Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246747	LPIO- 10009		areas and sites with access to good public transport; Other (please state);	Brownfield sites in already urban areas would be most suitable, as this would remove any need to develop on the greenbelt.		conservation areas; existing residential areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt; Other (please state);	Areas which are poorly served in terms of amenities and public services (schools, medical centres etc)							
1244412	LPIO- 1004	yes	regeneration areas; town, district and centres; areas and sites with access to good public transport;											
1246760	LPIO- 10081	yes	town, district and centres;		It would not be suitable on greenbelt land, parks, open spaces, and small historic villages where it would destroy the character.									
1246792	LPIO- 10100	yes	regeneration areas;			conservation areas; any land released from the Green Belt;								
1246743	LPIO- 10111	yes	regeneration areas;		Brownfield	any land released from the Green Belt; areas not well served by public transport;								
1246688	LPIO- 10129 1 of 2	yes	regeneration areas;		Against the background of a possible planning application for significant residential development being made in relation to the Noctorum Lane Sports Ground (site ref OS140) at Noctorum Lane/Noctorum Road, we support the Council in designating this as an "Open Space to be Protected". There is a need to check the unrestricted spread of large built up areas, to preserve the setting and character of this area and to assist in urban regeneration by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land. There is a need to preserve the beauty, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquility, wildlife and local character of this area. It is demonstrably special to the local community.	any land released from the Green Belt; conservation areas;								
1246688	LPIO- 10129 2 of 2	yes			We do not reside in the immediate vicinity of this site, but have lived in this area for in excess of 28 years, and have enjoyed regular walks alongside this site. It is the only reasonable walk we can do from our doorstep which takes us away from the traffic, noise, and general urban development of the wider area as a whole and allows us to enjoy some open space, tranquility, visual amenity and a more natural environment. We think it would not be in the general interests of the community to see a destruction of this valuable amenity - and we do not think that the infrastructure is adequate to support any such development.									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1240223	LPIO- 10134 1 of 2	yes	Other (please state);	It would be prudent to ensure that higher density development was directed towards locations that were well connected to facilities for public transport and other community services, as well as places to work and to meet shopping requirements.										
1240223	LPIO- 10134 2 of 2	yes		That would ordinarily be interpreted to mean defined centres, but in this instance it should be noted that there are a number of out-of- centre retail parks and parades that will in practice serve local retail needs and generate employment. These could also represent reasonable factors to which density decisions should have regard to.										
1246772	LPIO- 10256	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; regeneration areas;											
1243890	LPIO- 1080	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; regeneration areas;			conservation areas; existing residential areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;								
1247015	LPIO- 11424				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247196	LPIO- 11557	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									

Person ID	Question 2 Are there any particular sites or are where you believe higher housing densities would be most or lea appropriat	as Question 2.9a st	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247359 LPIO 11642				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247405 LPIO 11945				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247363 LPIO 11950				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247365 LPIO 11951				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247366 LPIO 11952				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									

Person ID ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247369 LPIO- 11953				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247370 LPIO- 11954				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247371 LPIO- 11955				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247372 LPIO- 11956				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247373 LPIO- 11957				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									

Person ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or area where you believe higher housing densities would be most or leas appropriate	s Question 2.9a t	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247374 LPIO- 11958				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247375 LPIO- 11959				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247376 LPIO- 11960				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247377 LPIO- 11961				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247378 LPIO- 11962				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									

Person ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247379 LPIO- 11963				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247380 LPIO- 11964				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247381 LPIO- 11965				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247382 LPIO- 11966				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247383 LPIO- 11967				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									

Person ID	Question 2.5 Are there any particular sites or area where you believe higher housing densities would be most or leas appropriate	s Question 2.9a t	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247385 LPIO- 11968				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247386 LPIO- 11969				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247387 LPIO- 11970				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247388 LPIO- 11971				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247389 LPIO- 11972				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 - Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe Duestion 2.9a higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247390	LPIO- 11973			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247391	LPIO- 11974			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247392	LPIO- 11975			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247393	LPIO- 11976			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247394	LPIO- 11977			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1241412	LPIO- 120	yes areas and sites wit access to good public transport; regeneration area		Pointless building if there is no access to facilities such as buses/trains/shops/schools/doctors/dentists etc. NHS resources are already under pressure with the number of residents as it is. Also if you want to reduce car use then you have to build near public transport links									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247364	LPIO- 12357				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247214	LPIO- 12384	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247492	LPIO- 12479	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1240843	LPIO- 12647	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247578	LPIO- 12845	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247510	LPIO- 12969	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1243700	LPIO- 1304	yes	Other (please state);	Areas that comply with my answer to 2.8	Higher densities are not appropriate on top of open spaces, parks, green belt or green spaces									
1246335	LPIO- 13091	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1246853	LPIO- 13361	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1242155	LPIO- 13447				This would be least appropriate for sites seeking to provide larger family homes (that are a critical component of housing need). It may also impact on the ability to provide larger aspirational homes that are a key component of attracting a retaining talent and wealth within the Borough to wider economic benefit.									
1242155	LPIO- 13448				This would be least appropriate for sites seeking to provide larger family homes (that are a critical component of housing need). It may also impact on the ability to provide larger aspirational homes that are a key component of attracting a retaining talent and wealth within the Borough to wider economic benefit.									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246852	LPIO- 13483	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247746	LPIO- 13637	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247228	LPIO- 13733				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1244629	LPIO- 1374	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;	Greenfield sites should not be built on.	Greenbelt land, parks and open spaces are the least appropriate for building of any density.									
1247747	LPIO- 13746				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1238192	LPIO- 13831				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247012	LPIO- 13885				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247014	LPIO- 13939				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1242183	LPIO- 13954	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247218	LPIO- 14050	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1244900	LPIO- 1407	yes	regeneration areas;											
1247219	LPIO- 14155	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247220	LPIO- 14253	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247222	LPIO- 14384	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247226	LPIO- 14472	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247245	LPIO- 14562	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1246827	LPIO- 14691	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1239377	LPIO- 1472	yes	regeneration areas;			conservation areas; any land released from the Green Belt; areas not well served by public transport;		Greenbelt land, parks and open spaces should be exempt from any sort of housing density, big or small.						
1238043	LPIO- 1484	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;			conservation areas; Other (please state);	Villages and hamlet							
1247016	LPIO- 14876				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247018	LPIO- 14945				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247864	LPIO- 15191				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									

Person ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247865 LPIO- 15208				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247866 LPIO- 15219				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247867 LPIO- 15237				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247868 LPIO- 15250				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247873 LPIO- 15266				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247869	LPIO- 15289				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247246	LPIO- 15311	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247870	LPIO- 15394				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247248	LPIO- 15411	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247871	LPIO- 15419				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247872	LPIO- 15462				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247251	LPIO- 15528	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247252	LPIO- 15620	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1242519	LPIO- 1566	Ves	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;			conservation areas; existing residential areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;		No land should be released from greenbelt .						
1247274	LPIO- 15711	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247275	LPIO- 15819	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247935	LPIO- 15920	yes			Yes, greenbelt land, parks and open spaces should not be built on.									
1244969	LPIO- 1598	no	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;		Higher densities should be priority in all urban areas well served by good transport facilities. Vehicle parking has to be a serious consideration for those areas not well served by the rail network. New designs for regeneration areas and recycled land should provide for growth if it follows.									
1244898	LPIO- 1611		areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas; Other (please state);	Areas where character and services can be maintained or improved excluding Green Belt	Increased Density would be appropriate generally where character and services can be maintained or improved excluding Green Belt, parks, play areas and incidental 'green spaces' which provide an attractive setting to housing and communities. The UK's housing Density is lower than the European average and can stand increasing. Seems all other commodities have been getting smaller and are accepted, such as chocolate bars, the thickness of cans, etc., so why not house plots. Scarce land, like all resources, should be used wisely, sparingly and sustainability but also appropriately.									
1247287	LPIO- 16186	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247344	LPIO- 16273	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247349	LPIO- 16361	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1244898	LPIO- 1638					conservation areas; any land released from the Green Belt;		No Green Belt land should be considered for release for all the reasons given in earlier sections. Areas not well served by public transport should NOT be excluded. The necessary services could and should be improved and planning approvals could and should be conditionally linked to legal agreements (Section 106 agreements) requiring establishment and continuation of transport links prior to completion of developments. Higher Densities should be linked to maintenance of distinctive character of individual areas/communities. Also see earlier comments.						
1247353	LPIO- 16448	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247354	LPIO- 16537	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247434	LPIO- 16634	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247436	LPIO- 16746	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247437	LPIO- 16855	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247439	LPIO- 16856	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247441	LPIO- 17048	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247960	LPIO- 17169	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247962	LPIO- 17256	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - if you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247965	LPIO- 17335				Residential Density. Whilst it is possible to increase residential densities, and also achieve good design, the recent Place Alliance/CPRE audit on housing design (January 2020) has found that over 75% of the schemes assessed were of poor or mediocre design quality, where 1 in 5 schemes should have been refused planning permission. The report also concluded that local communities in these areas feel that the developments constitute overdevelopment, and have led to a loss of local character. Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the NF site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high- density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247966	LPIO- 17361	yes C	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247967	LPIO- 17420				Residential Density. Whilst it is possible to increase residential densities, and also achieve good design, the recent Place Alliance/CPRE audit on housing design (January 2020) has found that over 75% of the schemes assessed were of poor or mediocre design quality, where 1 in 5 schemes should have been refused planning permission. The report also concluded that local communities in these areas feel that the developments constitute over development, and have led to a loss of local character. Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the NF site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1247971	LPIO- 17463	yes C	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1241726	LPIO- 17556	yes C	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247979	LPIO- 17660	yes C	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247980	LPIO- 17661	yes C	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245502	LPIO- 17848	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1245069	LPIO- 1793	yes	regeneration areas; Other (please state);		If the Heswall, Barnston, Irby areas are developed on green belt, this will result in all major roads being populated with housing and Wirral would loose it's appeal. In effect Wirral would be all one area, that of a over populated urban development, with no obvious green areas. The appeal of these areas would significantly reduce and this would lead to an exodus of residents which would have major impact on the local economy and businesses as whole. Again why the desire to build on green belt when no actual real need exists?									
1247541	LPIO- 17950	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247539	LPIO- 18052	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1237857	LPIO- 18151	yes			Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces.									
1247996	LPIO- 18209	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247021	LPIO- 18426				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247022	LPIO- 18480				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247023	LPIO- 18535				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247024	LPIO- 18590				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1241669	LPIO-	yes												
1247025	LPIO- 18704				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247038	LPIO- 18705				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247039	LPIO- 18817				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247040	LPIO- 18818				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247041	LPIO- 18881				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247042	LPIO- 18948				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									

Person ID	D	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247060	lpio- 19060				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247061	LPIO- 19061				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247063	LPIO- 19121				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1238379	LPIO- 1915	yes	town, district and centres; regeneration areas;		Higher density would be more appropriate in the urban regeneration area as this would mean that people would have less distance to travel to work, socialise etc. Higher density is NOT appropriate on Green belt and open spaces which should not be used for development.	conservation areas; existing residential areas; any land released from the Green Belt; areas no well served by public transport; Other (please state);								
1247064	LPIO- 19175				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247068	LPIO- 19229				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247071	LPIO- 19286				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247072	lpio- 19344				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247078	lpio- 19400				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247080	LPIO- 19519				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247081	LPIO- 19520				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247082	LPIO- 19667				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247083	LPIO- 19721				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247084	LPIO- 19785				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247085	LPIO- 19842				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247088	LPIO- 19906				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247089	LPIO- 19967				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247090	LPIO- 20022				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247091	LPIO- 20077				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									

		Question 2.9 · Are there												
Person ID	ID	any particular sites or areas where you	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
	LPIO- 20137				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
	LPIO- 20200				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
12/700/	LPIO- 20256				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
	LPIO- 20310				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
12/7006	LPIO- 20366				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
	LPIO- 20422				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
	LPIO- 20476				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
	LPIO- 20497				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
	LPIO- 20498				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
	LPIO- 2057	yes			GREENBELT LAND SHOULD NOT BE USED FOR INCREASING HOUSING DENSITY.									
	LPIO- 20685				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
12/7105	LPIO- 20686				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
	LPIO- 20746				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
	LPIO- 2076	yes			I think green belt should be preserved and focus should be on developing strong urban community regeneration with access to places of work such as Liverpool and good public transport links. This is in line with the stated aim of the Government policy as well as your consultation document (para 1.7 'Cleaner greener borough')									
	LPIO- 2081	yes	town, district and centres; regeneration areas; areas and sites with access to good public transport;		It would be most irresponsible and in comprehensible to build on any of Wirral's green belt land, parks, farmland or in fact any green open spaces which exist to provide foodstuffs and/or environmental protection for the citizens of the borough.									
	LPIO- 20847				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
	LPIO- 20848				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
12/7112	LPIO- 20966				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									

Person ID	ID LPIO-	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1238036	2101	no												
1247113	LPIO- 21020				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247115	LPIO- 21076				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247116	LPIO- 21130				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1246851	LPIO- 21143	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1246924	LPIO- 21239	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1246918	LPIO- 21240	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1246928	LPIO- 21241	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1245112	LPIO- 2130		areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;			conservation areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;								
1246920	LPIO- 21499	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1246926	LPIO- 21500	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1247117	LPIO- 21757				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247118	LPIO- 21758				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247145	LPIO- 21865				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247147	LPIO- 21866				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247148	LPIO- 21976				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247150	LPIO- 21977				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1244329	LPIO- 22044				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247119	LPIO- 22146				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1246678	LPIO- 22147				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247151	LPIO- 22254				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247152	LPIO- 22255				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247153	LPIO- 22368				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247155	LPIO- 22369				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247156	LPIO- 22483				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247158	LPIO- 22484				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247159	LPIO- 22514				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247160	LPIO- 22515				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247161	LPIO- 22730				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247164	LPIO- 22732				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247167	LPIO- 22856				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247168	LPIO- 22857				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247169	LPIO- 22884				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247170	LPIO- 22885				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247173	LPIO- 23121				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247174	LPIO- 23122				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247175	LPIO- 23238				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247176	LPIO- 23239				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									

								1	Ĩ			Ĩ	
Person ID ID	Question 2.: Are there any particular sites or area where you believe higher housing densities would be most or leas appropriate	s Question 2.9a t	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247177 LPIO 2340				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247178 LPIO 2340				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1247179 LPIO 2340				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.									
1244826 LPIO 2380		town, district and centres; regeneration areas;		We should not allow building on our precious greenbelt areas and open spaces that need to be preserved for our well being and to manage climate change.									
1242185 LPIO 2388				The only location where high density is less appropriate is in Caldy, where the landscape character is defined by the low density development. To retain local character, Caldy should have a policy aimed at the prevention of 'garden grabbing' developments, in line with NPPF Paragraph 122 "Planning policies and decisions should support development that makes efficient use of land, taking into account: d) the desirability of maintaining an area's prevailing character and setting (including residential gardens), or of promoting regeneration and change."				https://wirral_ consult.objective .co.uk/file/56591 21	<u>https://wirral-</u> consult.objective .co.uk/file/56842 <u>63</u>	<u>https://wirral-</u> consult.objective .co.uk/file/			
LPIO 1248487 2406 1 of 2	7			We would support the removal of the requirement set out in Zone 1 in Unitary Development Plan Policy HS5 which requires all new residential developments to secure a maximum of 10dph. Whilst we acknowledge that a lower density of development in this location is appropriate given the character of the surrounding residential development, this needs to be balanced against the requirements of national policy. National guidance is clear that planning policies should support the efficient use of land in sustainable locations to meet the identified need for housing. In this regard, we recommend that a maximum density of 15dph is pursued for new development in Zone 1.				https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56563 30	https://wirral- consult.objective co.uk/file/56563 29				
LPIO 1248487 2406 2 of ;	7			This is on the basis that: The requirement for new development to achieve a density of 15 dph will not impact on the character of the surrounding residential development, A density of this scale would still result in the delivery of family homes on generous plot sizes which would address the need for four and five bedroom homes within the borough, without compromising the character and setting of the surrounding residential development; It is a sustainable location for growth, both in terms of its proximity to existing services, social infrastructure and facilities, together with its accessibility by means of public transport; and, The delivery of a higher density in this location supports the Council's objective to intensify development within the Urban Conurbation as a means of meeting the identified need.									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe Duestion 2.9a higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248542	LPIO- 24350			Within the masterplan area in Birkenhead town centre there are individual sites that have been identified as being appropriate for higher density residential development because it is appropriate in design terms for prominent gateway town centre sites such as those fronting Europa Boulevard and given their location close to transport nodes and local facilities (SHLAA 0424, 0956, 0957). An initial list of sites that could potentially accommodate development with a density of 60 homes per hectare or higher includes, but is not limited to: sites within Birkenhead town centre; Land at Pasture Road, Moreton (SHLAA 2007, 2008, 2010); Civic Way, Bebington (SHLAA 1610); Woodside Regeneration Area (SHLAA 0752); Wallasey Town Hall North (SHLAA 2022) and South Annexes (SHLAA 2023), assuming that Council services relocate); and Former Foxfield School, Moreton (SHLAA 1827).				https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 94					
1248557 (Environ ment Agency)	LPIO- 24426			See answer to Question 2.8. Questions 2.8 and 2.9 (page 28) contains the same question 'Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe that this would be most or least appropriate? Please give your reasons'.									
1248626	LPIO- 24629 1 of 2			We have identified a site which is surplus to operational requirements at Quarry Road East and St Andrews Road where such an approach is considered to be appropriate. An Illustrative Masterplan (see attached document) has been produced to determine the optimum residential capacity for this site, which aims to demonstrate good design practice and create an appropriately mixed development where higher density blocks help to mitigate impacts from the neighbouring uses and lower density reflects the existing residential character. The mix of density reflects the existing residential character. The mix of density aims to avoid a tiered approach as development moves from north to south, and so helps to create areas of interest through variation in scale and mass. To the north of the site, the surrounding character typically demonstrates semi-detached residential dwellings with sizable gardens and driveways.				https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56562 89					
1248626	LPIO- 24629 2 of 2			To maintain the street character of Quarry Road East and St Andrews Road lower density housing with both detached and semi-detached dwellings is suggested along the north western boundaries. As development moves further into the site, medium density town houses and terracing would be appropriate to frame the internal street network and areas of public open space helping to define the different areas within the site. This would also allow for the gradual step up to higher density towards commercial uses. To the southern boundary, as the surrounding nature and character of the existing uses moves from residential to commercial and the landform changes, it suggests that higher density development is best suited in the area. The proposal of higher density apartment blocks would allow for appropriate visual and noise mitigation from these existing commercial uses whilst again creating a different character and sense of place to that along the northern boundary.									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1242697	LPIO- 24647				Densities should only be increased in areas where high density already exists. This ensures that the areas retain their character. There are too many unsightly blocks of flats in areas such as Hoylake, and near Greasby. The attached documents argue that the housing mix should increase the number of bungalows and flats but along the following NPPF lines. NPPF para 123 supports the plan's proposed increase in densities though there is a constraint at para 122 (d) 'the desirability of maintaining an area's prevailing character and setting (including residential gardens), or of promoting regeneration and change'. Increased densities would fit in with the higher densities in the urban areas around the settlement areas 2-4). Settlement areas 5 -8 tend to be of a character with low density housing and para 122 (d) would apply. Even well-designed house-like properties (partitioned into apartments) are still out of character with high quality residential areas.				https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56591 18	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56591 19	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56591 20	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56591 21		
1237647	LPIO- 248	yes	regeneration areas; town, district and centres;		High building density needs to be accompanied by imaginative, high-quality design. This is particularly important in run-down areas, such as large parts of Birkenhead and Tranmere.	conservation areas; existing residential areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;		Settlement areas 5-8 include some real "jewels" and it is important not to spoil the character of these areas. The centre of West Kirby would benefit from sympathetic, high-quality redevelopment of the (abandoned) Fire Station area and the ugly and inefficiently utilised Concourse building.						
1248749	LPIO- 24812				Consideration needs to be given to viability and marketability and the impact on areas which do not currently have the facilities and amenities to accommodate significant influxes of people. High density developments in areas of limited resources has the potential to lead to further social deprivation and a greater gap between 'east and west Wirral'.				<u>https://wirral-</u> consult.objective . <u>co.uk/file/56848</u> <u>47</u>	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 48	<u>https://wirral-</u> consult.objective . <u>co.uk/file/56848</u> <u>45</u>			
1248769	LPIO- 24934				Consideration needs to be given to viability and marketability and the impact on areas which do not currently have the facilities and amenities to accommodate significant influxes of people. High density developments in areas of limited resources has the potential to lead to further social deprivation and a greater gap between 'east and west Wirral'.				https://wirral- consult.objective . <u>co.uk/file/56590</u> <u>45</u>	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56849 57	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56590 39	https://wirral- consult.objective . <u>co.uk/file/56590</u> <u>38</u>	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56849 56	
1238156	LPIO- 25	no	areas and sites with access to good public transport;		Note (and this is VERY IMPORTANT): current provision of public transport seems to be at the will and vagaries of a couple of dominant private sector companies. To guarantee that high density housing is well served by good public transport, requires proper control of that infrastructure and service delivery. Within the last year or so, one local bus company folded overnight. A particular concern - regular voiced in the local press - is the constant erosion of bus services to and from Arrowe Park Hospital, for example.	areas not well served by public transport;								

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?		Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248823	LPIO- 25037				Consideration needs to be given to viability and marketability and the impact on areas which do not currently have the facilities and amenities to accommodate significant influxes of people. High density developments in areas of limited resources has the potential to lead to further social deprivation and a greater gap between 'east and west Wirral'.				https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56743 17	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 65	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 49			
1248832	LPIO- 25141				Consideration needs to be given to viability and marketability and the impact on areas which do not currently have the facilities and amenities to accommodate significant influxes of people. High density developments in areas of limited resources has the potential to lead to further social deprivation and a greater gap between 'east and west Wirral'.				https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848 57	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56595 62				
1248833	LPIO- 25251				Consideration needs to be given to viability and marketability and the impact on areas which do not currently have the facilities and amenities to accommodate significant influxes of people. High density developments in areas of limited resources has the potential to lead to further social deprivation and a greater gap between 'east and west Wirral'.				https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56611 25	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56611 00	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56611 24	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56611 29		
1248986	LPIO- 25447				Consideration needs to be given to viability and marketability and the impact on areas which do not currently have the facilities and amenities to accommodate significant influxes of people. High density developments in areas of limited resources has the potential to lead to further social deprivation and a greater gap between 'east and west Wirral'.				https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56627 23	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56627 25	<u>https://wirral-</u> consult.objective .co.uk/file/56627 70			
1243721	LPIO- 2553		areas and sites with access to good public transport; regeneration areas;		New Housing should NOT be placed on greenfield sites. We believe there is sufficient opportunity without this.									
1244896	LPIO- 2560	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas; Other (please state);	Areas where character and services can be maintained or improved excluding Green Belt and incidental green spaces	Increased Density would be appropriate generally where character and services can be maintained or improved excluding Green Belt, parks, play areas and incidental 'green spaces' which provide an attractive setting to housing and communities. The UK's housing Density is lower than the European average and can stand increasing. Seems all other commodities have been getting smaller and are accepted, such as chocolate bars, the thickness of cans, etc., so why not house plots. Scarce land, like all resources, should be used wisely, sparingly and sustainably but also appropriately.	conservation areas; any land released from the Green Belt;		No Green Belt land should be considered for release for all the reasons given in earlier sections. Areas not well served by public transport should NOT be excluded. The necessary services could and should be improved and planning approvals could and should be conditionally linked to legal agreements (Section 106 agreements) requiring establishment and continuation of transport links prior to completion of developments. Higher Densities should be linked to maintenance of distinctive character of individual areas/communities. Also see earlier comments.						
1246458	LPIO- 25689	yes	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									

Person ID	Question 2.9- Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe C higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246459	LPIO- 25690 yes C	Other (please state);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1249638	LPIO- 26270 1 of 2			Paragraph 50 of the NPPF directs Local Authorities to 'plan for a mix of housing based on demographic trends, identify the size, type, tenure and range of housing required and to set policies onsure this need is met'. We are firmly of the view that this should be the starting point and the approach taken must be informed by the available evidence, which in this case is the latest available Strategic Housing Market Assessment. The application of minimum housing densities is quite clearly being driven by a quantitative approach to achieve the minimum housing requirement within the existing urban areas. It completely ignores the qualitative housing needs of the borough (as evidenced), the ability or desire of the market to deliver housing at these densities, the importance of placemaking and viability considerations.				https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56757 35	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56850 61	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/5685(<u>64</u>	https://wirral- consult.objectiv/ co.uk/file/56851 62	https://wirral- consult.objective)_co.uk/file/56850 66	https://wirral- e_consult.objective 2co.uk/file/56850 65
1249638	LPIO- 26270 2 of 2			We do not believe that this broad-brush prescriptive approach is appropriate for Wirral and that ultimately densities must be considered on a site-by-site basis through the determination of planning applications, preceded by effective pre-application engagement. The minimum densities concept has been taken from examples in London and the South East, which are mature housing markets where the demand for housing far outstrips the availability of land. The availability and quality of public transport networks capable off absorbing high density developments in London and the South East is another factor that simply does not exist at the same scale in Wirral.				https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56850 63					
1249638	LPIO- 26302 1 of 2			Paragraph 50 of the NPPF directs Local Authorities to 'plan for a mix of housing based on demographic trends, identify the size, type, tenure and range of housing required and to set policies to ensure this need is met'. We are firmly of the view that this should be the starting point and the approach taken must be informed by the available evidence, which in this case is the latest available Strategic Housing Market Assessment. The application of minimum housing densities is quite clearly being driven by a quantitative approach to achieve the minimum housing requirement within the existing urban areas. It completely ignores the qualitative housing needs of the borough (as evidenced), the ability or desire of the market to deliver housing at these densities, the importance of placemaking and viability considerations.				https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56/57 35	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56850 61	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/5685(64	https://wirral- consult.objectiv/ co.uk/file/56851 62	https://wirral- consult.objective <u>co.uk/file/5685(</u> <u>66</u>	https://wirral- e_consult.objective 2_co.uk/file/56850 55

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 - Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	uestion 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1249638	LPIO- 26302 2 of 2				We do not believe that this broad-brush prescriptive approach is appropriate for Wirral and that ultimately densities must be considered on a site-by-site basis through the determination of planning applications, preceded by effective pre-application engagement. The minimum densities concept has been taken from examples in London and the South East, which are mature housing markets where the demand for housing far outstrips the availability of land. The availability and quality of public transport networks capable off absorbing high density developments in London and the South East is another factor that simply does not exist at the same scale in Wirral.				https://wirral_ consult.objective .co.uk/file/56850 63					
1249743	LPIO- 26357								https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56848					
1249219	LPIO- 26452				We are totally against any increase in densities in the Green Belt on / or adjacent to green spaces.				https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56775	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56775				
1245180	LPIO- 2652	yes Ot		on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces										
1240932	LPIO- 26588	yes			Yes, we recommend that the emerging Local Plan needs to acknowledge that densities will change across Wirral and will be led by the principles of good design, with density being appropriate to the location of the site, to the surrounding character of the area and to the need for all development to be of a high quality.				https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56836 89	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56826 97	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56827 01			
1237944	LPIO- 267	yes pu tov	eas and sites with cess to good ublic transport; wn, district and ntres;		Historic Heritage areas, like Hamilton Square and Birkenhead Park, should NOT have high rise development, and there should robust Policy to prevent such proposals. These are very rare National Treasures. Already so many have been sacrificed by Wirral Council's Planning officers for short-term, speculative development. Wirral Council's promised dreams of wealth have not materialized by such policies and led to the continuing demise of Birkenhead and it's falling status. Liverpool has very successfully regenerated such areas by designating them The Georgian Quarter. Repeating this method in Birkenhead would be just as successful and benefit the whole of the Wirral in the long term.	conservation areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;		The Wirral has some of finest historical buildings and areas (e.g. Hamilton Sq; Birkenhead Priory; Birkenhead Park;), but all appear at risk of current Wirral Planning Policy of support for short-term, speculative, low architectural merit, development. For example; Historic Woodside - replacing the Victorian pier with a utilitarian structure & approving many monotone single- storey industrial units, with no regard to the unique Georgian & Italianate buildings visibly remaining in area. A robust, detailed, Heritage policy to prevent continuing abuse is required.						
1248223	LPIO- 26700				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248224	LPIO- 26701			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248226	LPIO- 26726			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248225	LPIO- 26727			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248228	LPIO- 26752			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248229	LPIO- 26753			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248230	LPIO- 26778			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248231	LPIO- 26779			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1250033	LPIO- 26814			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1250035	LPIO- 26848			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1250037	LPIO- 26849			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe Question 2.9a higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248214	LPIO- 26881			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248215	LPIO- 26882			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1250040	LPIO- 26908			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1245073	LPIO- 2693			It would be LEAST appropriate on greenbelt land, parks, open spaces, and small historic villages where it would destroy the character.									
1250041	LPIO- 26955			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248219	LPIO- 26989			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									

Person ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248221 LPIO- 26990				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248201 LPIO- 27015				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248202 LPIO- 27016				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1250043 LPIO- 27038				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248203 LPIO- 27055				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248204	LPIO- 27056				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248206	LPIO- 27081				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248205	LPIO- 27082				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1250044	LPIO- 27099				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248210	LPIO- 27122				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1237899	LPIO- 27126			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248212	LPIO- 27128			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248208	LPIO- 27151			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248176	LPIO- 27171			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248178	LPIO- 27188			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1250049	LPIO- 27189				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1250048	LPIO- 27192				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248180	LPIO- 27216				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248181	LPIO- 27234				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1248213	LPIO- 27251				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									

Person ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1250054 LPIO- 27255				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1250053 LPIO- 27280				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1250055 LPIO- 27282				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1250059 LPIO- 27284				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									
1250058 LPIO- 27318				Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe Question 2.9a higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6	
1250057	LPIO- 27319			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.										
1250062	LPIO- 27331			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.										
1250063	LPIO- 27355			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.										
1250065	LPIO- 27368			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.										
1250067	LPIO- 27381			Any proposals for Noctorum, or the other areas within the settlement hierarchy, need to start with an appraisal of the underlying character, rather than a presumption that higher density housing is the main goal. Housing development at the Noctorum Field (OS140) site is inappropriate due to the designations on the site, and high density proposals for the site would be doubly inappropriate, given the existing local character density of c. 1 dwelling per half an acre.										
1241891	LPIO- 279	yes												
1245159	LPIO- 2958	no												
		Question 2.9												
--------------	---------------	---	---	--	--	---	--	--	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------
Person ID	ID	Are there any particular sites or areas where you	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245287	LPIO- 3007	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;		The design of the higher density housing sites should be based on the principles of low traffic neighbourhoods, which are well connected with safe sheltered walking routes to transport and facilities, so that walking is the default means of movement for the majority of residents. These high density neighbourhoods should not be close to traffic arteries because of the problems of atmospheric pollution.	any land released from the Green Belt; areas not well served by public transport; conservation areas;		Much depends on the character of the places to be developed, so there will be existing residential areas that would benefit from added development.						
1241315	LPIO- 3026		areas and sites with access to good public transport;			any land released from the Green Belt;		Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces.						
1245289	LPIO- 3039	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; regeneration areas; town, district and centres;			conservation areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt; existing residential areas;								
1237904	LPIO- 3155	yes	Other (please state);		Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1245311	LPIO- 3202	yes	regeneration areas;											
1245158	LPIO- 3221	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;		l oppose any increase in density in the green belt or near to green spaces									
1238549	LPIO- 338		areas and sites with access to good public transport; regeneration areas; Other (please state);		The area of Sandy Lane is unsuitable for development and currently marks the border of Irby. Development would change the environment and nature of the location.									
1237823	3396		areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; Other (please state); regeneration areas;		Higher density development may be appropriate in any of the above circumstances, and justified on a site by basis. Prescribing minimum densities may be appropriate in circumstances where a Local Planning Authority has prepared a site brief, and takes in to consideration site constraints and opportunities for development.									
1240653	LPIO- 3440	no												
1241770	LPIO- 3445	no												

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245457	LPIO- 3595		areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;		You cannot answer yes or no when the question asks for most and least appropriateness. So yes, develop rundown former industrial sites, but keep the green belt for folks to enjoy as they do now.	5								
1245443	LPIO- 3679	yes	town, district and centres;		The areas that are left to fall into decay should be rebuilt and regenerated for young families with cheaper housing.	conservation areas; existing residential areas; any land released from the Green Belt;		The areas I have chosen are of importance to the Wirral they bring in lots of tourism and make the Wirral special.						
1245288	LPIO- 3698	yes	town, district and centres; regeneration areas;											
1237827	LPIO- 3769	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;											
1245496	LPIO- 3859	yes			No building on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces for housing retails units.	conservation areas; existing residential areas; any land released from the Green Belt;								
1242359	LPIO- 392				Urban conurbations often have low density development adjacent to the green belt. They are like a transition area and should be maintained at the existing density.	any land released from the Green Belt; existing residential areas; conservation areas;								
1245500	LPIO- 3941	yes	town, district and centres; regeneration areas; areas and sites with access to good public transport;			any land released from the Green Belt; conservation areas; areas not well served by public transport;								
1245501	LPIO- 3986	yes	regeneration areas; Other (please state);		Brownfield, waterfront sites most appropriate.	conservation areas; existing residential areas; any land released from the Green Belt; Other (please state);		Green areas, agricultural land, small towns, villages, parks and other natural open spaces Not appropriate.						

Person ID		Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1240939	lpio- 4101		town, district and centres; areas and sites with access to good public transport;											
1245638	LPIO- 4205	yes	regeneration areas;		No building on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces.	conservation areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;								
1241868	LPIO- 4210	yes	regeneration areas;		Increased densities would not be appropriate on Green Belt land, parks and open spaces.	any land released from the Green Belt;								
1237724	LPIO- 4334 1 of 2		town, district and centres; areas and sites with access to good public transport; regeneration areas; Other (please state);	There are many green spaces which well may be greenbelt but are maintained as purely grass and are adjacent to main roads, throughout Wirral. I can only conjecture that these have not been selected for possible development because the land owners have not come forward.	Building on greenbelt, existing green spaces, parks would be really damaging to the charm and enjoyment of Wirral by its residents. It is not needed and given the greenbelt locations being proposed the cost of new infrastructure and transport routes would be ruinous and enormously disruptive. Please think again!	conservation areas; areas not well served by public transport;		High density buildings should be near to good public transport, shops and services. They should have some important ameliorating characteristics such as good views, adjacent green spaces, sports facilities and ease of access to central services.						
1237724	LPIO- 4334 2 of 2	yes		I believe that idf the Council were to take a more proactive approach and contact these landowners directly with specific propositions that these lands could well be made available, Perhaps just a matter of engaging and negotiating?										
1244720	LPIO- 4568		areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;			conservation areas; any land released from the Green Belt;								

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245607	LPIO- 4607 1 of 2	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;		My priorities in relation to housing allocation in the Local Plan are to :- Protect and retain existing greenspace and ecological assets Encourage development of existing derelict brownfield sites in Birkenhead and throughout the Mersey hinterland, improving the built environment and reducing the apparent feeling of desolation in some of these areas. Develop any residential sites outside of town centres close to sustainable infrastructure corridors and places of work, thus reducing carbon footprint and assisting with Wirral's Climate Emergency agenda.									
1245607	LPIO- 4607 2 of 2	yes			Correlate location of development with existing electric rail lines which offer the most sustainable motorised transport option for mid to long distances. Having reviewed the Consultation Summary Document, and some of the more detailed supporting information, my view is that Option 1 (Urban Intensification) represents the best solution and is likely to meet my priorities as set out above. I appreciate that abnormal costs relating to development are likely to be higher for brownfield sites than for greenbelt sites, however the opportunity for new links to existing sustainable transport close to city centre is immense, and enhancements can usually be provided at a lower overall cost than for suburban development due to the shorter distances involved. It should also be recognised urban sites are often closer to places of employment and location of housing near to work reduces employee costs and reduces carbon footprint for travel.									
1242528	LPIO- 467				only build on brown field sites but only number of houses actually need not those told to build by westminster									
1237696	LPIO- 4672	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres;		Rose Brae, by being river frontage, would need to be carefully planned without tall buildings and avoidance of slums of the future.	areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;								
1237873	LPIO- 4814	yes			Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces.									
1245794	LPIO- 4895	yes	town, district and centres; regeneration areas;		Any increase in densities in Green Belt, or adjacent to Green Belt, should be the last resort, after all other sites have been exhausted. There is no need for WBC to consider Green Belt sites at this time.									
1245713	LPIO- 4984	yes	regeneration areas; town, district and centres;		This would be least appropriate on Greenbelt land, open spaces and parks to enable people to have areas to carry out their leisure activities and keep Wirral green.									
1241065	LPIO- 499	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; regeneration areas;		Any new high density areas should have no parking allocation for fossil fuelled vehicles and be in easy reach (half a mile) of public transport	conservation areas; existing residential areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;								

Person ID	Question 2 Are there any particular sites or are where you believe higher housing densities would be most or lea appropriat	as Question 2.9a st	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245816 LPIC 5015	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; regeneration areas;		Yes, Green Belt land! The Council should be fully committed to protecting it, not half-hearted in it's approach.									
1237923 LPIC 504				green belt land parks and open spaces are all least appropriate									
1245496 LPIC 5190		town, district and centres; regeneration areas; areas and sites with access to good public transport;			conservation areas; existing residential areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;		No development should take place on any areas of Greenbelt Land.						
1238246 LPIC		areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;		Greater density may be suitable near the waterfront in Birkenhead/Wallasey or nearer the urban centres, but would be utterly inappropriate in smaller developments such as Greasby, Thingwall etc.	conservation areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;		Greater density may be suitable near the waterfront in Birkenhead/Wallasey or nearer the urban centres, but would be utterly inappropriate in smaller developments such as Greasby, Thingwall etc.						
1239571 LPIC 524		regeneration areas; areas and sites with access to good public transport;		See the answer to 2.8, where I make a comment on development in Lower Heswall which is probably applicable to other similar settlement areas. I have not ticked the 'town, district and centres' box above because I do not understand what is meant by 'district'. I would support higher densities in major conurbation areas.									
1242372 LPIC 530		areas and sites with access to good public transport;		Irby village - Whilst Irby has a local bus service through to Liverpool it has no train links the nearest being Heswall Hills approx 4 miles away and this is not a direct route either. It is well known that people prefer to use own vehicles and are not easily encouraged to use public transport. Currently both Thingwall and Irby Road are extremely busy and queues develop quickly in the village at the main T junction.									
1246006 LPIC 531:		areas and sites with access to good public transport;		YES. I support the redevelopment of brownfield land as a means of satisfying all development requirements, with particular focus on Birkenhead and Wirral Waters. All large development should provide for a good social mix, include plans to promote proper communities, such as local shops, community space, green spaces including allotments and respect existing wildlife-rich brownfield land. Provision of housing to include conversion of existing buildings to homes and refurbishment of empty buildings. Priority given to the maintenance and improvement of existing properties where they can be improved in line with the local energy plan and to high levels of energy and water efficiency.	areas not well served by public transport;								
1240383 LPIC 540				Detrimental development which compromises Green spaces within Urban areas									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1241133	LPIO- 55	yes	regeneration areas;		We oppose any plans to new build any houses, flats etc at the top of Church St / Liscard Rd junction on a tiny piece of green belt land It is highly residential and has a lot of elderly residents adjacent.	conservation areas; existing residential areas; any land released from the Green Belt;								
1246041	LPIO- 5539	yes				conservation areas; existing residential areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;								
1246159	LPIO- 5586	yes	town, district and centres; regeneration areas; areas and sites with access to good public transport;			conservation areas; existing residential areas; areas not well served by public transport;								
1245984	LPIO- 5668				Green spaces within the urban area need to be protected to maintain the health and wellbeing of its inhabitants. They should not be sacrificed to increase density rather buildings should be built upwards rather than outwards and attention paid, as previously mentioned, to schemes such as co-housing and subdivision of large properties. Also there could be compulsory repurchase of homes left empty for too long.									
1245767	LPIO- 5819	yes	Other (please state);	It should apply to existing urban areas provided that it is sympathetic to/respectful of its surroundings and existing neighbouring development.	It should apply to existing urban areas provided that it is sympathetic to/respectful of its surroundings and existing neighbouring development.									
1242751	LPIO-	yes			Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces.									
1246303	LPIO- 5866	yes	regeneration areas;		Greenbelt development is unacceptable. This is especially the case for the creation of a large new conurbation that would not add a 'few' houses to support an urban regeneration solution that is the council's preferred option.									
1246310	LPIO- 5897	yes				conservation areas; any land released from the Green Belt;		Greenbelt land, parks and open spaces.						
1246339	LPIO- 6083	yes				any land released from the Green Belt;								

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?		Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1240964	LPIO- 6107	yes	Other (please state below); areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;	The slopes of the wirral hills	The slopes of the Wirral's various hills could accommodate higher density dwellings that don't impact on the skyline. Birkenhead's main hill, facing down to the Mersey has a high density of accommodation, yet is topographically akin to any of the other hills, like Caldy, Heswall, West Kirby, Bidston. More mixed value residencies should be encouraged in these areas and should be approved if sympathetic to the skyline.									
1238310	LPIO- 6114	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;			conservation areas; existing residential areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;								
1246348	LPIO- 6209	yes	regeneration areas;		High density dwellings should have plenty of amenity space for the residents, not like the flats being built in Heswall.	conservation areas; existing residential areas; areas not well aserved by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;		Not on greenbelt, farmland, parks or open spaces. These places are indispensable to Wirral and the residents of Wirral. Now this coronavirus, of which we shall have more in the future, has really demonstrated how essential these places are to the physical, mental and emotional well being of people. Flats should not be built in the middle of residential houses - obviously High density dwellings should have plenty of amenity space for the residents, not like the flats being built in Heswall.						
1245086	LPIO- 6262	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;		The new higher density housing should not be built on green belt land.									
1246402	LPIO- 6388	yes	regeneration areas;			conservation areas; any land released from the Green Belt; Other (please state);		Some areas may not be covered by conservation status or greenbelt but add to a certain special visual character of a town or village loved by all. Therefore these areas should not be encroached on. special						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246425	LPIO- 6533	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; Other (please state below);		Consistent with national policy, it would be most appropriate to make efficient use of land for different types of housing in the area to the west of the M53 ('the Urban Conurbation') taking account of the availability of land suitable for accommodating it; local market conditions and viability; the availability and capacity of infrastructure and services; the desirability of maintaining an area's prevailing character and setting or of promoting regeneration and change and the importance of securing well designed, attractive and healthy places (122, Framework). In our view, the Urban Conurbation contains a range of sites suitable for different types of housing with different local market conditions and viability. For example, Birkenhead contains sites that are suitable for promoting regeneration and a type of housing that is viable in that part of the Urban Conurbation. Eastham contains sites (including SP050 West of Rivacre Road (parcel 4.14)) that are suitable for meeting different types of housing need at appropriate densities for different parts of the Urban Conurbation.				https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56695 50					
1246401	LPIO- 6862	yes	town, district and centres; regeneration areas;		All green belt land should be exempt. Open spaces, community land and parks should also be exempt.	conservation areas; existing residential areas; any land released from the Green Belt;		Green Belt should continue to receive protection as no compelling justification is being offered to challenge the core principles stating any development in the Green Belt is considered inappropriate development. Conservation Areas have unique topography and settings. Modern development has the ability to spoil the visual amenity. Existing areas of West Wirral including Pensby and Irby are already under strain with parking at a premium.						
1246482	LPIO- 7008	yes	regeneration areas;			Other (please state below); any land released from the Green Belt; areas not well served by public transport; conservation areas;								
1246488	LPIO- 7102	yes	Other (please state below);	greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									
1239029	LPIO- 728	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; regeneration areas;											

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246551	LPIO- 7471 1 of 2	yes	Other (please state);	Paulsfield Drive Woodland	NPPF places a strong emphasis on achieving appropriate densities and making efficient use of land and affordable housing forms a key component of the development opportunity for the site to meet the development needs of the Borough. NPPF (paras 122 and 123) places a strong emphasis on achieving appropriate densities and making efficient use of land and ensuring the optimal use if made of available land is particularly relevant when there is an existing or anticipated shortage of land for meeting identified housing needs. While the National Design Guidance provides guidance on how density should respond to its context; the Wirral Housing Density Study will identify the most appropriate broad locations for increasing density, by focusing higher density development around locations where sustainable travel, such as walking, cycling and public transport can most easily be supported.				https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56796 69					
1246551	LPIO- 7471 2 of 2	yes			This approach will ensure that development density is maximised in all settlements in Wirral through appropriately worded policy and that sites coming forward through the planning application process are therefore required to fully address its requirements to ensure the best and most effective use of suitable urban land to which the proposal site represents a significant opportunity to increase residential density through the delivery of affordable housing toward the deliverability of the local plan and its soundness. In response to Q2.9 the particular site submitted as part of this Local Plan Representation that sits north of the Upton- By-Pass at Pauls field Drive Woodland is a particular site that could achieve a high density residential development for 100% affordable housing provision, either via dwellings or apartments, that would be located within the existing urban area and is thereby serviced by excellent transport links and amenities. The deliverability appert of the particular site would constitute a significant opportunity for achieving soundness of the new local plan by approaching the re-allocation to a site for housing.									
1246581	LPIO- 7588	no	regeneration areas; town, district and centres;			any land released from the Green Belt;								

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1240932	LPIO- 7593	yes	Other (please state);	Higher densities are only suitable if the development adopts the principles of good design, is of high quality, viable and deliverable at a density appropriate to the location of the site and to the surrounding character of the area, regardless of location.	Yes, given our response to Q2.8, Our Client recommends that the emerging Local Plan needs to acknowledge that densities will change across Wirral and will be led by the principles of good design, with density being appropriate to the location of the site, to the surrounding character of the area and to the need for all development to be of a high quality.	Other (please state);	Higher densities are only suitable if the development adopts the principles of good design, is of high quality, viable and deliverable at a density appropriate to the location of the site and to the surrounding character of the area, regardless of location.	Yes, given our response to Q2.8, Our Client recommends that the emerging Local Plan needs to acknowledge that densities will change across Wirral and will be led by the principles of good design, with density being appropriate to the location of the site, to the surrounding character of the area and to the need for all development to be of a high quality.	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56836	https://wirral- consult.objective .co.uk/file/56826 97				
1243342	LPIO- 762	VAC	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;											
1246592	LPIO- 7685	yes	regeneration areas; town, district and centres;		No building on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces.									
1246594	LPIO- 7727	yes	town, district and centres;		Any development on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces should be avoided at all costs and increasing densities would be wholly inappropriate.	any land released from the Green Belt;								
1240903	LPIO- 7806	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;			conservation areas; existing residential areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;								
1246596	LPIO- 7963	yes	regeneration areas;		I am totally against any increase in densities in the green belt or adjacent to green spaces but support in brownfield areas.									
1246523	8000	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres;											
1246605	LPIO- 8112	yes	regeneration areas;		Yes, least appropriate on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246598 (Hoylake Vision)	LPIO- 8114	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas; Other (please state);		Hoylake Town Square and Carr Lane reconfiguration (already identified as NDP Masterplan areas)	conservation areas; existing residential areas; areas not well served by public transport;		Hoylake has an excellent and well balanced range of housing types, from small terraces to large Victorian villas along Stanley Road, Meols Drive and the Kings Gap areas. These larger properties, often in large plots of land, need to be protected from developers wishing to unlock density constraints thereby setting a precedent. There are other opportunities that should be considered first.						
1243448	LPIO- 815		regeneration areas; town, district and centres;		Never on greenfield sites.	any land released from the Green Belt; conservation areas;		Most high density developments should be aimed at single people or couples who do not desire a traditional house. They would probably wish to be near to a town centre with good transport links and access to entertainment venues and retail.						
1237882	LPIO- 8249	yes			Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces.	Other (please state below); any land released from the Green Belt; conservation areas;	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces.	Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces.						
1246612	LPIO- 8253	yes	regeneration areas;		Develop/regenerate the area east of the M53 and next to the Mersey. Make attractive to commuters and build all the infrastructure to support it (generating jobs). Think Shanghai Don't plan to use any greenfield sites - you don't need to	conservation areas; any land released from the Green Belt;		Don't build on greenbelt or any area in or adjacent to conservation areas, national trust land or other sites of natural beauty or importance to wildlife						
1245044	LPIO- 8304	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;		Birkenhead and Wirral Waters is the most appropriate for this sort of higher densities. Lower densities are less appropriate in areas of local Character such as Caldy. Increased densities should exclude "garden grabbing" as gardens are valuable green space for wildlife and mitigate against climate change.									
1246624	LPIO- 8523	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;		The actual density should be set in relation to the immediate surrounding area not to the detriment of green urban spaces	Other (please state below); any land released from the Green Belt; conservation areas; areas not well served by public transport;	Any Greenbelt. Urban parks and or greenspaces							
1246544	LPIO- 8540	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; regeneration areas;											
1240872	LPIO- 8595	yes	town, district and centres; Other (please state);	Only Wirral Waters. Other densities must remain as is	Spital was designed with pathways and open spaces by the Lancelyn Greens. This area borders greenbelt and is not suitable for increased densities. It also has limited services and its character does not suit increased densities.									

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246638	LPIO- 8672	yes	regeneration areas;			conservation areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;		Heswall/Gayton and W Kirby/Caldy are characterised by their open aspect which should be preserved						
1243888	LPIO- 901	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; regeneration areas;			conservation areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;								
1246667	LPIO- 9016	yes	regeneration areas; areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres;			conservation areas; any land released from the Green Belt;								
1241852	LPIO- 907	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; regeneration areas;			conservation areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;								
1246678	LPIO- 9273	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;		Birkenhead is an established town, focus should be given to the regeneration and housing of this area to attract income and improve retail experience as opposed to driving custom to the rural areas.	conservation areas; existing residential areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;								
1241495	LPIO- 9496	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; regeneration areas; town, district and centres;											
1246699	LPIO- 9524	yes	regeneration areas; town, district and centres; areas and sites with access to good public transport;											

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246693	LPIO- 9540	yes	regeneration areas;		Clearly higher densities would be better in the regeneration areas, otherwise what are you going to regenerate them with? However I note Question 2.5 is relevant here in relation to the Councils use of 'settlements' and I would reiterate my earlier response that there are vast differences between areas such as Raby Mere and Birkenhead.	conservation areas; any land released from the Green Belt;		There should be NO building or development of Greenbelt, green areas and parks. I am completing these questions while we are on lockdown for the Covid 19 Virus. Social distancing is being enforced by the Government, peoples physical and mental health is paramount, food shortages will become a reality. To release greenbelt, reduce green open spaces and close parks is completely contrary to the world in which we are living and will be massively detrimental to the people of Wirral.						
1246691	LPIO- 9599	yes	town, district and centres; regeneration areas;		Most of the brownfield sites can be developed to a higher density.									
1242554 Port Sunlight Village Trust	LPIO- 9636	yes	Other (please state);	It depends on the specified site. Not all regeneration areas, areas near rail stations or towns are appropriate for high density development.	Despite proximity to two passenger rail stations (and therefore sites identified as suitable for increased residential density) it would not be appropriate to develop increased residential density in Port Sunlight nor in the areas surrounding the conservation area. Low density, low rise (not taller than two stories) development is essential to the heritage character, value and significance of the site. This is true within the conservation area and in the setting of the conservation area (area around it). Any new construction that extends beyond three stories in the setting of the conservation area and potentially key designed vistas.	conservation areas; areas not well served by public transport;	It depends on the specified site. Not all regeneration areas, areas near rail stations or towns are appropriate for high density development.	Despite proximity to two passenger rail stations (and therefore sites identified as suitable for increased residential density) it would not be appropriate to develop increased residential density in Port Sunlight nor in the areas surrounding the conservation area. Low density, low rise (not taller than two stories) development is essential to the heritage character, value and significance of the site. This is true within the conservation area and in the setting of the conservation area (area around it). Any new construction that extends beyond three stories in the setting of the conservation area will irreversibly damage the views into / out of the conservation area and potentially key designed vistas.						
1238424	LPIO- 9728	yes	regeneration areas; town, district and centres;			conservation areas; existing residential areas; any land released from the Green Belt;								
1246651	LPIO- 9779		areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;		It would be least appropriate to use greenbelt land, parks and open spaces	conservation areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;								
1238147	LPIO- 9785	yes	areas and sites with access to good public transport; town, district and centres; regeneration areas;			conservation areas; areas not well served by public transport; any land released from the Green Belt;		There should be no release of Green Belt so higher densities cannot apply here.						

Person ID	ID	Question 2.9 Are there any particular sites or areas where you believe higher housing densities would be most or least appropriate?	Question 2.9a	Question 2.9b - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9c - Please give details below:	Question 2.9d	Question 2.9e - If you answered Other, give details here:	Question 2.9f - Please give details below:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246724	LPIO- 9861	yes	regeneration areas; areas and sites with access to good public transport;			any land released from the Green Belt; conservation areas; areas not well served by public transport; existing residential areas;		Yes, on greenbelt land, parks and open spaces.						
1238193	LPIO- 9948 1 of 2	yes	Other (please state);		Consistent with our Client's response to question 2.7, our Client agrees that densities should be increased to ensure the maximum use of suitable urban land in locations where good design and amenity can be maintained. This is consistent with paragraphs 122 and 123 of the NPPF. Through the Council's parallel Call for Sites exercise (Appendix 1), our Client has identified land within its ownership where such an approach is considered to be appropriate. As previously identified, this is part of a wider vision for the whole of the Hind Street strategic mixed use site that one of our Client are proposing through a separately submitted vision document that is appended to this letter for reference (Appendix 2). The vision document seeks to demonstrate how good design practice can be applied to a higher density mixed development, focusing on the following key elements of best practice to ensure that development densities are maximised but appropriate to their location.									
1238193	LPIO- 9948 2 of 2	yes			In summary, the residential density across the site will range from SOdph to 120 dph. The higher density development will cluster closest to Birkenhead Central Station and the town centre. This ensures that the most accessible and well connected parts of the site support the most number of units, and new residents. This strategy ensures that public transport use is encourage over individual cars, and that the new development can help support the repopulation of the town centre and encourage people to use the existing facilities within the town centre. The lower densities will be situated towards to south of the site, furthest from the public transport nodes, however the site as a whole will be very well served by public transport, and pedestrian and cycle connections. The overall approach to density introduces a density range which is appropriate for its town centre location and makes the most of this unique site.									