

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1244422	LPIO-1036	yes		Firstly I have to say that you couldn't have made this comment process anymore difficult! So no - I don't have a policy reference.! I live bordering 7.27. How is building 1100 homes going to protect and improve the quality and accessibility of green space? Enhancing biodiversity when you are destroying the habitat of bats, owls, newts, frogs, foxes, geese, buzzards, hawks, many other bird species. How is it going to improve ease of movement? There are limited ways out of Irby and Pensby and all are clogged up at rush hour. More queues will mean more pollution which won't help Climate Change! So stop now. We just don't need those homes. Only the developers want this!						
1246776	LPIO-10365	yes		Ensure new build housing and existing stock designed, built / retrofitted with climate emergency, including flooding, in mind <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Properly insulated and that their capacity to generate energy through e.g. solar panels is maximised</li> <li>• Adoption of housing space standard in the plan . The government may make it compulsory, but so far it is voluntary. Liverpool is going to adopt it</li> <li>• Council Policy CS21 states 'Where viable contribute towards the provision of Zero Carbon development'. Policy needs to be stronger than 'where viable'.</li> <li>• All new homes (and buildings) to be net zero carbon emissions in operation by 2030 at the latest</li> <li>• Wirral Council implements best practise from the Green Building Council . Local Planning Authorities are empowered to enforce policies which reduce carbon emissions from new homes. Specifically 'local authorities are not restricted in their ability to require energy efficiency standards above Building Regulations</li> </ul>						
1246724	LPIO-10625	yes		My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1240223	LPIO-10706	yes	LP28 and LP29	As far as we can see, the consultation material does not provide effective or fully detailed wording for either of these policies. Given that these are critical to any reasonable understanding of the Plan being sound and positively prepared in relation to retail planning, this information should be supplied urgently. We reserve the right to provide additional comments once this text and any justification is received.						
1246544	LPIO-10771	yes		Ensure both new and refurbished buildings are designed/built with climate emergency, including flooding, in mind. Insulation must be of very high standard, with a target of achieving zero-carbon emissions by 2030 Councils can and must go beyond Building Regulations inrequiring energy efficiency standards Housing space standard must also be adopted						
1246242	LPIO-11010			We are concerned that the questions within the Local Plan are complicated and misguided for many local residents. As our comments devious, spurious and superfluous. However for a developer they will be most acceptable.						
1243890	LPIO-1155	no								
1247196	LPIO-11631			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247015	LPIO-11877			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247214	LPIO-12465			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247492	LPIO-12568			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1240843	LPIO-12722			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247578	LPIO-12923			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247510	LPIO-13046			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1246335	LPIO-13184			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1246578	LPIO-13346			I do believe that this consultation is complicated and time consuming for the user and these factors will limit the responses of the public. This in turn plays into the hands of developers.						
1246853	LPIO-13437			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1246852	LPIO-13563			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247746	LPIO-13719			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1238192	LPIO-13824			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247012	LPIO-13878			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247014	LPIO-13932			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1244681	LPIO-1401	yes		<p>Ensure new build housing and existing stock designed, built / retrofitted with climate emergency, including flooding, in mind</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Properly insulated and that their capacity to generate energy through e.g. solar panels is maximised</li> <li>• Adoption of housing space standard in the plan . The government may make it compulsory, but so far it is voluntary. Liverpool is going to adopt it</li> <li>• Council Policy CS21 states 'Where viable contribute towards the provision of Zero Carbon development'. Policy needs to be stronger than 'where viable'.</li> <li>• All new homes (and buildings) to be net zero carbon emissions in operation by 2030 at the latest</li> <li>• Wirral Council implements best practise from the Green Building Council . Local Planning Authorities are empowered to enforce policies which reduce carbon emissions from new homes. Specifically 'local authorities are not restricted in their ability to require energy efficiency standards above Building Regulations</li> </ul>						
1242183	LPIO-14037			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247218	LPIO-14130			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247219	LPIO-14237			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247220	LPIO-14331			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247222	LPIO-14459			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247226	LPIO-14549			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247245	LPIO-14647			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1246827	LPIO-14765			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247016	LPIO-14868			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247018	LPIO-14938			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247246	LPIO-15385			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247248	LPIO-15515			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1243700	LPIO-1556	yes		To broad a question. Too many of the policies are out of date. This is a question designed to alienate the public but give plenty of scope to lawyers and developers						
1247251	LPIO-15607			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247252	LPIO-15694			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247274	LPIO-15798			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247275	LPIO-15952			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247936	LPIO-16066			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247287	LPIO-16260			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247344	LPIO-16348			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247349	LPIO-16435			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247353	LPIO-16524			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247354	LPIO-16618			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247434	LPIO-16723			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247436	LPIO-16826			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247935	LPIO-16966			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247437	LPIO-17028			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247439	LPIO-17029			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247441	LPIO-17122			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247960	LPIO-17243			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247962	LPIO-17339			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247966	LPIO-17445			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247971	LPIO-17541			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1241726	LPIO-17641			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247979	LPIO-17825			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247980	LPIO-17826			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1245502	LPIO-17933			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247541	LPIO-18038			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247539	LPIO-18144			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247996	LPIO-18325			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1237857	LPIO-18359			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire. The people of the Wirral enjoy the spaces between urban centres and DO NOT						
1247021	LPIO-18419			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247022	LPIO-18473			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247023	LPIO-18528			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247024	LPIO-18583			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247025	LPIO-18685			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247038	LPIO-18686			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247039	LPIO-18803			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247040	LPIO-18804			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247041	LPIO-18871			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247042	LPIO-18941			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247060	LPIO-19046			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247061	LPIO-19047			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247063	LPIO-19114			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247064	LPIO-19168			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247068	LPIO-19222			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247071	LPIO-19279			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247072	LPIO-19337			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1245060	LPIO-1939	yes		My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1247078	LPIO-19392			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247080	LPIO-19503			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247081	LPIO-19504			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247082	LPIO-19660			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247083	LPIO-19714			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247084	LPIO-19778			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247085	LPIO-19833			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247088	LPIO-19899			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247089	LPIO-19960			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247090	LPIO-20015			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247091	LPIO-20069			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247092	LPIO-20129			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247093	LPIO-20193			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247094	LPIO-20248			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247095	LPIO-20303			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247096	LPIO-20359			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247099	LPIO-20415			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247101	LPIO-20469			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247108	LPIO-20483			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247102	LPIO-20484			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247106	LPIO-20671			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247105	LPIO-20672			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247109	LPIO-20739			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247110	LPIO-20833			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247111	LPIO-20834			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247112	LPIO-20959			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247113	LPIO-21013			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247115	LPIO-21069			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247116	LPIO-21123			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1246851	LPIO-21217			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1246918	LPIO-21466			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1246924	LPIO-21467			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1246928	LPIO-21468			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1246920	LPIO-21648			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1246926	LPIO-21649			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247117	LPIO-21743			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247118	LPIO-21744			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247145	LPIO-21851			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247147	LPIO-21852			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247148	LPIO-21959			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247150	LPIO-21960			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1245112	LPIO-2202	no								
1244329	LPIO-22037			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247119	LPIO-22132			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1246678	LPIO-22133			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247151	LPIO-22240			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247152	LPIO-22241			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247153	LPIO-22354			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247155	LPIO-22355			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247156	LPIO-22467			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247158	LPIO-22468			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247159	LPIO-22499			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247160	LPIO-22500			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247161	LPIO-22713			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247164	LPIO-22714			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247167	LPIO-22842			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247168	LPIO-22843			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247169	LPIO-22870			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247170	LPIO-22871			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247173	LPIO-23107			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247174	LPIO-23108			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247175	LPIO-23223			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247176	LPIO-23225			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247177	LPIO-23379			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247178	LPIO-23380			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						
1247179	LPIO-23381			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents. This questionnaire is not an appropriate way to get proper resident feedback on such an important document.						

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1241020	LPIO-23722	yes		In response to Question 9.1 Policy LP46N - West Kirby Old Village Conservation Area: The tithe barns in Rectory Road are under threat from property developers. The 2009 Appraisal and Management Plan for the West Kirby Conservation Area mentioned that the barns are of the same importance as St Bridget's Church, the Rectory and Ring o' Bells. To protect them, it would be good to include them specifically in the policy statement covering West Kirby Old Village Conservation Area. This could be done by adding the words THE TITHE BARNS to the policy CH16 as shown in capitals below: In relation to West Kirby Old Village Conservation Area the principal planning objectives for the area will be to: (i) preserve the remaining semi-rural, low density character of a former medieval hamlet in a woodland setting; (ii) preserve the open aspect of remnant fields which originally surrounded the Village; (iii) retain the scale and massing of buildings at the Village core, between 14-32, Village Road, and the open setting of The Ring O' Bells, THE TITHE BARNS, The Rectory and St. Bridget's Church; and (iv) retain unifying features, such as woodlands, tree groups, stone walls, and the character of narrow unmade paths. Priority will be given to retaining the open setting of land and woodland to the east and west of Echo Lane and of open land to the east of Church Walk. I hope you will take account of these suggestions						
1241016	LPIO-238	yes		Policy LP46N - West Kirby Old Village Conservation Area: The tithe barns in Rectory Road are under threat from property developers. To protect them it would be good to include them specifically in the policy statement covering West Kirby Old Village Conservation Area. A suggested wording is included in Capitals in policy CH16. "In relation to West Kirby Old Village Conservation Area the principal planning objectives for the area will be to: (i) preserve the remaining semi-rural, low density character of a former medieval hamlet in a woodland setting; (ii) preserve the open aspect of remnant fields which originally surrounded the Village; (iii) retain the scale and massing of buildings at the Village core, between 14-32, Village Road, and the open setting of The Ring O' Bells, THE TITHE BARNS, The Rectory and St. Bridget's Church; and (iv) retain unifying features, such as woodlands, tree groups, stone walls, and the character of narrow unmade paths. Priority will be given to retaining the open setting of land and woodland to the east and west of Echo Lane and of open land to the east of Church Walk.						
1242185	LPIO-23960			Although the list of policy subjects appears to be comprehensive, we wish to see a policy for brownfield regeneration. We think a detailed policy on this topic should include a trajectory for performance monitoring of the Birkenhead Regeneration Strategy.	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684263">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684263</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5657006">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5657006</a>			
1248483	LPIO-24057			We have serious concerns that the new Local Plan does not sufficiently take into account strategic Port interests. There is only one specific reference to Port-related development, at Paragraph 2.35. Elsewhere in the Issues and Options document and the Evidence Base port interests appear to be subsumed by references to employment land and the Wirral Waters mixed use regeneration proposals. The Port of Liverpool and the Manchester Ship Canal are each individually classified as "major" ports, the threshold for which is 1 million tonnes or more of cargo handled and together in Wirral handle 15.6 million tonnes per annum, equivalent to circa. 40% of annual throughput through the Mersey Ports. Further information on the importance of the port, including Tranmere Oil Terminal, is included in our attachment. Whilst it is acknowledged that, for various reasons, the Issues and Options report focuses to a large extent on housing land, it is very important not to lose sight of Port interests at this stage otherwise they are likely to be lost as the Local Plan progresses. Should the Plan go through the remaining statutory stages, as it progresses to adoption, without substantive reference to Port interests it would be seriously "unsound" as a result it is important to recognise the Port interests not just in their own right but also because of their interconnectedness with other key aspects of the Local Plan, most notably employment land, but also housing, transport and infrastructure. There is a requirement for a specific port policy (as per previous Wirral UDP and the neighbouring City Region Local Plans for Liverpool and Sefton), which must also link with the designation/allocation of operational port land with any future Proposals Map, to ensure that the strategic importance of port interests, including the ability to promote further growth, is properly taken into account in the emerging Local Plan. Further information on the policy background, including the Mersey Ports Master Plan, is included in our attachment.	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5658624">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5658624</a>					

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247798	LPIO-24301			There is no reference to a Rural Exception Sites Policy. Such sites are supported by paragraph 77 of the NPPF as means by which to deliver affordable in rural area. Paragraph 77 is clear that "Local Planning Authorities should support opportunities to bring forward rural exception sites that will bring forward affordable housing to meet identified local needs, and consider whether allowing some market housing on these sites would help to facilitate this. In view of the Green Belt constraints across the Borough, rural exception sites can play a key role in delivering affordable housing on sites adjacent to sustainable towns and villages, particularly given that the emerging Local Plan is not proposing to meet the full affordable housing needs of the Borough as identified in the SHMA (705 dwellings per annum). Rural exception sites can help to meet some of this residual need and are a valuable 'tool.' Such a policy is in place in the neighbouring Cheshire West and Chester, and such sites have made a valuable contribution to that Authority's housing needs and supply.	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684846">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684846</a>					
1248557 (Environment Agency)	LPIO-24466			While further detail will be needed to comment fully on the proposed development management policies, it would appear matters within our remit are broadly listed in the appendices document. We do note no specific policy relating to climate change which is slightly surprising. We assume this will be because climate change will be considered central to the entire plan and be included within policies where relevant. Policy LP34C suggests Natural Flood Management can also be used to reduce surface water flooding, however please be aware Natural Flood Management can also be used to reduce/manage other forms of flood risk also. We note and acknowledge detailed planning policies will be included in the final draft Local Plan and that representations will be made at the regulation 19 stage (paragraph 1.20, page 5), but would advise of the benefits of discussing any detailed policies with us well in advance of submission to reduce the risk of delays should we have any concerns.						
1248567 (Historic England)	LPIO-24528			In terms of development management policies, it is clear that the NPPF expects plans to include detailed policies, which will enable a decision maker to determine a planning application. The Local Plan for Wirral should include specific policies for the historic environment in order to help inform decisions that affect it and others should where possible cross-reference heritage related issues. Key issues to be considered are (not wholly comprehensive): Undesignated heritage assets (including significance of, setting, extensions, demolition, alterations, change of use, etc); Designated heritage assets (including significance of, setting, extensions, demolition, alterations, change of use, etc); Archaeology including remains of less than national importance; Conservation areas; Registered parks and gardens; Heritage at Risk; Important views and vistas; Landscape character; Local character and distinctiveness; Individual settlements; Historic shopfronts and advertisements; Public realm; Design; Information to accompany an application.						
1242697	LPIO-24722			There are many policies for the Council and its officers to follow. Some may conflict. But the planning officers need to fully enforce the more sensitive policies on conservation, heritage, landscape and in general, preserving the character of the fine areas of Wirral, while improving that of those areas which need improvement. The policies for these topics will need re-drafting to ensure that preservation and enhancement is easier to guarantee against competing issues. Development is often not an improvement though regeneration in Settlement Areas 1-3 should be.	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659118">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659118</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659119">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659119</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659120">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659120</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121</a>		
1248749	LPIO-24907			Without knowing the details of the policies being proposed it is difficult to comment on them in any significant manner. We do not disagree with the types of policies being proposed however we would welcome the opportunity to comment on them when they have been substantiated as part of the draft Local Plan.	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684847">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684847</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684848">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684848</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684845">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684845</a>			
1248769	LPIO-25026			Without knowing the details of the policies being proposed it is difficult to comment on them in any significant manner. We do not disagree with the types of policies being proposed however we would welcome the opportunity to comment on them when they have been substantiated as part of the draft Local Plan.	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659045">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659045</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684957">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684957</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659039">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659039</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659038">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659038</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684956">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684956</a>	
1248823	LPIO-25130			Without knowing the details of the policies being proposed it is difficult to comment on them in any significant manner. We do not disagree with the types of policies being proposed however we would welcome the opportunity to comment on them when they have been substantiated as part of the draft Local Plan.	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674317">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674317</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684865">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684865</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684849">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684849</a>			
1248832	LPIO-25240			Without knowing the details of the policies being proposed it is difficult to comment on them in any significant manner. We do not disagree with the types of policies being proposed however we would welcome the opportunity to comment on them when they have been substantiated as part of the draft Local Plan.	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684857">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684857</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659562">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659562</a>				
1248833	LPIO-25344			Without knowing the details of the policies being proposed it is difficult to comment on them in any significant manner. We do not disagree with the types of policies being proposed however we would welcome the opportunity to comment on them when they have been substantiated as part of the draft Local Plan.	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661125">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661125</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661100">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661100</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661124">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661124</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661129">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661129</a>		

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248956	LPIO-25436			Appendix 9.1 provides a list of those policies which may be included within the plan but no detail is provided at this stage. We reserve the right to comment once we see the Council's final policies.	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677474">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677474</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684859">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684859</a>				
1248986	LPIO-25554			Without knowing the details of the policies being proposed it is difficult to comment on them in any significant manner. We do not disagree with the types of policies being proposed however we would welcome the opportunity to comment on them when they have been substantiated as part of the draft Local Plan.	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662723">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662723</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662725">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662725</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662770">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662770</a>			
1249015	LPIO-25615			Appendix 9.1 provides a list of those policies which may be included within the plan but no detail is provided at this stage. We reserve the right to comment once we see the Council's final policies.	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684897">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684897</a>					
1246458	LPIO-25845			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1246459	LPIO-25846			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1249100	LPIO-26016 (1)			Appendix 9.1 provides a list of those policies which may be included within the plan but no detail is provided at this stage. We reserve the right to comment once we see the Council's final policies.	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677514">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677514</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677512">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677512</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684898">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684898</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677513">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677513</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677509">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677509</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684951">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684951</a>
1249100	LPIO-26016 (2)			Appendix 9.1 provides a list of those policies which may be included within the plan but no detail is provided at this stage. We reserve the right to comment once we see the Council's final policies.	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677510">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677510</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684895">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684895</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677508">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677508</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677511">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677511</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684949">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684949</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677516">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677516</a>
1249100	LPIO-26016 (3)			Appendix 9.1 provides a list of those policies which may be included within the plan but no detail is provided at this stage. We reserve the right to comment once we see the Council's final policies.	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677507">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677507</a>					
1249782	LPIO-26441			We note that Appendix 9.1 provides a list of those policies which may be included within the plan, but there is no detail provided at this stage. As such, we reserve the right to comment once we see the Council's final policies.	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683892">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683892</a>					
1249219	LPIO-26495			Policy LP10 should incorporate Design Guides such as the present Gayton Guidelines in the UDP otherwise there would be a significant gap in the protection afforded pending the introduction of Supplementary Planning Documents.	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677529">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677529</a>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677528">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677528</a>				
1248113	LPIO-26665 1 of 3			<p>Heritage Assets</p> <p>This response relates to Draft Heritage Policies in the developing Local Plan, namely:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- CS42 – Protection of Heritage Assets;</li> <li>- CS42c – Demolition Control within Conservation Areas;</li> <li>- CS42f – Birkenhead Park Conservation Area;</li> <li>- CS42ze – The Preservation of Historic Parks and Gardens; and</li> <li>- CS42zf – Historic Parks and Gardens.</li> </ul> <p>The focus of interest in this response is Birkenhead Park and its future protection and enhancement.</p> <p>(ii) Minimising the future impact of tall buildings on Birkenhead Park If the future development of new tall buildings within sight of Birkenhead Park are likely to be a threat in harming the significance of the heritage assets there should be a separate tall buildings policy in the Local Plan. The Proposed Policy for Wirral Waters (Policy CS12) which refers to Wirral Waters, it is suggested adding within the paragraph beginning 'Detailed planning applications for each element...' an extra numbered item (after no.8) stating the following: 'ensure that the impact of any tall buildings will not cause harm to views from Birkenhead Park Conservation Area'.</p> <p>POLICY CS42 - PROTECTION OF HERITAGE ASSETS (DRAFT POLICIES TO PLANNING SUB COMMITTEE)</p> <p>Copy of this policy is replicated</p> <p>Notes / Comments</p> <p>(i) Referring to para.3, sub-section c)</p> <p>Applications will be supported when it is clearly demonstrated that the work is needed to secure and enable a sustainable</p>						

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248113	LPIO-26665 2 of 3			<p>viable long-term future for any heritage asset</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In accordance with the NPPF, 'the minimum level of work' needed refers to enabling development and does not seem relevant here.</li> <li>• This policy could be appropriate to all types of heritage asset, and not just historic buildings at risk.</li> </ul> <p>(ii) Referring to para.B: - Such heritage is vulnerable to change. Once lost or altered it cannot be adequately replaced and it is important that the most valuable sites and structures are not needlessly or thoughtlessly destroyed. Policy CHO1, therefore, specifically provides for the best examples of Wirral's cultural heritage to be preserved having regard to the significance of the asset in line with national policy and seeks to ensure that the case for preservation is fully considered when assessing all proposals for new development.</p> <p>- There is reference to 'Policy CH01'. Is it appropriate to refer to a policy in another document - the Unitary Development Plan? Proposed Policy CS42c, for demolition control within conservation areas, considered by the Planning Sub Committee on Notes / Comments</p> <p>(i) Referring to para.1, sub-section (ii) The demolition of buildings or structures within a designated Conservation Area, other than Listed Buildings or structures, will only be permitted where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) the building or structure to be removed has little historic importance, visual merit or group value, or otherwise detracts from the special character of the Area.'</li> </ul> <p>Proposed Policy CS42f, for Birkenhead Park Conservation Area, considered by the Planning Sub Committee on should be amended</p> <p>1. In relation to Birkenhead Park Conservation Area the principal planning objectives for the area will be to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) preserve the character and appearance of an extensive Victorian public park;</li> <li>(ii) ensure that all development, including alterations to existing buildings, is sympathetic to the character of the Area and in line with the original specification;</li> <li>(iii) preserve unifying features of design, such as gate piers, boundary fences and stone walls, and the nature and extent of landscaping throughout the Area;</li> <li>(iv) restrict the non-residential use of existing buildings within the Area, unless a primarily domestic setting would be retained;</li> <li>(v) restrict all new development on private gardens between the Park's carriage drive and the rear of existing properties fronting Ashville Road, Cavendish Road, Park Road North, Park Road West, Park Road East, and Park Road South, as well as ensuring the enhancement and maintenance of appropriate boundary treatments with the Park on such land;</li> <li>(vi) ensure that all development is consistent with the restrictive covenants affecting private land around the periphery of the Park; and</li> <li>(vii) ensure that all development is in accordance with the updated Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (2020) as a Supplementary Planning Document.</li> </ul> <p>2. Priority will be given to preserving uninterrupted, tree-lined, open vistas within the "inner park" as delineated by the route of Park Drive.</p> <p>3. Any planning application for new development, extensions to existing buildings, changes of use or hardstanding must be accompanied by a detailed landscape proposal.M174</p> <p>A. Birkenhead Park Conservation Area was designated in June 1977 and the historic park and garden is also designated at Grade I in the Historic England Register of Parks and Gardens of Special Historic Interest in England.</p> <p>B. Opened in 1847 and designed by Sir Joseph Paxton, one of the most important landscapedesigners of the day, Birkenhead Park is universally acknowledged to be the first publicly funded park in Britain and provided the inspiration for the design of Central Park, New York. I also gave impetus to the Victorian "Public Parks Movement", which had a lasting influence throughout Britain and beyond.</p> <p>C. Wirral Council is seeking to submit an application for inscription of Birkenhead Park as a World Heritage Site and is preparing a nomination dossier and management plan. The Council's existing Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan is being updated and is intended to be adopted as a Supplementary Planning Document with additional and more detailed planning policies and guidance.</p>						

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248113	LPIO-26665 3 of 3			<p>D. The character and appearance of the Area principally derives from its wide and varied collection of period buildings and structures set within and a round a mature parkland setting, unified by common features of design such as gate piers, boundary railings and substantial landscaping.</p> <p>Policy CS42f, therefore, provides for these features to be retained and restricts new uses which would by their nature or design prejudice the landscaped appearance of the area or detract from the primarily domestic character of period buildings.</p> <p>Policy CS42f also restricts new development falling within the area of open land bounded by Park Drive in order to preserve the open landscaped setting of the central parkland area.</p> <p>It may be helpful to combine Proposed Policy CS42ze on the preservation of historic parks and gardens and the list of historic gradens in Proposed Policy CS42zf, into a single policy.</p> <p>The reference to Policy CS42zb in Proposed Policy CS42zf, considered by the Planning Sub Committee on, which lists the historic parks and gardens to be preserved, should presumably be amended to read 'Policies CS42 and CS42ze'.</p>						
1245180	LPIO-2801	yes		My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1245100	LPIO-2824	yes	Council Policy CS 21:	Council Policy CS 21 states that "where viable, . . .will contribute towards the provision of Zero Carbon Development" The Policy needs to state that IT IS ESSENTIAL rather than "where viable". It should also state that: "All new homes and buildings should emit zero carbon in operation by 2030 at the latest". " Wirral Council will implement best practice from the Green Building Council." Local Planning Authorities are empowered to enforce policies which reduce carbon emissions from new homes. Specifically, Local Authorities are not restricted in their ability to require energy efficient standards above building Regulations. " All Council led developments to each passivhaus/BREEAM Outstanding standards." "The Council will ensure that all new build housing and existing stock are designed/built/retrofitted with the Climate Emergency, including flooding, in mind." "All houses' capacity to generate their own energy, eg by solar panels, will be maximised"						
1237870	LPIO-2849	yes		My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire. This questionnaire is far too complex - probably by design !!						
1248546 (Wirral Wildlife)	LPIO-2891	yes		It is essential that the whole Plan starts with a policy on Climate Change and the environmental emergency (including biodiversity emergency), stating that all decisions and developments will aim to mitigate climate change and adapt to it, and protect and enhance the natural environment. This is because the need for emergency action within the Plan period is such that all decisions need to make it the first consideration. We only have a decade to change how we live.						
1238379	LPIO-2920	yes		Much of the details, content and questions in this document are technical in nature and it has therefore been difficult for me, and I suspect many other residents, to provide detailed responses. I therefore believe many will have been put off from completing the consultation document and consequently their views will not be taken into account. In my opinion, this document will be far easier for developers and their experts to complete which I believe will give their views an unfair advantage. I						
1245159	LPIO-3111	no								
1238645	LPIO-3196	yes		Ensure new build housing and existing stock designed, built / retrofitted with climate emergency, including flooding, in mind Properly insulated and that their capacity to generate energy through e.g. solar panels is maximised Adoption of housing space standard in the plan. The government may make it compulsory, but so far it is voluntary. Liverpool is going to adopt it Council Policy CS21 states 'Where viable contribute towards the provision of Zero Carbon development'. Policy needs to be stronger than 'where viable'. All new homes (and buildings) to be net zero carbon emissions in operation by 2030 at the latest Wirral Council implements best practise from the Green Building Council. Local Planning Authorities are empowered to enforce policies which reduce carbon emissions from new homes. Specifically 'local authorities are not restricted in their ability to require energy efficiency standards above Building Regulations Council led developments to reach passivhaus/BREEAM Outstanding standards						
1241315	LPIO-3328	yes		My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1237944	LPIO-3480	yes		Each Development Site should have a robust Design Guide to reduce speculative generic designs and retain the cultural Heritage of the area, and improve local community architectural characteristics						
1245451	LPIO-3598	no								
1237827	LPIO-3840	no								
1245288	LPIO-3909	no								
1238835	LPIO-4055	yes	LP3	Firstly, it is quite clear from reading this document, and around the whole subject of the Local Plan, that we do not need anywhere near the suggested 12000 housing units proposed. Secondly, it is also quite clear that we do not need to build on any of our Greenbelt, including farmland and green spaces. Council needs to build the necessary quantity of social and affordable homes in areas that people want them, ie near to their family and social support structures. Undoubtedly, this will lead to a regeneration of Birkenhead via the Wirral Waters and Hind Street projects. Specific attention needs to be paid towards reducing motor vehicle traffic which improving other, greener forms of transport ie electric cars, cycling etc. Council needs to focus on increasing the number of new jobs in the area, whilst ensuring that any such jobs are closer to the proposed developments, thus ensuring that you can meet the earlier objectives. As a general observation, this is a poorly worded questionnaire, designed principally to mislead and confuse those attempting to complete it. I believe that you wrote it in order to reduce the number of potential objections to your poorly constructed schemes. You had an ideal opportunity to be open and honest about your plans.....and failed miserably.						
1245346	LPIO-4287	yes		Ensure new build housing and existing stock designed, built / retrofitted with climate emergency, including flooding, in mind. Properly insulated and that their capacity to generate energy through e.g. solar panels is maximised Adoption of housing space standard in the plan . The government may make it compulsory, but so far it is voluntary. Liverpool is going to adopt it Council Policy CS21 states 'Where viable contribute towards the provision of Zero Carbon development'. Policy needs to be stronger than 'where viable'. All new homes (and buildings) to be zero carbon emissions in operation by 2030 at the latest Wirral Council implements best practise from the Green Building Council . Local Planning Authorities are empowered to enforce policies which reduce carbon emissions from new homes. Specifically 'local authorities are not restricted in their ability to require energy efficiency standards above Building Regulations'. Council led developments to reach passivhaus/BREEAM Outstanding standards.						
1245638	LPIO-4329	yes		My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1237873	LPIO-4874			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1244629	LPIO-4992	yes		My comments have been expressed as best as I can and it has taken me a long time, I mean days, to answer the questions in this form. Some of the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1245713	LPIO-5138	yes		I have expressed my comments to the best of my knowledge. I do think that questions are to complicated and long for many residents and difficult for the general public to answer						
1245501	LPIO-5180	yes		I have expressed my views on the mistakes and misguided proposals within this document, specifically my disagreement with actions and proposals described within the following. Policy LP3 - Green Belt Policy LP8 - Priorities for Leasowe, Moreton, Upton, Greasby and Woodchurch Policy LP9 - Priorities for Hoylake and West Kirby Policy LP10 - Priorities for Irby, Thingwall, Pensby, Heswall and Gayton Policy LP11 - Priorities for the Rural Areas Policy LP18 - Housing Requirement Policy LP20 - Land Allocated for New Strategic Housing Development Policy LP33 - Biodiversity and Geodiversity many questions and statements in this proposal are not accessible to the layman and in my opinion should be deleted from this survey and the whole process should be completed with greater involvement from the residents of Wirral.						

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1242541	LPIO-5672	yes		<p>Ensure new build housing and existing stock designed, built / retrofitted with climate emergency, including flooding, in mind</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Properly insulated and that their capacity to generate energy through e.g. solar panels is maximised</li> <li>• Adoption of housing space standard in the plan . The government may make it compulsory, but so far it is voluntary. Liverpool is going to adopt it</li> <li>• Council Policy CS21 states 'Where viable contribute towards the provision of Zero Carbon development'. Policy needs to be stronger than 'where viable'.</li> <li>• All new homes (and buildings) to be net zero carbon emissions in operation by 2030 at the latest</li> <li>• Wirral Council implements best practise from the Green Building Council . Local Planning Authorities are empowered to enforce policies which reduce carbon emissions from new homes. Specifically 'local authorities are not restricted in their ability to require energy efficiency standards above Building Regulations</li> </ul>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656874">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656874</a>					
1245984	LPIO-5778	yes		<p>We feel that this document is inaccessible to ordinary members of the public. At 200+ pages and with multiple appendices it is difficult for groups let alone individuals to gain a proper grasp of the Local Plan. Whilst there have been drop in sessions the plan itself has not been well publicized. The majority of residents are unaware of its existence. Developers and those with a vested interest have both the time, money and resources to examine it in minutiae. Voluntary groups and individuals do not, therefore we believe the process to be skewed in favour of the developer. The consultation period should have been longer and better publicized. In addition the document contains leading questions. Again some of these are aimed at developers. The planning process needs to be reexamined with a view to greater engagement with Wirral's residents, the very people who the Plan will affect.</p>						
1246310	LPIO-5985	yes		<p>My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.</p>						
1246161	LPIO-6258	yes		<p>There does not appear to be a policy specific related to the development of Health infrastructure - is this contained within another policy?</p>						
1246402	LPIO-6500	yes		<p>The local plan consultation questions are often misleading making you choose a response that doesn't reflect ones view. It is far too complex.I have a reasonable education have taken a few hours trying to complete this. Not much hope for people with dyslexia or little education. Even registering on the website was problematic with broken links.Many of the questions are loaded and by having to agree, or disagree rather than a "don't know" or leaving blank (which you can't do as you then cannot submit the response) the outcome is not what the respondent always intended. Many people would just give up responding.</p>						
1242751	LPIO-659	yes	<p>The questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire</p>	<p>The questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.</p>						
1241661	LPIO-6851	no		<p>Ensure new build housing and existing stock designed, built / retrofitted with climate emergency, including flooding, in mind</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Properly insulated and that their capacity to generate energy through e.g. solar panels is maximised</li> <li>• Adoption of housing space standard in the plan . The government may make it compulsory, but so far it is voluntary. Liverpool is going to adopt it</li> <li>• Council Policy CS21 states 'Where viable contribute towards the provision of Zero Carbon development'. Policy needs to be stronger than 'where viable'.</li> <li>• All new homes (and buildings) to be net zero carbon emissions in operation by 2030 at the latest</li> <li>• Wirral Council implements best practise from the Green Building Council . Local Planning Authorities are empowered to enforce policies which reduce carbon emissions from new homes. Specifically 'local authorities are not restricted in their ability to require energy efficiency standards above Building Regulations</li> </ul>						

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1241723	LPIO-6953	yes		<p>Ensure new build housing and existing stock designed, built / retrofitted with climate emergency, including flooding, in mind</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Properly insulated and that their capacity to generate energy through e.g. solar panels is maximised</li> <li>• Adoption of housing space standard in the plan . The government may make it compulsory, but so far it is voluntary. Liverpool is going to adopt it</li> <li>• Council Policy CS21 states 'Where viable contribute towards the provision of Zero Carbon development'. Policy needs to be stronger than 'where viable'.</li> <li>• All new homes (and buildings) to be net zero carbon emissions in operation by 2030 at the latest</li> <li>• Wirral Council implements best practise from the Green Building Council . Local Planning Authorities are empowered to enforce policies which reduce carbon emissions from new homes. Specifically 'local authorities are not restricted in their ability to require energy efficiency standards above Building Regulations</li> </ul>						
1245086	LPIO-6991	yes	General comment	The consultation document though very informative is overly long and would put many people off even attempting to fill it out.						
1244896	LPIO-6996	yes		<p>Although the list of policy subjects appears comprehensive, ITPAS joins others in wishing to see a policy for 'Brownfield and Urban Regeneration'. We think a detailed policy on this topic should be a trajectory for performance monitoring of the Birkenhead Regeneration Strategy, and would give more certainty to the Council's rhetoric on Regeneration, which currently is not being matched by either their approach or actions. Next, it is essential that each section of the Local Plan starts with a policy reference on Climate Change and the Environmental Emergency (including biodiversity emergency), stating that all decisions and developments will aim to mitigate Climate Change and adapt to it, and protect and enhance the natural environment. This is because the need for emergency action within the Local Plan period is such that all decisions have to be made in the light of the weight and urgency of this matter. We are advised that, without a major change of course in how we live, irreparable harm will have been done before we are half way through the Local Plan Period. AND, this is before taking on board the changed circumstances post-Coronavirus. This "major change" needs to start with the Council's approach to and basis of the Local Plan, whereby massive harm would result from a 'developers' charter' of 12,000+ new-build homes on top of the existing Housing Stock with many, perhaps most of the early homes being built on Green Belt Sites, causing avoidable increased traffic, plus air, ground and water pollution, reduced food production, species destruction, and even poorer health outcomes. Note: the 12,000+ OAN figure excludes the many thousands of existing dwellings to be replaced, upgraded or converted under the planned Regeneration. This is indefensible. The Council and Consultants have a duty of care.</p>						
1246488	LPIO-7326			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1246348	LPIO-7380	yes		Few people will have attempted to answer this options and policies document as they will have been put off by the first question. I have had a stab at it but unfortunately I have not got a team of people behind me who can advise. It is a massive undertaking to answer this questionnaire and impossible to answer it fully unless one is an expert and has inside knowledge.						
1245702	LPIO-7465	yes		We welcome the inclusion of the following policies within Appendix 9.1 "List of Detailed Development Management Policies to be included in the Draft Final Local Plan" and will be pleased to provide further comment when the policy wording becomes available in the next consultation stage in summer 2020: LP43 – Phasing and Infrastructure LP44 – Developer Contributions						
1246592	LPIO-7857	yes		My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1246594	LPIO-8022	yes		My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						
1240903	LPIO-8049	yes		The questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.						

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246605	LPIO-8216			My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire						
1246612	LPIO-8412	no								
1237882	LPIO-8480	yes	My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire.	My comments have been expressed as best as I can. However, the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated to many, many residents. They are/will be acceptable to developers. This can not be a fair and proper way to conduct a questionnaire. It has taken me 5 hours to complete this online questionnaire and not many people in the time of a COVID-19 crisis will have this amount of time to answer these questions is so much detail. The collection of this important data seems to be weighted in favour of those with the time, resources and knowledge to be able to maximise their responses to each question i.e. the property developers who want to maximise short term profit!!!						
1239377	LPIO-9241	yes		I have a small advantage being involved in our local branch of CPRE when answering this consultation document. However, this has not been by any means, easy to understand. Unfortunately, I fear most of the borough's residents will feel overwhelmed by the gravity and detail of the document - even in its simplified form - and will be unable to make meaningful replies. Most of the content is delivered in terminology suitable for planning inspectors and developers. I appreciate you have to deliver something, but the technical details involved in planning go over the heads of most people.						
1245034	LPIO-9245	no								
1246651	LPIO-9294	yes		Ensure new build housing and existing stock is built / retrofitted with the Climate Emergency, including flooding, in mind : Properly insulated and that their capacity to generate energy through, for example, solar panels is maximized Adoption of housing space standard in the plan. The Government may make it compulsory but so far it is voluntary. Liverpool is going to adopt it Council policy cs21 states "where viable contribute towards the provision of zero carbon development" the policy needs to be stronger than "where viable" All new homes and other buildings to be net zero carbon emissions in operation by 2030 at the latest Wirral Council implement best practice from the Green Building Council. Local planning authorities are empowered to enforce policies which reduce carbon emissions from new homes. Specifically, Local Authorities are not restricted in their ability to require energy efficiency standards above Building Regulations Council led developments to reach passivhaus / BREEAM outstanding standards						
1246678	LPIO-9402	no								
1241495	LPIO-9478	yes		It is essential that all decisions and development should aim to address the current climate emergency and protect and enhance the natural environment.						
1246624	LPIO-9499	yes		I have replied to as best I can. I have recently retired after 35 years in the civil service so I am used to the phraseology of your proposals.-It has taken me hours to review and respond and is I fear deliberate on your part- making the consultation far too complicated to many residents. Whist you may have 'ticked the box' saying you opened the plan up for comments, I do not consider this a fair opportunity for most residents to respond						

Person ID	ID	Question 9.1 - Do you have any comments on any of the Policy subjects listed in Appendix 9.1?	Question 9.1a - If Yes, please give policy reference (from Appendix 9.1). If you want to comment on more than one policy please submit then log out/log in and resubmit.	Question 9.1b - Please explain why:	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246711	LPIO-9594	yes	Policy LP46N - West Kirby Old Village Conservation Area	<p>There are two tithe barns on the north side of Rectory Road. The older barn dates from 1660, the more recent barn, is nearer to the road and dates from around 1850. An application has been made to English Heritage, to have the two barns listed (a copy of the application is attached as support to this comment). The tithe barns are under threat from property developers. The 2009 Appraisal and Management Plan for the West Kirby Conservation Area mentioned that the barns are of the same importance as St Bridget's Church, the Rectory and Ring o' Bells. To protect them, it would be good to include them specifically in the policy statement covering West Kirby Old Village Conservation Area. This could be done by adding the words THE TITHE BARNs to the policy CH16 as shown in capitals below:</p> <p>In relation to West Kirby Old Village Conservation Area the principal planning objectives for the area will be to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) preserve the remaining semi-rural, low density character of a former medieval hamlet in a woodland setting;</li> <li>(ii) preserve the open aspect of remnant fields which originally surrounded the Village;</li> <li>(iii) retain the scale and massing of buildings at the Village core, between 14-32, Village Road, and the open setting of The Ring O' Bells, THE TITHE BARNs, The Rectory and St. Bridget's Church; and</li> <li>(iv) retain unifying features, such as woodlands, tree groups, stone walls, and the character of narrow unmade paths. Priority will be given to retaining the open setting of land and woodland to the east and west of Echo Lane and of open land to the east of Church Walk.</li> </ul>	<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5673321">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5673321</a>					
1242554	LPIO-9763	yes	The Port Sunlight Policy CS42i. Substantial comments. See attached document.		<a href="https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662694">https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662694</a>					
1243448	LPIO-986	yes	Policy LP42 - Protection of Heritage Assets	This must include protection of historic farm buildings and farm land.						
1246693	LPIO-9917	yes		<p>I am a lay person and I my comments have been expressed as best as I can. I truly believe that this consultation has been written to discourage local residents to voice their concerns.</p> <p>I believe that the questions contained within the Local plan are misguided and complicated.</p> <p>They questions are phrased to allow clever well paid lawyers working for developers to provide acceptable and defendable answers.</p> <p>The way the local plan consultation has been conducted cannot be a fair and proper for local residents.</p>						