

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1243448	LPIO-982	yes	Yes. As much as possible should be done to identify, preserve, conserve, protect and promote awareness of our heritage and heritage sites in Wirral for the benefit of residents and visitors.						
1240932	LPIO-26636	yes	Yes, we broadly agree with the Council's Preferred Approach. Wirral's heritage is a core element of our landholding and our ongoing legacy. We are the custodian of almost 10% of the Borough's listed heritage assets; 69 Grade II listed buildings along with the Grade I listed Brimstage Hall and Tower and numerous undesignated buildings of historic interest as well as being responsible for originating and delivering two of the most iconic parts of Wirral in Port Sunlight and Thornton Hough.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683689	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682697	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682701			
1240932	LPIO-7637	yes	Yes, Our Client broadly agrees with the Council's approach. Wirral's heritage is a core element of the Our Client's landholding and its ongoing legacy. It is the custodian of almost 10% of the Borough's listed heritage assets; 69 Grade II listed buildings along with the Grade I listed Brimstage Hall and Tower and numerous undesignated buildings of historic interest as well as being responsible for originating and delivering two of the most iconic parts of Wirral in Port Sunlight and Thornton Hough. Our Client is keen to ensure that its heritage assets are preserved, enhanced and utilised to their greatest benefit. Therefore, whilst it notes that the Council's Preferred Approach set out in the Issues and Options document is not especially detailed with no supporting studies having yet been prepared as part of the Local Plan's evidence base, it is supportive of the Council's ambition outlined at paragraph 8.114 that its forthcoming Heritage Strategy will "set priorities for capital investment in heritage and heritage assets to maximise educational, recreational, tourism and regeneration opportunities".	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5683689	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682697	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5682701			
1241495	LPIO-9474	yes	Yes, I would support this approach. In addition heritage should also include natural heritage eg landscape, trees, hedges, rivers, ponds which are also of wildlife importance.						
1245159	LPIO-3101	yes	Yes, continue to support Conservation Areas with unchanged polices but improve enforcement staffing and bring back a Conservation Officer. Council must maintain its heritage buildings and facilities better wherever they are including parks. Wirral Council maintenance and project management is very poor.						
1245462	LPIO-3650	no	Yes, continue to support Conservation Areas with unchanged polices but improve enforcement staffing and bring back a Conservation Officer. Council must maintain its heritage buildings and facilities better wherever they are including parks. Wirral Council maintenance and project management is very poor.						
1245451	LPIO-3600	yes	Yes, continue to support Conservation Areas with unchanged polices but improve enforcement staffing and bring back a Conservation Officer. Council must maintain its heritage buildings and facilities better wherever they are including parks where heritage is ignored except in Birkenhead Park. Wirral Council maintenance and project management is very poor and needs substantial improvement.						
1248546 (Wirral Wildlife)	LPIO-2888	no	Wirral Wildlife support the main approach, but heritage should also include such human-natural heritage as pre-Enclosure field systems, important hedges (Hedgerow Regulations 1997) and "notable" trees which are of cultural or nature conservation importance to the local community.						
1237807	LPIO-9752	yes	Wirral is uniquely situated between Chester and Liverpool, two hugely important areas of history and heritage and this must be realised and exploited by the culture team to improve the heritage offer. Wirral Council has had a heritage policy since about 2012, approved by the Council, but then totally ignored. This has to be rectified. The plan updates asap, if only 'the actions'. So deeds not words!						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247359	LPIO-11654		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247405	LPIO-12253		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247363	LPIO-12254		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247364	LPIO-12255		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247365	LPIO-12256		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247366	LPIO-12257		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247369	LPIO-12258		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247370	LPIO-12259		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247371	LPIO-12260		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247372	LPIO-12261		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247373	LPIO-12263		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247374	LPIO-12264		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247375	LPIO-12265		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247376	LPIO-12266		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247377	LPIO-12267		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247378	LPIO-12268		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247379	LPIO-12269		<p>Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.</p>						
1247380	LPIO-12270		<p>Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.</p>						
1247381	LPIO-12271		<p>Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.</p>						
1247382	LPIO-12272		<p>Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.</p>						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247383	LPIO-12273		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247385	LPIO-12274		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247386	LPIO-12275		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247387	LPIO-12276		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247388	LPIO-12277		<p>Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.</p>						
1247389	LPIO-12278		<p>Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.</p>						
1247390	LPIO-12279		<p>Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.</p>						
1247391	LPIO-12280		<p>Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.</p>						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247392	LPIO-12281		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247393	LPIO-12282		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247394	LPIO-12283		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247228	LPIO-13743		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247747	LPIO-13756		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247864	LPIO-15202		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247865	LPIO-15218		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247866	LPIO-15223		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247867	LPIO-15247		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247868	LPIO-15261		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247873	LPIO-15276		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247869	LPIO-15300		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247870	LPIO-15405		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247871	LPIO-15443		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247872	LPIO-15494		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1248223	LPIO-26720		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248224	LPIO-26721		<p>Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.</p>						
1248226	LPIO-26746		<p>Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.</p>						
1248225	LPIO-26747		<p>Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.</p>						
1248228	LPIO-26771		<p>Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.</p>						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248229	LPIO-26772		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1248230	LPIO-26798		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1248231	LPIO-26799		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1250032	LPIO-26836		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248232	LPIO-26837		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1250033	LPIO-26841		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1250035	LPIO-26874		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1250037	LPIO-26875		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248214	LPIO-26904		<p>Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.</p>						
1248215	LPIO-26905		<p>Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.</p>						
1250040	LPIO-26937		<p>Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.</p>						
1248216	LPIO-26943		<p>Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.</p>						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248217	LPIO-26944		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1250041	LPIO-26978		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1248222	LPIO-26983		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1248218	LPIO-26984		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248219	LPIO-27009		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1248221	LPIO-27010		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1248201	LPIO-27037		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1248202	LPIO-27040		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1250043	LPIO-27052		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1248203	LPIO-27075		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1248204	LPIO-27076		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1248206	LPIO-27110		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248205	LPIO-27111		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1250044	LPIO-27115		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1248210	LPIO-27139		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1237899	LPIO-27165		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248212	LPIO-27167		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1248208	LPIO-27168		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1248178	LPIO-27203		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1248180	LPIO-27227		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1250049	LPIO-27241		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1250048	LPIO-27243		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1248181	LPIO-27247		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1250054	LPIO-27268		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248213	LPIO-27274		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1250059	LPIO-27308		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1250053	LPIO-27312		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1250055	LPIO-27313		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1250062	LPIO-27349		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1250058	LPIO-27351		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1250057	LPIO-27352		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1250063	LPIO-27365		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1250065	LPIO-27378		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1250067	LPIO-27391		Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1242185	LPIO-23956		We think there is more work to be done to ensure the Local Plan properly plans for development in the context of Section 16 of the NPPF. Local Plan policy should ensure that the area's heritage assets are best protected when development is planned, particularly in the context of each conservation area. It is noted from the detailed policy list in Appendix 9.1 that there will be 32 policies relating to heritage, so we will interrogate the policies in due course at the Regulation 19 stage when more information is forthcoming. Please refer to the extensive Report on Heritage attached. We acknowledge that the Council has responded to submissions on Brunanburh and has commissioned experts to compile further evidence of local heritage assets. We hope the full assessments will help to identify assets of value and for future protection for the benefit of all in the future.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684263	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5657006			
1248956	LPIO-25433		We reserve the right to comment again once we see the Council's final policy but would insist that the Council pay regard to national planning policy and place weight on the assessment of 'significance' when it comes to determining the impacts on heritage assets and ensure any harm to the asset that is deemed to be less than substantial is then balanced against the positive aspects of any proposal.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677474	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684859				
1249015	LPIO-25612		We reserve the right to comment again once we see the Council's final policy but would insist that the Council pay regard to national planning policy and place weight on the assessment of 'significance' when it comes to determining the impacts on heritage assets and ensure any harm to the asset that is deemed to be less than substantial is then balanced against the positive aspects of any proposal.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684897					
1249100	LPIO-26013 1 of 3		We reserve the right to comment again once we see the Council's final policy but would insist that the Council pay regard to national planning policy and place weight on the assessment of 'significance' when it comes to determining the impacts on heritage assets and ensure any harm to the asset that is deemed to be less than substantial is then balanced against the positive aspects of any proposal.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677514	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677512	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684898	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677513	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677509	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684951

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1249100	LPIO-26013 2 of 3		We reserve the right to comment again once we see the Council's final policy but would insist that the Council pay regard to national planning policy and place weight on the assessment of 'significance' when it comes to determining the impacts on heritage assets and ensure any harm to the asset that is deemed to be less than substantial is then balanced against the positive aspects of any proposal.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677510	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684895	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677508	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677511	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684949	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677516
1249100	LPIO-26013 3 of 3		We reserve the right to comment again once we see the Council's final policy but would insist that the Council pay regard to national planning policy and place weight on the assessment of 'significance' when it comes to determining the impacts on heritage assets and ensure any harm to the asset that is deemed to be less than substantial is then balanced against the positive aspects of any proposal.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677507					
1249116	LPIO-25948		We do not object in principle to the Council's approach to heritage but would not support any policy requirement which would render developments unviable or undeliverable and reserve the right to comment when further detail is released at a later stage of the process.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674092	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684836	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674096	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674095	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674093	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684833
1249116	LPIO-26007 1 of 2		We do not object in principle to the Council's approach to heritage but would not support any policy requirement which would render developments unviable or undeliverable and reserve the right to comment when further detail is released at a later stage of the process.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675698	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675693	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675700	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675692	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675697	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675694
1249116	LPIO-26007 2 of 2		We do not object in principle to the Council's approach to heritage but would not support any policy requirement which would render developments unviable or undeliverable and reserve the right to comment when further detail is released at a later stage of the process.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675696					
1249116	LPIO-26050		We do not object in principle to the Council's approach to heritage but would not support any policy requirement which would render developments unviable or undeliverable and reserve the right to comment when further detail is released at a later stage of the process.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684802	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684835	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677041	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677037	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684804	
1249116	LPIO-26084		We do not object in principle to the Council's approach to heritage but would not support any policy requirement which would render developments unviable or undeliverable and reserve the right to comment when further detail is released at a later stage of the process.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674240	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684832	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674256	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684834	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684837	
1249116	LPIO-26262		We do not object in principle to the Council's approach to heritage but would not support any policy requirement which would render developments unviable or undeliverable and reserve the right to comment when further detail is released at a later stage of the process.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674240	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684832	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674256	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684834	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684837	
1247015	LPIO-11873		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1238192	LPIO-13822		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247012	LPIO-13876		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247014	LPIO-13930		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247016	LPIO-14866		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247018	LPIO-14936		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247021	LPIO-18417		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247022	LPIO-18471		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247023	LPIO-18526		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247024	LPIO-18581		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247025	LPIO-18679		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247038	LPIO-18680		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247039	LPIO-18799		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247040	LPIO-18800		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247041	LPIO-18869		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247042	LPIO-18936		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247060	LPIO-19042		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247061	LPIO-19043		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247063	LPIO-19112		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247064	LPIO-19166		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247068	LPIO-19220		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247071	LPIO-19277		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247072	LPIO-19335		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247078	LPIO-19390		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247080	LPIO-19493		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247081	LPIO-19494		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247082	LPIO-19658		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247083	LPIO-19712		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247084	LPIO-19775		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247085	LPIO-19830		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247088	LPIO-19896		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247089	LPIO-19958		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247090	LPIO-20013		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247091	LPIO-20067		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247092	LPIO-20127		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247093	LPIO-20191		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247094	LPIO-20246		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247095	LPIO-20301		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247096	LPIO-20357		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247099	LPIO-20413		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247101	LPIO-20467		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247108	LPIO-20547		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247102	LPIO-20548		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247106	LPIO-20667		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247105	LPIO-20668		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247109	LPIO-20737		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247110	LPIO-20829		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247111	LPIO-20830		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247112	LPIO-20957		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247113	LPIO-21011		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247115	LPIO-21067		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247116	LPIO-21121		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247117	LPIO-21739		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247118	LPIO-21740		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247145	LPIO-21847		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247147	LPIO-21848		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247148	LPIO-21955		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247150	LPIO-21956		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1244329	LPIO-22035		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247119	LPIO-22128		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1246678	LPIO-22129		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247151	LPIO-22236		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247152	LPIO-22237		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247153	LPIO-22350		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247155	LPIO-22351		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247156	LPIO-22460		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247158	LPIO-22461		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247159	LPIO-22565		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247160	LPIO-22567		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247161	LPIO-22709		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247164	LPIO-22710		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247167	LPIO-22838		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247168	LPIO-22839		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247169	LPIO-22934		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247170	LPIO-22935		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247173	LPIO-23103		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247174	LPIO-23104		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247175	LPIO-23215		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247176	LPIO-23216		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247177	LPIO-23373		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247178	LPIO-23374		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1247179	LPIO-23375		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.						
1249219	LPIO-26492		We do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, we do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within the conservation areas.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677529	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677528				
1241629	LPIO-10476	no	There is not enough information at present to answer this question. In relation to Frankby however - that green belt land on one side of this historic village is described as weakly performing is a worrying indication that wirral's heritage assets are grossly misunderstood and under appreciated (Map B, appendix 4.7, area 5.13, SP007)						
1238147	LPIO-10302	no	There is not enough detail to convince me that existing policies and management plans for Conservation Areas will be incorporated into the Draft Pla. I support the submission of Conservation Areas Wirral.						
1242697	LPIO-24721		There is little detail in the plan. The key issue is enforcement of the preservation and enhancement of conservation areas. The planning authority has failed on occasions to meet its obligations to preserve and enhance. The Council and planning Authority must raise its enforcement to preserve and enhance both Conservation Areas and the Landscape.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659118	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659119	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659120	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121		
1237667	LPIO-4595	no	There are concerns about the approach to heritage particularly in Conservation areas where the preservation of walls, hedges, gardens and trees is essential.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247400	LPIO-11695		The restrictive covenants for the former ESWA Club are identical to those that were placed on all the historic properties surrounding Birkenhead Park. None of them have been lifted through an application to the Land Tribunal. The World Heritage Site (WHS) Committee firmly believes that it would be invaluable at this stage for the restrictive covenants to be enforced (as and when appropriate). They will provide a level of planning support which will enable the Council to prevent any future applications which undermine our claim for Outstanding Universal Value, whether in the core area or buffer zone. In presenting our case for inscription, initially to DCMS and then subsequently to UNESCO, it would provide evidence that the Council has the means to manage and conserve the site in a proper manner. Such an approach would be very much in the Council's interest. Peter de Figueiredo has produced a revised version of the Birkenhead Park Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan which contains a series of important proposals for protecting the future World Heritage Site. If you have not seen a copy, would you like me to send you one? Both Peter's recommendations and a clear reference to the restrictive covenants should be included in the draft Local Plan's Policy LP42D - Birkenhead Park Conservation Area (Heritage Asset). All three heritage sites of national/international significance (Birkenhead Park, Hamilton Square and Port Sunlight) should also be in a separate category within the Local Plan as a reflection of their strategic importance for the Council.						
1244969	LPIO-1753	no	The proposal of the Western Urban Extension simply ignores characteristics worthy of retention as recorded in the evidence base, with regard to Barnston Conservation Area and its rural setting, the grade 2 listed Christ Church Barnston, the historic landscape that surrounds the village, the importance of the four working farms two of which could well date to Domesday and the potential links emerging from the evidence of Brunanburh. All have simply been ignored in view of a suspicious Green Belt review. WBC need to explain.						
1246019	LPIO-5382	no	The Monks Ferry car park and surrounding area is the site of the historic ferry to Liverpool. This area should be designated as a heritage site so that the public can park and enjoy the site of the ancient ferry and stroll up to visit the associated priory (where car parking is limited). Signs should be erected to give visitors details of the ancient charter and method of working. i.e. rowed boats at still water and even the carriage of horses.						
1241016	LPIO-237	no	There is an opportunity to make more of the Viking and Medieval treasures on the Wirral such as the Hogback stone in St Bridget's church in West Kirby. The ancient barns in Rectory Road field date back to 1660 and are at threat from the proposed development. They illustrate the history of Glebe land provided by the local community to support the local church. Listing these buildings would help to preserve them.						
1240383	LPIO-5460	no	The historic environment is often little understood by local residents. WBC could do more to promote knowledge and the importance of our heritage assets. Conservation areas should be subject to planning constraints applicable to their management plans and appraisal plans rather than to the discretion of council officers						
1237944	LPIO-3474	yes	The historic and Heritage areas of Birkenhead and Wirral could be promoted to a greater extent than is indicated in the Local Plan proposals. Support of local community projects to enhance the Heritage Proposals should be included in the Local Plan proposals						
1237870	LPIO-2845	yes	The Council MUST ensure that our Heritage is preserved.						
1246578	LPIO-13344		The council has not given sufficient weight to the increasing evidence that the Battle of Brunanburh was fought on the Wirral. The battle was believed to be the largest battle ever fought on English soil. The indications are that the battle was held on a battlefield that may have run from Clatterbridge to Prenton. We are extremely fortunate that much of this area is largely unbuilt. If and when the historic and scientific worlds accept that Wirral is the battle site this will be an enormous boost for the peninsula. To have built modern housing estates on the site in the meantime would be a travesty.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245320	LPIO-3230	no	The Council has failed to have proper regard to the impacts upon heritage assets, particularly development that is within and/or adjacent to a conservation area. Parcel 6.15 in the Green Belt Review has been proposed for release under Option 2A in the Plan. Parcel 6.15 is an area of open land partially within and substantially adjacent to Caldys Conservation Area. Any proposed development will clearly have an impact on the setting of the Conservation Area. We have very significant concerns that the Plan could lead to unacceptable impacts on the setting of Caldys Conservation Area.						
1241491	LPIO-3981	no	The Council has failed to have proper regard to the impacts upon heritage assets, particularly development that is within and/or adjacent to a conservation area. Parcel 6.15 in the Green Belt Review has been proposed for release under Option 2A in the Plan. Parcel 6.15 is an area of open land partially within and substantially adjacent to Caldys Conservation Area. Any proposed development will clearly have an impact on the setting of the Conservation Area. We have very significant concerns that the Plan could lead to unacceptable impacts on the setting of Caldys Conservation Area.						
1246030	LPIO-5473	no	The Council has failed to have proper regard to the impacts upon heritage assets, particularly development that is within and/or adjacent to a conservation area. Parcel 6.15 in the Green Belt Review has been proposed for release under Option 2A in the Plan. Parcel 6.15 is an area of open land partially within and substantially adjacent to Caldys Conservation Area. Any proposed development will clearly have an impact on the setting of the Conservation Area. We have very significant concerns that the Plan could lead to unacceptable impacts on the setting of Caldys Conservation Area.						
1246035	LPIO-5481	no	The Council has failed to have proper regard to the impacts upon heritage assets, particularly development that is within and/or adjacent to a conservation area. Parcel 6.15 in the Green Belt Review has been proposed for release under Option 2A in the Plan. Parcel 6.15 is an area of open land partially within and substantially adjacent to Caldys Conservation Area. Any proposed development will clearly have an impact on the setting of the Conservation Area. We have very significant concerns that the Plan could lead to unacceptable impacts on the setting of Caldys Conservation Area.						
1241096	LPIO-8384	yes	Protecting local heritage is important for local communities and visitors alike. Promoting heritage to visitors will encourage tourism and thus income to the area. This is something that could be much developed in West Kirby, building on the work done by the Charles Dawson Museum, and developing a more extensive history trail, taking into account the Grade 2 listed building of St Bridget's Church and the war memorial., as well as the Ring o' Bells pub and other buildings in the West Kirby Old Village Conservation area. An application has been made to have the tithe barn in Rectory Field (dating from 17th century) to also be listed.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684262	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661944				
1241412	LPIO-154	yes	Partially, In potential green belt developments there should be every effort made to ensure that a dig is done to see if there is any historical importance such as anywhere near already identified historic areas as settlements expanded and we should not lose anything of importance by building on these areas.						
1248463	LPIO-23745		Paragraph 185 of the NPPF states that Plans should set out a positive strategy for the conservation and enhancement of the historic environment. Paragraph 36 states that Plans are only 'sound' where the strategy is justified "taking into account the alternatives and based on proportionate evidence". The Plan proposes to allocate Parcel 6.15 under Green Belt Option 2A. Parcel 6.15 is immediately adjacent to the Caldys Conservation Area. It is not clear from the evidence currently provided, that the Council has sufficiently considered the potential impact on the historic environment and whether alternatives have yet been considered.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5657858					
1245146	LPIO-2365	no	Overdevelopment in Heswall is a problem as the centre is rapidly losing its heritage character. Heswall Police Station needs reclassification to avoid demolition and building of yet another block of flats.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248567 (Historic England)	LPIO-24526		One of the principal objectives of planning under the NPPF is the conservation of heritage assets for the quality of life they bring to this and future generations. Conservation means maintaining what is important about a place and improving this where it is desirable. It is not a passive exercise. It requires a Plan for the maintenance and use of heritage assets and for the delivery of development within their setting that will make a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness. The preferred approach outlined in the consultation does not meet the requirements in the NPPF – for example it only seeks policies that seek to [only] protect heritage assets and each designated conservation area. We would expect the Plan to contain policies that in line with the NPPF and cover all aspects of the historic environment.						
1246596	LPIO-8093	no	Not enough protection.						
1241868	LPIO-6872	no	Non-designated heritage assets should have the same legal protection as designated assets.						
1242554	LPIO-9755	no	<p>No. We believe that little strategic thinking has been done for heritage thus far in the development of the local plan. The Heritage Policy rehashes the NPPF with only minor divergence. It fails to present proactive strategic policies to conserve and enhance heritage in Wirral and lacks innovative or supportive policies to promote climate change adaptability, improvements to public realm, and/or strategies to limit adverse impacts to heritage sites.</p> <p>Adaptive use or continued use of a building gives significant carbon savings in terms of embodied energy in the fabric of the building. The focus of this policy will be to enhance the performance of heritage building as much as practicable without damaging their significance.</p> <p>Proposals to enhance the environmental performance of heritage assets will be supported where a sensitive approach to design and specification ensures that the significance of the asset is not compromised by inappropriate interventions.</p> <p>Any works should be undertaken based on a thorough understanding of the building's historic evolution and construction (where these matters relate to the heritage significance of the asset), architectural and historic significance, and demonstration of the building's environmental performance.</p> <p>Applications should be accompanied by an assessment of the building's current fabric and energy performance.</p> <p>For relevant planning applications, details of post construction monitoring in the form of a building monitoring and management strategy will be required to be submitted in order to assess the ongoing impact of the implemented measures on the asset's historic fabric. Monitoring requirements will be proportionate to the significance of the asset and the scale and scope of works undertaken. Where monitoring shows that interventions are caused causing harm to the significance of the asset, appropriate remediation works will be required.</p> <p>Acceptable levels of intervention will vary dependent upon the impact on the significance of the heritage asset in question.</p> <p>Where works would harm the heritage asset's integrity or significance, that harm will be weighed against the public benefit of the proposal.</p> <p>Applications for works to heritage assets will need to demonstrate a thorough understanding of the building in question via the submission of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey of existing construction, to include walls, floors, ceilings and roofs; • Baseline energy consumption data before and after improvements have taken place; • Measured data of existing environmental performance of the building's fabric; • An indication of any national performance standards being targeted as a result of works; and • Description of measures to be implemented in order to achieve the standard. 	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674899	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674898	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674897			

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246651	LPIO-9283	no	Much more use could be made of some of our local heritage, for example we need better signposting and clearer more pleasant walking routes to Birkenhead Priory. There is insufficient publicity and use of some of our great assets such as Hamilton Square and the Birkenhead waterfront.						
1248032	LPIO-18961 1 of 2		<p>MOUNTWOOD CONSERVATION AREA POLICY CS42zc</p> <p>PREFACE</p> <p>A. Special features of the Mountwood Conservation Area which development proposals should preserve or enhance. Reference: Points A-D</p> <p>B. Date of rationale of designation and boundaries. Reference: History and Appraisal. Points 1-4</p> <p>C. Adoption of Character Appraisal and Management Plan Reference: History and Appraisal. Points 2 & 3 No Management Plan</p> <p>D. Description of Character/Subzones of the Area. Reference: Supporting Statements Documents and Policies: Points 1-7</p> <p>E. Description of the buildings</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Listed : Reference: Special features of Mountwood Conservation Area Point C 2. Making a positive contribution to the Area : Reference: Local and National Policies Point 15 <p>F. Local and National Policies Affecting Mountwood Conservation Area: Reference: Points 1-14</p> <p>MOUNTWOOD CONSERVATION AREA POLICY CS42zc The special features of the Mountwood Conservation Area which development proposals should preserve or enhance are:-</p> <p>A. The preservation of the intrinsic charm and character of the Area with uninterrupted views over open countryside across Wirral to the hills of Wales complemented by equally stunning views to the North over the River Mersey to the World Heritage Site on Liverpool Waterfront.</p> <p>B. To ensure that the character and appearance of the Area will not be seriously compromised through the introduction of any high rise or high-density development and the implementation to protect the varied architectural and historic qualities of innumerable properties identified as contributing positively to the Area.</p> <p>C. To preserve the setting and structures of Listed Buildings within the Area – St. Stephens Church, situated in Prenton Lane, Prenton War Memorial, the Merchant Marine Memorial, also in Prenton Lane, and Prenton Reservoir situated in Tower Road.</p> <p>D. To preserve our environment and the natural habitat of many species of birds and wild animals which creates the dominant feature encompassing the whole of the Conservation Area.</p> <p>History and Appraisal</p> <p>It is incredible to imagine that Mountwood has survived the detrimental damage from two World Wars. Cocooned in Ancient Woodland, properties, some of the finest examples of early circa 20th Century domestic architecture, highlighted by Sir Nikoulas Pevsner in his book "Cheshire" and Donald Insall Associates Ltd, Conservation Architects in Chester, have withstood the test of time.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inception took place in 2000. 2. Donald Insall Associates Ltd, Conservation Architects, Chester supported by our own document, produced their Appraisal of the Area in November 2004. 3. Designation of Mountwood as a Conservation Area was granted in September 2005. 4. Wirral Borough Council varied the designation by extending the boundary in June 2007. The roads included:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Golf Links Road, • Prenton Lane (South side), • Pine Walks, • Burrell Road (Part), • Mountwood Road, 						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248032	LPIO-18961 2 of 2		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prospect Road, Acrefield Road (Part), Reservoir Road, Tower Road, Stanley Avenue <p>Conservation Area Status of this unique area of Wirral was created in order to prevent erosion of the historic qualities of the properties and acres of land at the summit of Prenton Hill, dating back as far as the Domesday Book of 1086, when the Earl of Chester, Walter de Vernon held Prenton. Prenton appears to have been one of only three Manors which included Woodland – Mount Wood being a contributing part of the named Ancient Woodland.</p> <p>It is for this reason that the boundary of the Conservation Area encompasses two geographical areas namely Prenton and Bebington – in its entirety as Mount Wood, an attractive Edwardian suburb created amongst existing pinewoods.</p> <p>Supporting Statements, Documents and Policies for reference “Mount Wood’s architecture and landscape give the Area its individuality on a peninsula defined by its long coastline; a place apart with a unique identity.”</p>						
1241661	LPIO-6844	yes	More use could be made of some of our heritage. For example we need better signposting and clearer, more pleasant walking routes from Woodside to Birkenhead Priory. The Monks Ferry car park site should be redesignated as a heritage/amenity asset to protect it. Conservation areas need to be protected. It is shocking that 47 Argyle Street, Birkenhead was recently allowed to be demolished and is now an ugly car park. Recent initiatives to protect Hamilton Square (e.g. removing satellite dishes) are very welcome						
1244681	LPIO-1398	yes	More use could be made of some of our heritage. For e.g. we need better signposting and clearer, more pleasant walking routes to Birkenhead Priory.						
1245346	LPIO-4275	yes	More use could be made of some of our heritage. For e.g. we need better signposting and clearer, more pleasant walking routes to Birkenhead Priory.						
1246006	LPIO-5358		More use could be made of some of our heritage. For e.g. we need better signposting and clearer, more pleasant walking routes to Birkenhead Priory.						
1245794	LPIO-4931	no	More protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation areas. Extension of Lower Heswall Conservation area, as proposed by Heswall Society and residents. Local listing for non-designated heritage assets, eg Heswall police station, thatched cottages in Farr Hall Drive.						
1245100	LPIO-2820	no	More could be made of our Heritage. For example we need much better signposting and cleaner, more pleasant walking routes to Birkenhead Priory.						
1246242	LPIO-11006		More could and should be done.						
1245496	LPIO-5251	yes	More can be done to protect our heritage sites. Do not build near Heritage sites.						
1246724	LPIO-10612	no	More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247196	LPIO-11627		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247214	LPIO-12460		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247492	LPIO-12567		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1240843	LPIO-12731		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247578	LPIO-12917		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247510	LPIO-13042		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1246335	LPIO-13180		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246853	LPIO-13433		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1246852	LPIO-13559		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247746	LPIO-13714		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1242183	LPIO-14031		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247218	LPIO-14126		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247219	LPIO-14231		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247220	LPIO-14327		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247222	LPIO-14455		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247226	LPIO-14545		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247245	LPIO-14643		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1246827	LPIO-14761		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247246	LPIO-15381		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247248	LPIO-15511		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247251	LPIO-15603		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247274	LPIO-15794		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247275	LPIO-15948		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247936	LPIO-16062		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247287	LPIO-16256		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247344	LPIO-16344		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247349	LPIO-16431		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247353	LPIO-16520		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247354	LPIO-16614		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247434	LPIO-16717		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247436	LPIO-16822		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247935	LPIO-16955		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247437	LPIO-17020		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247439	LPIO-17021		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247441	LPIO-17118		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247960	LPIO-17239		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247962	LPIO-17330		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247966	LPIO-17441		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247971	LPIO-17537		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1241726	LPIO-17637		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247979	LPIO-17810		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247980	LPIO-17812		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1245502	LPIO-17921		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247541	LPIO-18034		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247539	LPIO-18140		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1247996	LPIO-18317		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1237857	LPIO-18355		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1245060	LPIO-1934		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1246851	LPIO-21213		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1246918	LPIO-21454		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1246924	LPIO-21455		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1246928	LPIO-21456		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1246920	LPIO-21639		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1246926	LPIO-21640		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1246458	LPIO-25835		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1246459	LPIO-25836		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1245180	LPIO-2794	no	More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1245638	LPIO-4325	yes	More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1244629	LPIO-4987	no	More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1242751	LPIO-655		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1246488	LPIO-7312		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1246594	LPIO-8014		More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1240903	LPIO-8042	no	More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1246605	LPIO-8209	no	More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1241315	LPIO-3324	no	More can be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1242541	LPIO-5675	no	More can be done to preserve and promote our local heritage. The authority should have a list/register of buildings to retain across Birkenhead to ensure heritage and character are retained. This includes, but is not limited to public houses, commercial and residential buildings. There sadly has been instances of developers demolishing buildings that are part of the area's history.						
1243700	LPIO-1534	yes	More can be done to manage and protect heritage sites. They should be linked to the policies regarding tourism.						
1244826	LPIO-2484	yes	More can and should be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1239377	LPIO-9230	no	More can and should be done to protect our heritage sites.						
1246310	LPIO-5982	no	More can and must be done to protect our heritage sites.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1237696	LPIO-4740	yes	Local heritage is, and has been overlooked in recent years. There is such much more potential to bringing people, and therefore economic advantage to Wirral.						
1244896	LPIO-6584	no	We (like other Groups) considers there is much more work to be done to ensure the Local Plan properly considers any possible development in the context of NPPF Section 16: 'Conserving and enhancing the historic environment'. See the uploaded file for Report on Heritage failings and opportunities. It is noted from the detailed policy list in Appendix 9.1 that there will be 32 policies relating to Heritage, so we will interrogate those policies in due course at the Regulation 19 stage when more information is forthcoming (if not published before then). Please note that Heritage should also include such human-natural heritage as pre-Enclosure field systems (as cover 25% of Site 7.27 (SP060)), important hedges (Hedgerow Regulations 1997) and "notable" trees which are of cultural or nature conservation importance to the local community. An example of this is the ancient tree between Irby Hall and the Anchor PH, which features in the iconic view out from the Village across countryside to the Welsh Hills but which one Council Consultant appears to consider it acceptable to fell for an access road (although another comment is that this could create an even busier and more dangerous junction). This is not joined-up thinking.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677065					
1246001	LPIO-5391	no	I would suggest that the Monk's Ferry/Alabama Way car park be redesignated as a heritage site. The car park is an Asset of Community Value and currently used on a daily basis, not only by motorists but by pedestrians (including ramblers and dog-walkers) and cyclists. It could become much more of an asset to the Council if it was marketed sufficiently and appropriately, in conjunction with the two attractions in close proximity to it - Woodside and Birkenhead Priory. The Monk's Ferry/Alabama Way car park is conveniently located between these two sites. Visitors can leave their cars there and take the Riverside Walk down to Woodside. They can also visit the Priory, where additional parking would be of benefit for the various functions now being hosted there. Hamilton Square is also in easy strolling distance. Monk's Ferry/Alabama Way is a pleasant, tree-lined location in its own right, offering a panoramic view across the river to the iconic Liverpool waterfront. The slipway adjoining the car park, given that it is walking distance from the Priory, is very likely the location of the original and famous ferry across the Mersey, operated by the monks. This strong possibility could be pointed out to visitors by means of a sign. Other features of the car park are its attractive terraced design and its height. During the recent storms, water has encroached over the slipway but been unable to make progress due to these features, so flooding has been avoided.						
1238835	LPIO-4049	yes	I partially agree with Council's approach. We have numerous archeological sites situated throughout the borough, and I believe that most of these can be linked to the 937AD Battle of Brunanburgh. I welcome Wirral Archeological society's move into investigating this significantly historic episode and hope that Council do more to protect this and our other heritage assets.						
1246581	LPIO-7657	no	I do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In particular, I do not consider that there is sufficient protection for buildings classed A and B in Heswall's Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans nor for sandstone walls and trees within conservation areas						
1241337	LPIO-10693	no	I do not consider that the Council's approach to heritage is adequate. In Heswall there is insufficient protection for buildings classed as A and B in the Conservation Areas Management and Appraisal Plans, and for sandstone walls and trees within the Conservation areas. I support Heswall Society's request for a Local Listing for non-designated heritage assets (eg Heswall Police Station)						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1238310	LPIO-6200	no	I do not agree. The plan is not reflecting the approach or its heritage policy Arup's greenbelt report did not make any assessment of purpose 4 Preserving the Setting and Special Character of Historic Towns-or heritage. Arup applied no contribution to each parcel for this purpose. WBC had the opportunity to apply some local knowledge regarding heritage value to their site selection process but have failed to do so. Appendix 4.7 table 6 lists proposed greenbelt development sites with archaeological interest, yet they remain on the list. I refer to the WBC Heritage policy 2014 Any Greenbelt Development would contravene this policy. A quote (below) from that document sums up in WBC own words why Green belt should not be developed. WBC should apply the heritage policy to the development of the plan The importance of conserving our natural heritage and biological diversity is becoming increasingly recognised. The natural world is an integral part of our cultural heritage and identity. It is important in defining local character and distinctiveness. It affects the quality of life of the people of Wirral, contributing to our health and wellbeing. A good quality natural environment has a positive impact on house prices and makes high density housing more livable. Our green infrastructure as a whole also provides 'ecosystem services' such as carbon sequestration, flood prevention, maintenance of water quality, micro-climate control and even pollination for our crops In Wirral, the importance of heritage as a driver for regeneration was demonstrated in the Single Regeneration Budget initiatives of the 1990s.						
1241723	LPIO-6947	yes	However, more use could be made of some of our heritage. For e.g. we need better signposting and clearer, more pleasant walking routes to places like Birkenhead Priory.						
1238645	LPIO-3192	yes	However there could be much better signposting and the development of walking routes and cycle ways to heritage sites where possible						
1248567 (Historic England)	LPIO-24513 1 of 2		Historic England has produced a number of good practice advice notes on the historic environment, in particular the Good Practice Advice Note on the Historic Environment and Local Plans (http://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/gpa1-historic-environment-local-plans/), which provides supporting information on good practice in plan-making, and the Historic Environment and Site Allocations in Local Plans (https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/historic-environment-and-site-allocations-in-local-plans/) may be useful in the production of your Plan. The Plan represents a significant opportunity for the protection and enhancement of the historic environment within the area and for highlighting the potential that the historic environment provides for growth and wellbeing. Given the importance of this resource to not just to the region's distinctive character but also to its economy and the social well-being of its communities (and the need for it to be appropriately conserved in line with national policy guidance) that there is a need for it to be considered in developing the strategy for the area. With this wealth of heritage, it is essential therefore that the Plan, as a whole, sets out a robust policy framework for its future management. Given the varied issues and the breadth of the historic environment, we would welcome the production of a heritage topic paper to accompany the Plan. The NPPF requires plans to set out a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment through an appropriate heritage profile within the Plan. This should include taking account of opportunities to draw on the contribution the historic environment makes to the character of the place. To this end there needs to be an appropriate heritage profile within the Plan. The Plan (and its policies) should positively engage with heritage in terms of its potential for place making as well as offering a clear and positive direction that will support the conservation and restoration of heritage at risk assets; the effective management of important assets; and opportunities to maximise public value from the historic environment. In addition there is also an opportunity to promote and foster early engagement in heritage and character early in the policy making and design processes including place making and master planning. It is also important to acknowledge the role that heritage can play in other areas of the Local Plan. Town Centre's are often defined by clusters of heritage assets where policies could promote positively a joined up approach to managing change in these areas to maintain their unique identity and sense of place. Historic England						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248567 (Historic England)	LPIO-24513 2 of 2		acknowledges the challenge of delivering new development whether this is economic, social, residential or cultural to meet the needs of its residents. The populations of our towns and cities are growing and history and culture play a part in the success of these places whether it is supporting its attractiveness for business, tourism or a place to live. It is essential that the Local Plan area's special character is not eroded as it moves forward towards delivering growth. Historic England recognises the need for this future growth and that this needs to be sustainable and that the efficient use of land, which within the Plan area's varied urban and rural places, and their different communities, creates a range of challenges and opportunities. To make the most of the opportunities that the historic environment provides, Historic England would like to actively engage with you in the production of the Plan.						
1247965	LPIO-17354		Heritage. Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1247967	LPIO-17455		Heritage. Whilst conservation areas can be designated outside of the plan making process, it would be useful if the current Local Plan included future, planned conservation areas. I am aware that boundaries and a character analysis was prepared for a new conservation area called Noctorum Ridge, in 2007. This did not progress due to financial implications for preparing the relevant documentation, rather than for any issues on the merits of the proposed conservation area. Local planning authorities are under a positive legal duty to assess their area and review it from time to time, to consider whether areas are suitable for designation, or to remain designated (S.69 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990), and the Local Plan would provide added weight to the intention. I request that the previously proposed Noctorum Ridge conservation area, which did not progress, should be re-visited as part of the Local Plan process, and designation supported. I would be grateful if you would provide me with updates on the issues raised in the above comments.						
1245501	LPIO-5172	yes	Heritage sites should also include ancient woodland, agricultural zones and common areas, these open spaces should be retained as part of Wirral's heritage much in the same was as ancient sand stone building or industrial building.						
1246402	LPIO-6490	yes	Heritage and heritage areas should be protected.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248113	LPIO-19547		<p>General Notes / Comments</p> <p>(i) Recognising the nationally and internationally important conservation areas The heritage section of the Local Plan should give greater focus to identifying those conservation areas of national, even international, importance. It is suggested that the following are such nationally and internationally important conservation areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Birkenhead Park: the text within Policy CS42f sets out the national and international case; - Hamilton Square: policy CS42e explains its importance in that it is the largest square outside London composed of Grade I listed buildings; and - Port Sunlight: the case for the international reputation is made in Policy CS42i. <p>Therefore, as a matter of presentation it is suggested that these three conservation areas are identified as particularly significant and should be introduced at the beginning of the listing of conservation areas with a general statement as to why they are so important.</p> <p>(ii) Minimising the future impact of tall buildings on Birkenhead Park If the future development of new tall buildings within sight of Birkenhead Park are likely to be a threat in harming the significance of the heritage assets there should be a separate tall buildings policy in the Local Plan.</p> <p>Regarding the draft Local Plan's Policy CS12 which refers to Wirral Waters, it is suggested adding within the paragraph beginning 'Detailed planning applications for each element...' an extra numbered item (after no.8) stating the following: 'ensure that the impact of any tall buildings will not cause harm to views from Birkenhead Park Conservation Area'.</p>						
1245086	LPIO-6986	no	Far more should be done to protect our historic sites. In Britain we have a bad record of looking after many of them & in the past have allowed totally inappropriate development to damage or destroy them. Many of the undesignated historic assets should receive protection.						
1245713	LPIO-5134	yes	Ensure protection of our Heritage sites.						
1237574	LPIO-26669 1 of 2		<p>Development within Conservation Areas</p> <p>Development within conservation areas, or affecting their setting, will only be permitted where the proposal is of high-quality design and preserves or enhances the character or appearance of the conservation area or its setting. Development which harms elements which make a crucial or positive contribution to the significance of a conservation area, or its setting will not be permitted, unless it can be demonstrated that public benefits outweigh the harm.</p> <p>Explanation</p> <p>The Council has a duty to declare as Conservation Areas those areas of Wirral that have special architectural or historic interest, the character of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance. The Council also has a duty to periodically review its Conservation Areas. As a result, Conservation Area boundaries can change over time, and new Conservation Areas may be designated.</p> <p>When determining planning applications affecting Conservation Areas, the Council must pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of that area.</p> <p>This policy reflects the Council's commitment to managing Wirral's Conservation Areas positively. It seeks to make sure that they preserve their character and appearance so that changes arising from development preserve and, where possible, enhance the importance of the features which give the Conservation Area its value. The suite of policy guidance referred to above sets out how this should be achieved.</p> <p>The Council is particularly concerned about the cumulative impact of relatively minor works – including works or development which does not usually require planning permission. Many of the buildings which are defined as making a positive contribution to the character of their conservation area have only very limited protection via the system of planning controls. This process of incremental change can sometimes have a detrimental impact on their appearance and character.</p>						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1237574	LPIO-26669 2 of 2		The Council will consider use of 'Article 4 Direction' powers to restrict the scope of 'permitted development rights' if appropriate in these instances. 3 Comments on individual Conservation Area Statements Policy CS42d - Bidston Village Conservation Area						
1237574	LPIO-14889 1 of 2		<p>Conservation Areas</p> <p>The Oxton Society is pleased to see that the Plan will contain individual policy statements for each of the Wirral's 26 Conservation Areas. We feel that this reflects the important role that such areas play in the wider spectrum of heritage assets that characterise the peninsula and add to its attractiveness as a place to live, work and invest. The draft policy statement for the Oxton Conservation Area appeared to be largely a simple rehash of what was in the Unitary Development Plan 2000 and therefore needs to be updated and more focused on the post 2020 period of the Plan. This is set out below. Policy CS42g - Oxton Village Conservation Area</p> <p>The special features of the Oxton Conservation Area which development proposals should preserve or enhance are: (i) The locational diversity of its architectural and historic interest as reflected in its distinctive character zones (ii) The essential unifying features of the landscape and street scene throughout the area, in particular the extensive canopy of mature trees and historic sandstone boundary walls (iii) The character and appearance of the large number of buildings identified as making a positive contribution to the character of the conservation area because of their architectural quality or historic interest</p> <p>New development within garden areas will only be permitted if it can be demonstrated that its scale, form and design will preserve or enhance the setting of the parent and adjacent buildings and the historic character of the immediate locality. Development proposals which would result in the loss of character due to unsympathetic alterations or replacement of period features or the use of inappropriate materials will not be permitted. "Within the village centre development proposals must demonstrate that they will not generate environmental impacts likely to harm the mixed retail, business and residential character of the centre and that the design of street elevations and shop fronts will preserve and enhance its character. Oxton Village Conservation Area was designated in April 1979. Its boundaries were drawn to reflect the extent of the early Victorian commuter settlement which developed over the summit of Oxton Hill during the nineteenth century. The variety of period buildings, ranging from terraces, stone-built cottages and detached stuccoed villas in extensive grounds, give the Area a character unique within Birkenhead. This character is reinforced by details such as elevational treatments, window styles and ornate cast-iron porches, as well as the overall setting of the Area with its narrow roads, lanes and paths, sandstone walls, "cottage" gardens and extensive tree cover. A Conservation Area Appraisal (2010) and Management Plan (2011) have been adopted by the Council and, in accordance with Policy CS1B, will be important material considerations in the implementation of this policy. The Appraisal refers to the surprising degree of diversity of character within the Conservation Area and defines this in terms of sub-zones which inter-relate and contrast to contribute to the character of the Conservation Area as a whole. The sub-zones are: · Village retail centre · Oxton Hill · Glenmore Road and Templemore Road · Columbia Road, Shrewsbury Road, Village Road and Alton Road · Rathmore Road, Talbot Road and Village Road (West) Further to the recommendations in the Appraisal the Conservation Area was extended in 2012 to incorporate four additional areas: Normanston Road/Derwent Road; Birch Road; Village Road (part) and Wellington Road; Talbot Road, Mill Hill and Ingestre Road. In addition to 35 Listed Buildings the Appraisal identifies the large number of historic buildings that retain all or most of their original character and which make a positive contribution to the character of the Conservation Area. The Appraisal identifies priorities for specific types of development which, in order to ensure the preservation of the character of these buildings, need to be brought under planning control through an "Article 4 Direction". The loss of character due the cumulative impact of inappropriate alterations to period buildings and boundary walls is one of the main threats identified in the Appraisal. The extensive Oxton Hill zone at the centre of the Conservation Area is characterised by large villas in generous plots with extensive tree cover.</p>						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1237574	LPIO-14889 2 of 2		The Character Appraisal identifies unsympathetic development within garden areas as a significant threat in this zone. This includes inappropriate forms of development, such as bungalows, and poor design in terms of location, siting and boundary treatment. Strict adherence to the principles set out in Policy CS1B will prevent further harm. A mix of business, retail, food and drink and residential uses contributes to the vibrancy of the village centre. In recent years the balance has shifted towards an "evening economy" of restaurants, bars and hot food take-aways. This change can be accommodated providing that it does not create cumulative environmental impacts which harm the vitality of the centre and residential amenity in particular. The Management Plan will be updated and published as a Supplementary Planning Document to provide more detail on the actions and policy criteria required to implement this policy, including a framework for consultation on the making of an Article 4 Direction.						
1246348	LPIO-7375		Clearly our heritage assets should be protected. I do not know if they have the full protection by the council that they should have. People are drawn to older, more organic, mellow buildings, rather than sharp geometric structures. Whilst it is not a listed building wonder what will happen to the old police station in Heswall.						
1247400	LPIO-26574 1 of 2		<p>C: Charges Register This register contains any charges and other matters that affect the land.</p> <p>1 (25.10.2004) A Conveyance of the land in this title and other land dated 23 July 1852 made between (1) HW and others (Commissioners) and (2)JB contains covenants details of which are set out in the schedule of restrictive covenants hereto.</p> <p>2 (12.06.2017) REGISTERED CHARGE dated 2 May 2017.</p> <p>3 (12.06.2017) Proprietor:</p> <p>Schedule of restrictive covenants</p> <p>1 The following are details of the covenants contained in the Conveyance dated 23 July 1852 referred to in the Charges Register:-COVENANT by the said JB for himself his heirs executors administrators and assigns with the said Commissioners and their successors that no buildings should be erected on the said parcel of land thereinbefore expressed to be thereby granted or on any part thereof other than blocks or rows of houses as shewn upon the said plan drawn upon the third skin of the abstracting Indenture with the stables coachhouses and other outbuildings and conveniences suitable thereto respectively and that before the erection of any building the proposed sites and elevations of the same should be submitted to and approved of by the said Commissioners of their Surveyor for the time being PROVISIO that if the his heirs or assigns should desire instead of the said rows or blocks of houses to erect on the said piece of land thereinbefore expressed to be thereby granted or any part thereof one or more single or double detached Villa or Villas he or they should be at liberty so to do provided that the plan for laying out the same parcel or parcels of land for such Villa or Villas be submitted to and approved of by the said Commissioners or their Surveyor as aforesaid And further that the residue of the said piece or parcel of land not used as the site of any such building should be laid out as ornamental pleasure ground or otherwise according to plans to be submitted to and approved by the said Commissioners or their successors for the time being and that the ornamental pleasure grounds so laid out should for ever afterwards be kept in good order and condition as such by the said JB his heirs and assigns and that in case he or they should omit for six calendar months after the completion of such building or buildings as aforesaid to lay out the said residue of the said land as ornamental pleasure ground or should at any time or times thereafter omit to keep the said ornamental pleasure ground in good order and condition as such then and in any such case and when and so often as the same should happen the said Commissioners should be at liberty to cause the same to be laid out or put into and kept in good order and condition as the case might require and to recover the expense thereof from the said JB his heirs or assigns and that no building to be erected on the said piece of land marked in the said plan as Lot 35 should project or extend towards the said Park further from the external railing of the said Park than 190 feet and that so far as concerns the site of blocks or rows of houses to be erected on any part of the said piece or parcel of land thereby granted and conveyed or</p>						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247400	LPIO-26574 2 of 2		intended so to be their distance from the outer railing should not be less than 12 feet respectively and that the external walls of every villa erected on the said parcel of land and the stables coachhouses and outbuildings thereto and the elevation of every row or block of houses erected on the said parcel of land marked on the said plan as Lot 35 facing the park and of every row or block facing the external railing and the return ends the backs of the end houses of such rows or blocks be of white stone equal in quality and appearance to the best Storeton stone or ornamental brickwork of either the Early English, the Elizabethan or the Tudor style with stone dressing and quoins AND that the rows or blocks of houses to be erected on the said parcel of land marked on the said plan as Lot 36 should be of such stone as aforesaid and be constructed with two fronts and that the principal or main entrance of such rows or blocks of houses erected on Lot 36 be on the side of such rows or blocks of houses facing the external public road there bounding the said Park And as to all rows or blocks or houses erected on the said parcel of land marked as Lot 35 such principal or main entrance should be in the discretion of the said JB his heirs and assigns on either side thereof but so that all such principal or main entrances in any one of the said last mentioned blocks or rows except the end houses should be on the same side thereof And further that no entrance or opening of any kind to the said parcel of land thereinbefore expressed to be thereby granted should at any time thereafter be made through the inner railing of the said Park as shown on the said Plan without the previous consent of the said Commissioners and no such entrance or opening or another communication should at any time be made through the outer railing except by the permission of the said Commissioners and subject to their approval of the plans for all gates and gateposts for that purpose						
1242093	LPIO-292	yes	But how does the Council's plan to protect heritage assets, especially those at risk, tally with its housing plans for demolishing the art deco facade of the New Brighton Amusement Arcade (SHLAA 4086); demolishing the old Victorian bank near Seacombe ferry (HLA 103100) or not protecting Gibson House (old Mariners' Home, SHLAA 2006) from being overwhelmed by 3 blocks of flats being built behind it - which will also impact on the view from the promenade? But nice to see the importance of Brunanburgh acknow						
1246624	LPIO-9492	yes	But fear this is lip service - just look at Saughall Massie fire station, built on greenbelt on the border of conservation area						
1246544	LPIO-10710	yes	But better use could be made of some of our heritage, eg Birkenhead Priory, and Industrial Heritage.(It was a pity to lose the collection of ships which used to beat the West Float)						
1248152	LPIO-24096		Bromborough Village Conservation Area - There have been several instances of clear breaches of planning policy within the Conservation Area. Much delayed retrospective planning applications and lack of enforcement has led to some unpleasant situations. The length of time taken by the Enforcement Team to respond to these breaches is unacceptable. This must be clarified and rectified and, if necessary, the Enforcement team expanded, within the context of the Local Plan. An Article 4 Direction, as recommended in the Appraisal and Management Plan for Bromborough Village and which has been adopted by the Council, must be implemented.						
1248152	LPIO-24097		Bromborough Pool Village Conservation Area - Although already subject to an Article 4 Direction the recently built and totally intrusive dwellings that were inexplicably granted planning permission have been a shock both to the many visitors and to the residents of this pioneering industrial village. Bromborough Pool, built in 1854, was one of the very earliest Workers' Industrial Villages in the country and very much ahead of its time. Bromborough Court House, its bank and ditch and Fishponds is a Scheduled Ancient Monument Site. This has recently been subject to professional archaeological work approved by Historic England. The finds have largely confirmed that which was already known from early documents, maps, and from invaluable accounts from families who had lived there. The latest planning application now allows a public footpath on the site together with the removal of the protective railings. We would have preferred otherwise but we have to trust that there will be no unintended consequences which would jeopardise the integrity of the site and which may damage it permanently.						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247400	LPIO-26575		And that the inner and outer railing (including the gates, gate piers and plinth stones) should be kept in good repair by the said JB his heirs and assigns and should be painted by him and them at all such times (but not oftener than once in every three years) and of such colour as should be determined by the said Commissioners and that the railing or other the partition between the said parcel of land thereinbefore expressed to be thereby granted and the land adjoining thereto should be such only as should have been previously approved of by the said Commissioners and should be made and kept up by the said JB his heirs and assigns jointly with the owner or owners of this adjoining land and that previous to the erection of any stabling or other outbuildings on the said parcel of land provision should be made to the satisfaction of the said Commissioners for the effectual concealment of such stabling and outbuildings from the Park by planting or the same should be rendered ornamental And further that the drainage of the said parcel of land should be under the superintendence of the said Commissioners and no alterations or obstruction should be made in the drainage of the said land or any part thereof without the express consent in writing of the said Commissioners under the hand of their Clerk or Surveyor previously obtained And that if the said JB his heirs and assigns should keep in good and sufficient repair the roads (if any) and drains upon and under the said parcel of land and that no building which should be erected thereon should be used for any other purpose than a dwellinghouse or a stable coachhouse or other outbuildings to such dwellinghouse and that no cellar should be used for habitation separately from the dwellinghouse to which the same should belong And also that no trade business or manufacture whatever should ever be carried on exercised or done upon any part of the said piece of land and premises And lastly that he the said JB his heirs and assigns should and would observe perform fulfil and keep all the covenants restrictions reservations and exceptions respectively contained in the thereinbefore recited Indentures and on the part of the said Commissioners their successors and assigns to be observed performed and kept so far as the same respectively affect the said parcel of land thereinbefore expressed to thereby granted and should and would save harmless and indemnified the said Commissioners and their successors and their lands and tenements goods and chattels from all consequences of the non-performance of the same covenants restrictions reservations and exceptions or any of them by him the said JB his heirs or assigns.						
1246612	LPIO-8409	yes	And that is why considering building new houses adjacent to a conservation area is so incredulous						
1248032	LPIO-18963 1 of 3		<p>An estate, comprising a large piece of land in this beautiful spot, has lately been purchased – some of the most beautiful sites it is possible to find anywhere.” Liverpool Daily Post. July 10th 1899</p> <p>1. As added protection, Donald Insall defined a “Buffer Zone” which abuts the designated boundary, namely:- Prenton Lane – NorthSide; Storeton Road – West Side Greenbelt land to the South of Stanley Avenue (SP030) encompassing Prenton Golf Club converging at a point with Walker Park, now awarded Green Flag Status, returning to Prenton Lane. WBC UDP Heritage and Conservation Policy CH2 11.8 states – protection also specifically extends planning control beyond the immediate boundary of the designated area to include the “setting” of the Conservation Area. This will, in particular, be taken to include proposals within the immediate locality or street scene which form part of important views into or out of the Area or which because of their nature or scale would have a direct effect on the character and environment of the designated zone.</p> <p>2. Huge significance referred to by Donald Insall stresses that:- “Greenery predominates throughout the Area and plays an extremely important part in defining its character.” “The Woodland setting has and must be maintained.” Protection of Ancient Woodlands If a tree in a Conservation Area is removed, uprooted or destroyed in contravention of Section 211 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, the landowner has a duty to plant another of an appropriate size and species at the same place as soon as he or she</p>						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248032	LPIO-18963 2 of 3		<p>reasonably can. The same duty applies if a tree in a Conservation Area is removed because it is dead or presents immediate risk of serious harm. The duty attaches to subsequent owners of the land. Paragraph 121 Ref. ID 36-121-20140306</p> <p>Enforcement of such regulations is of paramount importance combined with existing Tree Protection Regulations within Conservation Areas supported by Wirral Initiative on Trees and the National Tree Council.</p> <p>3. The Wirral and Cheshire Badger Group have on their register an area of Special Biological Importance within Mountwood Conservation Area. Local Wildlife Site UDP NC 5,6,7/55.</p> <p>A Registered Sett flanked by an area of land between properties in Mountwood Road, Pine Walks and Burrell Road.</p> <p>The Badgers from this sett forage in surrounding gardens, travelling as far as Barnston. They are protected by law – Protection of Badgers Act 1992. This wildlife corridor MUST be protected.</p> <p>Renowned Eminent Architects of the Arts and Crafts Period who practised within the Area. William Glen Dobie, Robert Rothwell, Frank Gray Wallis, Hutchins and Shields, Deacon and Horsborough, H.V. Gould, Stanley Barnes Briggs, Wolstenholme and Thornley. Sir Arnold Thornley designed 8 properties within the Area; residences in Mountwood Road, Pine Walks, Burrell Road and Golf Links Road. (One complemented by a Gertrude Jekyll garden). The Homestead in Mountwood Road he designed for himself and his family. He also designed Stormont in Northern Ireland, Wallasey Town Hall, The Port of Liverpool Building at the World Heritage Site on Liverpool Waterfront facing the River</p> <p>4. The core of the Mountwood Conservation Area can be characterised by features of steeply pitched roofs, low eaves, small horizontally grouped windows, tall decorative chimneys and timberwork. Later properties display an eclectic mix of styles derived from the Arts and Crafts period but are smaller and more modestly appointed.</p> <p>5. Development proposals which contravene and would result in the loss of character due to unsympathetic alterations or replacement of period features or use of inappropriate materials, will not be permitted.</p> <p>6. The retention and maintenance of existing (and other) architectural features of boundary structures/treatments including sandstone walls, pillars, gateposts, fences and hedges, must be enforced, flanked by the uniformity of street furniture.</p> <p>7. Policy CS43 y, in particular, seeks to preserve the consistency of scale, massing and separation between buildings and the individual designs approach to materials, variety and detailing.</p> <p>Local and National Policies Affecting Mountwood Conservation Area</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Policy CH01 2. Mountwood Conservation Area Appraisal 3. Core Strategy Policy (Settlement Area 4) 4. Landscape Assessment for Mountwood 5. NPPF Section 16 – Conserving and Enhancing the Historic Environment 6. Greenbelt Assessment – GB-2, 3, 4, 5 & 8- as set out in the UDP Section 7 7. Historic Environment Record 8. Trees in Conservation Areas 9. Policy CS 1B – Achieving Sustainable Places by Design 10. Policy CS 1C – Achieving Sustainable Places by Designs, Landscaping, Trees & Hedgerows 11. Policy CS 42 – Protection of Heritage Assets 12. Policy CS 42c – Demolition Control within Conservation Areas 13. Policy CS40 – Transport (5) 14. Listed Buildings and Monuments 						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248032	LPIO-18963 3 of 3		<p>15. Donald Insall Associates Ltd, Conservation Architects, Chester would indicate, in our Appraisal, that over 50% (80 out of 159) of properties, are not currently protected, but contribute positively to the Area, enhanced by the local Listed Buildings.</p> <p>16. An Article 4 Direction removes Permitted Development Rights and imposes greater restrictions; a necessity in Mountwood Conservation Area, accompanied by a proposal to extend the Conservation Area boundary into the "Buffer Zone"; a varied designation.</p> <p>17. A COMPREHENSIVE MANAGEMENT PLAN IS DEEMED STRATEGICALLY IMPORTANT.</p>						
1244720	LPIO-4775	yes	All heritage within Wirral should be protected. Not quite sure what some terms mean. "Sustainability" is not meaningful unless in context						
1245472	LPIO-8974	yes	Agree strongly						
1238379	LPIO-2916	no	Again the unrealistic housing need figure which threaten many Green Belt sites which are important heritage sites						
1237882	LPIO-8475	no	Absolutely not! The importance of conservation areas and heritage such as Caldy are not being taken in account when evaluating parcels of green belt for release for developing. This seems VERY low down WBC list of importance BUT will have an important impact on tourism and place desirability in the future. This is such a shame as the relatively high prices commanded by housing in heritage areas will be impacted by the loss of WBC interest in heritage and will lead to these areas being less appealing to future residents. Cheshire may become a more desirable and less expensive option for many people in the future who are interested in preserving heritage.						
1237574	LPIO-26667		<p>WIRRAL LOCAL PLAN 2020: COMMENTS OF CONSERVATION AREAS WIRRAL ON DEVELOPMENT AFFECTING CONSERVATION AREAS</p> <p>1. Preface Conservation Areas Wirral (CAW) has responded to WBCs statements on heritage assets and, in particular, on development affecting conservation areas. We have consulted with the numerous local societies and groups and the comments below reflect their views. In addition, CAW has looked at each of the conservation area statements and where there is no local group or functioning society has suggested amendments. This submission therefore covers most of the ground under this heading, but CAW also supports a number of individual submissions from those Conservation Areas with the capacity and expertise to submit comments in their own right. Therefore, the submissions from the following seven areas have the support of CAW: Oxtan CA, Heswall CA, Eastham CA, Birkenhead Park CA, Mountwood CA, Saughall Massie CA, Port Sunlight CA</p> <p>2. Comment on Overarching Policies: draft proposed by CAW</p> <p>Wirral has a wealth of heritage assets which play an important role in its attractiveness. Their protection and enhancement are therefore paramount and the NPPF requires local planning authorities to set out a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment within the Local Plan. This recognises that heritage assets are an irreplaceable resource and should be conserved in a manner appropriate to their significance. Conservation Areas are an emblematic</p> <p>There are currently 26 varied Conservation Areas located throughout the Wirral. They range from the globally recognised Port Sunlight Village and Birkenhead Park to the grandeur of Hamilton Square and the substantial dwellings of Meols Drive and Wellington Road.</p> <p>At the centre of the policy for these areas is the need to preserve their overall character. This means it is necessary to protect the character of each area's individual historic features. In some areas this reflects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the interplay between the buildings, the walls and treescape (Oxtan and Lower Heswall) • the rural setting of villages and their open field environment (Saughall Massie and Thurstaston) • the village green settings and traditional buildings (Thornton Hough and Frankby) 						

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1237574	LPIO-26668		<p>Nearly all 26 Conservation Areas have been the subject of character appraisals and related management plans plus supplementary planning guidance and these are listed in an Appendix to the plan. It is the intention of the Council to complete a comprehensive coverage of all these areas to ensure they have up to date policy frameworks which clearly define their character and its constituent elements. This suite of policy guidance sources is also supplemented by other related policies which have a bearing on these areas:</p> <p>Policy CS1B-Achieving sustainable places by design</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establishes the principle that development should “enhance the character, quality and distinctiveness of the area” • Establishes that “character appraisals or area-specific action or management plans” will be material considerations. The explanatory text expands on this: “Conservation Area Appraisals and Management Plans approved by the local planning authority will be important material considerations for proposals likely to affect the character or setting of the Borough's designated Conservation Areas”. • Recognises the need to protect the heritage and historic value of individual buildings • Recognises the need to protect unifying design features including gates, walls, landscape having regard to the materials used • Establishes the principle that extensions to existing properties should be sympathetic to the design of the parent building • Note – this policy may need to be amended in the light of the recently published national guidance on Design. The explanatory text refers to a proposed SPD on local design standards. <p>Policy CS1C- Achieving sustainable places by design- landscaping, trees and hedgerows</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requires that all proposals for full planning permission are accompanied by landscaping schemes that have been incorporated at an early stage in the evolution of the proposals • Identifies elements that should, where relevant, be incorporated including established trees, stone walls and piers, gates, street furniture • Establishes that when felling of mature trees is justified in exceptional circumstances suitable replacement planting will be required • Establishes the special protection to be afforded to designated (including CAs and Listed Buildings) and non-designated (including local listing and other buildings identified as having heritage significance) heritage assets • Requires evidence in the form of Heritage Impact Assessment for any proposal affecting a heritage asset (presumably what is currently termed Heritage Statement) • Sets out the tests in terms public benefits that would have to be passed before development which could harm a heritage asset could be permitted. In accordance with NPPF guidance on this issue. <p>Policy CS42c-Demolition control within Conservation Areas</p> <p>Establishes the principle that any proposal to demolish a building in a CA must be accompanied by detailed plans for redevelopment that would enhance the character of the area following demolition</p>						
1241065	LPIO-10632	yes							
1243890	LPIO-1151	yes							
1244900	LPIO-1431	yes							
1245343	LPIO-1515	yes							
1244901	LPIO-1573	yes							
1241669	LPIO-198	yes							
1245112	LPIO-2197	yes							

Person ID	ID	Question 8.17 - Do you agree with the Council's approach to how Heritage is dealt with in the Local Plan?	Question 8.17a - If you answered No, what what would you change and why? Do you have an alternative approach? If Yes, you can make comments here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1237827	LPIO-3836	yes							
1245288	LPIO-3905	yes							
1240939	LPIO-4185	yes							
1245416	LPIO-4656	yes							
1239571	LPIO-5286	yes							
1245954	LPIO-5533	yes							
1246159	LPIO-5608	yes							
1237647	LPIO-721	yes							
1246592	LPIO-7850	yes							
1239410	LPIO-847	yes							
1246598 (Hoylake Vision)	LPIO-8737	yes							
1246631	LPIO-8980	yes							
1246667	LPIO-9109	yes							
1245034	LPIO-9239	yes							
1246202	LPIO-9256	yes							
1245289	LPIO-9330	yes							
1246678	LPIO-9398	yes							
1245833	LPIO-9615	yes							