larger family homes; and the over concentration on brownfield sites and allocations in the east of the Borough, which will not respond to the housing needs in the western parts of Wirral and will only further increase demand and house prices in these locations.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684857	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659562					
1248832	LPIO-25189								In terms of the stated advantages - development in the Green Belt does not necessarily mean an increase in vehicle dependency. The location of some proposed Green Belt sites is highly sustainable as they provide natural extensions to existing urban settlements, with good transport infrastructure.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684857	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659562					

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6			
1248833	LPIO-25306								In terms of the stated advantages - development in the Green Belt does not necessarily mean an increase in vehicle dependency. The location of some proposed Green Belt sites is highly sustainable as they provide natural extensions to existing urban settlements, with good transport infrastructure.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661125	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661100	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661124	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661129					
1246763	LPIO-25418								Strongly support the Council's intended strategy, as it prioritises development on brownfield sites. By undertaking this option and prioritising sites such as Bromborough Wharf, Wirral Borough Council will be able to maximise their sustainability by focusing on a number of sites across the urban areas of the Borough, allowing for the creation of new neighbourhoods supported by the infrastructure and services required to support sustainable development. This will also assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land such as the Bromborough Wharf Site. In addition to this, urban intensification will prevent the unrestricted sprawl into the Wirral's valuable Green Belt, safeguarding the countryside from encroachment. Although national planning policy does not prescribe a 'brownfield first' approach, the Council are adopting a 'brownfield preference' approach, doing all it can to make sure that brownfield sites come forward in the early part of the plan period. However, to do this the Council will need to continue to support the remediation of contaminated land, provide funding for infrastructure (including sufficient public transport) and to support alternative models of housing delivery. In the same manner to how the Council successfully supported the bid for the Housing Infrastructure Fund to support development within Wirral Waters, further bids for future funding streams to support large scale developments on brownfield land are vital.									
1248986	LPIO-25506								In terms of the stated advantages - development in the Green Belt does not necessarily mean an increase in vehicle dependency. The location of some proposed Green Belt sites is highly sustainable as they provide natural extensions to existing urban settlements, with good transport infrastructure.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662723	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662725	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662770						
1249070	LPIO-25664								We do not agree with the stated advantage of the urban intensification option, that it will meet development needs within the urban area on predominantly previously developed land. Some of the sites identified for intensification will simply not be deliverable / developable or viable and the plan will be found unsound if it relies on them. The over concentration of development within the Commercial Core, particularly at Wirral Waters, will fail to achieve the Council's preferred mix of housing.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684996	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5679650							
1246458	LPIO-25725								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.									
1246459	LPIO-25726								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.									

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1249100	LPIO-25900 1 of 3								We do not agree with the stated advantage of the urban intensification option, that it will meet development needs within the urban area on predominantly previously developed land. Some of the sites identified for intensification will simply not be deliverable / developable or viable and the plan will be found unsound if it relies on them. The over concentration of development within the Commercial Core, particularly at Wirral Waters, will fail to achieve the Council's preferred mix of housing.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/14	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/12	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684/898	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684/949	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/09	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684/951
1249100	LPIO-25900 2 of 3								We do not agree with the stated advantage of the urban intensification option, that it will meet development needs within the urban area on predominantly previously developed land. Some of the sites identified for intensification will simply not be deliverable / developable or viable and the plan will be found unsound if it relies on them. The over concentration of development within the Commercial Core, particularly at Wirral Waters, will fail to achieve the Council's preferred mix of housing.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/10	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684/895	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/08	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/11	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/13	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/16
1249100	LPIO-25900 3 of 3								We do not agree with the stated advantage of the urban intensification option, that it will meet development needs within the urban area on predominantly previously developed land. Some of the sites identified for intensification will simply not be deliverable / developable or viable and the plan will be found unsound if it relies on them. The over concentration of development within the Commercial Core, particularly at Wirral Waters, will fail to achieve the Council's preferred mix of housing.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/07					
1249315	LPIO-26202							yes	Young people want to live near a "Hub" ie. City/Town Centre.						
1249320	LPIO-26215							yes	I believe it is vital to reflect climate change. Hence development should cause as little "disruption" as possible e.g. minimise the need for new infrastructure such as new roads, train stations/lines and prioritise retention of agricultural land.						
1249321	LPIO-26230								Urban intensification must not include Green Belt Totally against Option 2b						
1249219	LPIO-26466								We believe the advantages identified far outweigh the disadvantages identified and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/29	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/56775/28				
1245180	LPIO-2685							no	Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment						
1245058	LPIO-2720								Urban intensification should not encroach on greenbelt						
1237944	LPIO-2722	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Creating a Central Transport Hub at Conway Park rail station (Europa North SHLAA 0956) will significantly improve public transport links for train/bus/taxi to improve the whole of the Wirral area and the links to Chester and Liverpool.						
1237546	LPIO-2842	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Add to advantages: it will usually be easier to meet climate change targets, net biodiversity gain and net environmental gain if development is concentrated in the existing urban area. Work and housing close together and mixed use developments reduce need to travel. However high quality open space and other green infrastructure will be needed e.g. trees, green roofs and walls.						
1245159	LPIO-2986	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Supports the redevelopment of Birkenhead with substantial social problems and reduced life expectancy.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245287	LPIO-3104	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1238645	LPIO-3108	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1241315	LPIO-3273	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	no	Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1245416	LPIO-3369	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1245346	LPIO-3415		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Urban intensification should not encroach on greenbelt.						
1238549	LPIO-351	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no							
1245437	LPIO-3514	Yes							No encroachment on green belt						
1245451	LPIO-3555	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Supports the redevelopment of Birkenhead with substantial social problems and reduced life expectancy.						
1245462	LPIO-3624	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Supports the redevelopment of Birkenhead with substantial social problems and reduced life expectancy.						
1237827	LPIO-3786	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no							
1240939	LPIO-4126	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1245638	LPIO-4241	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Urban intensification should not encroach in any way on greenbelt. There are no advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1239029	LPIO-4335	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no							
1245153	LPIO-4362	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1245501	LPIO-4374		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes							
1244215	LPIO-4537	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1237667	LPIO-4551	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1244629	LPIO-4554								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1244720	LPIO-4625	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1237696	LPIO-4703	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes							
1244896	LPIO-4805	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Urban intensification should not encroach in any way on Wirral's Green Belt. There would be no advantages if it did. See attached File as text length exceeds 300 words.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677100					
1237873	LPIO-4845								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1244896	LPIO-4879	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Further Answer as first submission was not recorded fully and accurately: Urban intensification should not encroach in any way on Wirral's Green Belt. There would be no advantages if it did. ITPAS further comment is the same as Wirral Green Space Alliance (WGSa) Response by Planning Consultant: See attached File as text length exceeds 300 words. Not uploaded by system.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677101					
1245794	LPIO-4908	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	Completely agree. This is the only way the Vision can be delivered.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1243171	LPIO-4960	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Open Space can still be provided within these areas.						
1241868	LPIO-5022	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Urban intensification should not encroach in any way on the Green Belt.						
1245713	LPIO-5057	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no							
1239571	LPIO-5255	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Imaginative development such as that being implemented by Wirral Waters will be transformational, giving the densely populated waterfront areas of the north-eastern side of the peninsula a renewed national reputation for urban planning, such as was enjoyed in the nineteenth century.						
1246006	LPIO-5320								Urban intensification should not encroach on green belt. Brownfield sites should be fully investigated and researched.						
1245607	LPIO-5362	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no							
1240383	LPIO-5426	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		This supports the vision set out in 3.1						
1245954	LPIO-5501	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1246159	LPIO-5597	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1245073	LPIO-5625	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1245984	LPIO-5722							yes	Advantages include existing infrastructure available for travel and work and available services such as retail, doctors, dentists etc.						
1241065	LPIO-579	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1245767	LPIO-5852	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		The advantages listed significantly outweigh the disadvantages, particularly if access to grants and use of S106 payments generated by other residential development in more profitable locations, can be focused on improving viability by site remediation etc and thereby achieving the Council's Vision.						
1246303	LPIO-5882	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1246310	LPIO-5932							no	Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1246342	LPIO-6015	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	The Local Plan should emphasise the need for adequate public transport and the inclusion of Green Space e.g. play areas for children within large developments.						
1242751	LPIO-605								I do not agree with any of the green spaces being developed.						
1245599	LPIO-6117	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		Should not use greenbelt in anyway.						
1238310	LPIO-6150	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1246161	LPIO-6231	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Yes. WUTH would support the assertion of the negative impact upon health of additional traffic and resultant air pollution. An additional advantage may be that this option would put require a more focused response in terms of healthcare facilities in an already densely populated area i.e. this would mean developing of existing infrastructure as opposed to development of new infrastructure.						

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246352	LPIO-6286								If this means - intensification of housing we do not need it to encroach on any Greenbelt. Also if we intensify air pollution, the Wirral will be worse than it is already. Wirral has the 2nd highest numbers of chest, bronchial, lungs, asthma etc in younger people in the country.						
1242541	LPIO-6320	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1242183	LPIO-6386	No	No	No	No	No	No	no							
1246402	LPIO-6423	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1246415	LPIO-6434	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes							
1246419	LPIO-6496	No	No	No	No	No	No	no							
1241723	LPIO-6561	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Urban intensification should not encroach on greenbelt. Greenbelt is then protected.						
1245086	LPIO-6607	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	I agree with the advantages but take exception to the phrase "predominantly using brownfield land". ALL of the development should be on brownfield sites. I would also like to comment on the statement you make under disadvantages - "Potential for over development and social stress if not controlled through good design". Surely it is up to the council to only approve developments that are well designed. Only the best building standards should be adhered to - don't employ cowboys!! It seems that the council in many ways is overly concerned about the plan being found unsound and is erring on the side of caution in too many ways - e.g. the underestimation of the reuse of empty housing and the overestimation of housing growth - we do not need 12000 new homes.						
1245286	LPIO-6646	No	No	No	No	No	No								
1246438	LPIO-6664	No	No	No	No	No	No								
1241910	LPIO-6681	No	No	No	No	No	No								
1243420	LPIO-6698	Yes							Safeguarding the Green Belt is my priority.						
1246441	LPIO-6721	No													
1246445	LPIO-6741	Yes													
1246445	LPIO-6747	Yes													
1237647	LPIO-675	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
1246447	LPIO-6769	No													
1246452	LPIO-6787	No													
1246455	LPIO-6802	Yes													
1246348	LPIO-6869	No				No		no	As the whole thing is built on a false premise the questions are irrelevant. I choose to believe 3 independent statisticians, ONS and would you believe it the council's own compendium of statistics.						
1241096	LPIO-6932	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684262	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661944				
1246482	LPIO-7027	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no							

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246456	LPIO-7068	Yes													
1246486	LPIO-7096	No													
1246488	LPIO-7130								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.						
1246495	LPIO-7148	No													
1246501	LPIO-7165	No													
1246504	LPIO-7188	Yes							I do agree with the 'Urban Intensification Option' I do not agree with the development of the greenbelt and green spaces.						
1246515	LPIO-7247	No													
1246518	LPIO-7269	No													
1246524	LPIO-7327	Yes													
1246545	LPIO-7403	Yes													
1237978	LPIO-7421	Yes													
1246549	LPIO-7440	Yes													
1246551	LPIO-7485	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	In response to Q4.10 the Local Plan should build upon the advantages of increasing urban intensification by bringing forward the particular site of Paulsfield Drive Woodland for future housing development that represents all of the advantages of urban intensification.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5679682					
1246550	LPIO-7501	No													
1240653	LPIO-7535	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no							
1241770	LPIO-7536	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no							
1240932	LPIO-7611 1 of 2	No	No	No	No	No	No	no	No, Our Client only agrees with the Council's assessment of the disadvantages of the Urban Intensification Option. We disagree with the suggested advantages as for economic growth to be achieved that will benefit all the communities across the Borough, new development needs to be spread proportionately across the urban conurbation east of the M53, urban settlements in the west and rural areas in the central belt. However, we are fundamentally concerned that this Option will create an unsound Plan as it is an over-optimistic, undeliverable and inappropriate response to Wirral's needs. The housing requirement (which the Council understates) will not be met due to the Option's dependence on a high proportion of brownfield sites which are unviable and undevelopable and will neither deliver the mix and tenure requirements nor be able to fund the infrastructure required to support them.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683689	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682697	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682701			

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	
1240932	LPIO-7611 2 of 2	No	No	No	No	No	No	no	The Council's evidence outlines a need for 3 and 4-bedroom houses (60%) and just 22% flats, however the supply is predominantly made up of sites at densities which will deliver mainly apartment type development. Many draft allocations are located in weaker market areas, resulting in significant viability and deliverability concerns, whilst other allocated sites have development constraints that may prevent them coming forward at all. The Council's SHLAA states sites can achieve 10% and 20% affordable housing (a level much lower than the identified need) and remain viable with the exception of sites in Zone 1 and some sites in Zone 2. However, the majority of the Council's identified supply, including the three main strategic sites of Wirral Waters, Woodside and Hind Street, are located in Zone 1. These areas have confirmed viability related issues and are unlikely to come forward without significant public funding. More details can be found at paragraphs 5.17 to 5.21 of our representations.							
1246581	LPIO-7643	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		I think the advantages far outweigh the disadvantages and this is the only viable option to meet the Council's vision							
1246594	LPIO-7802							no	Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.							
1246591	LPIO-7894	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes									
1240903	LPIO-7899	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.							
1246596	LPIO-8030	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	Only option							
1246605	LPIO-8142								Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment							
1246612	LPIO-8312	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		word predominantly in section 1 should changed to exclusively							
1237882	LPIO-8344	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Urban intensification should not encroach in anyway on greenbelt. There are not advantages of urban intensification to encroachment.							
1246523	LPIO-8420	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes									
1243888	LPIO-8546	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes									
1246598 Hoylake Vision	LPIO-8688	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes									
1246631	LPIO-8710	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	This will help to maintain the traditional social and economic mix within Wirral and should also preserve its character as an attractive tourist destination.							
1246544	LPIO-8779	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		"High quality urban living" requires careful design as part of the development process. Reducing the potential need for vehicle dependency is a benefit which should be promoted much more strongly, i.e. plans must not be allowed which swallow up possible parcels of urban green space for car-parking							
1245034	LPIO-8819	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes									
1244819	LPIO-8857	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no								

Person ID	ID	Question 4.10a - It meets all of our development needs within the urban area, predominantly using brownfield land.	Question 4.10a - An urban focus for new development supports the prioritisation of previously developed land, directing new development to areas of regeneration need and opportunity and need, enabling the creation of attractive new mixed-use communities.	Question 4.10a - Social, convenience, economic, and environmental benefits of living in places of higher density, supporting high quality urban living.	Question 4.10a - More homes would be built close to employment opportunities and existing transport infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Reduces the potential need for vehicle dependency, supporting active travel with benefits to the environment and climate. Supports investment in existing infrastructure.	Question 4.10a - Will safeguard Green Belt land with benefits for agricultural production, Climate Change, biodiversity, landscape and amenity.	Question 4.10b - Are there any other advantages?	Question 4.10c - Please set out any additional advantages or comments below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1238147	LPIO-9999	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								