

Person ID	ID	Question 7.4 - Do you agree with our approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks?	Question 7.4a - If you answered No, what what would you change and why? If Yes, comment here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1246763	LPIO-10383	yes	Our Client will support improved digital infrastructure measures within new developments in principle, again subject to viability. All the proposed units at Bromborough Wharf are to be connected to the fibre optic network, to allow residents to connect to super-fast broadband. However, in order to utilise these connections service providers would have to confirm the access to infrastructure in order to connect the Site to the broadband network, and our Client encourages the Council to work proactively with digital infrastructure providers to extend provision across the Wirral.						
1244412	LPIO-1051	yes							
1246724	LPIO-10550	yes							
1246778	LPIO-10580	no	Disagree with the development of 5G						
1241337	LPIO-10749	yes							
1246242	LPIO-10987		This is a requirement, however it must not be to the detriment of our environment.						
1243890	LPIO-1133	yes							
1247196	LPIO-11609		Agree in part						
1247214	LPIO-12439		Agree in part						
1247492	LPIO-12538		Agree in part						
1240843	LPIO-12700		Agree in part						
1247578	LPIO-12899		Agree in part						
1246335	LPIO-13160		Agree in part						
1244681	LPIO-1320	no	I support the installation of good fibre-optic systems, but not 5G until health concerns have been adequately addressed.						
1246853	LPIO-13414		Agree in part						
1246852	LPIO-13537		Agree in part						
1247746	LPIO-13693		Agree in part						
1242183	LPIO-14012		Agree in part						
1247218	LPIO-14106		Agree in part						
1247219	LPIO-14210		Agree in part.						
1247220	LPIO-14308		Agree in part.						
1247222	LPIO-14437		Agree in part.						
1247226	LPIO-14527		Agree in part.						
1247245	LPIO-14622		Agree in part.						
1246827	LPIO-14743		Agree in part.						
1243700	LPIO-1477	yes	digital infrastructure providers and the support of the expansion of electronic expansion must not impinge on green spaces nor be the cause of the destruction of natural habitat.						
1247246	LPIO-15362		Agree in part.						
1247248	LPIO-15491		Agree in part.						
1247251	LPIO-15585		Agree in part.						
1247252	LPIO-15672		Agree in part.						

Person ID	ID	Question 7.4 - Do you agree with our approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks?	Question 7.4a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? If Yes, comment here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247274	LPIO-15774		Agree in part.						
1247275	LPIO-15915		Agree in part.						
1247936	LPIO-16044		Agree in part.						
1247344	LPIO-16326		Agree in part.						
1247349	LPIO-16413		Agree in part.						
1247353	LPIO-16501		Agree in part.						
1247354	LPIO-16595		Agree in part.						
1244969	LPIO-1666	yes							
1247434	LPIO-16692		Agree in part.						
1247436	LPIO-16803		Agree in part.						
1247935	LPIO-16857		Agree in part.						
1247437	LPIO-16984		Agree in part.						
1247439	LPIO-16985		Agree in part.						
1247441	LPIO-17100		Agree in part.						
1247960	LPIO-17221		Agree in part.						
1247962	LPIO-17308		Agree in part.						
1247966	LPIO-17417		Agree in part.						
1247971	LPIO-17518		Agree in part.						
1241726	LPIO-17619		Agree in part.						
1247979	LPIO-17766		Agree in part.						
1247980	LPIO-17767		Agree in part.						
1245502	LPIO-17907		Agree in part.						
1247541	LPIO-18006		Agree in part.						
1247539	LPIO-18114		Agree in part.						
1238043	LPIO-1818	yes	The infrastructure for digital etc should not impact on the environment e.g the removal of trees to facilitate lines of connection etc.						
1247996	LPIO-18284		Agree in part.						
1237857	LPIO-18337	yes	Agree						
1245060	LPIO-1897		Agree in part						
1237833	LPIO-19858		The HBF generally consider that digital infrastructure is an important part of integrated development within an area. However, it should be noted that the inclusion of digital infrastructure such as high-speed broadband and fibre is not within the direct control of the development industry. Service providers are the only ones who can confirm access to infrastructure. The house building industry is fully aware of the benefits of having their homes connected to super-fast broadband and what their customers will demand. The HBF consider that in seeking to provide broadband the Council should work proactively with digital infrastructure providers to extend provision and not rely on the development industry to provide for such infrastructure.						

Person ID	ID	Question 7.4 - Do you agree with our approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks?	Question 7.4a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? If Yes, comment here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1241989	LPIO-20901		No 5G on the Wirral please until a time when enough testing has been carried out to ensure it is safe for humans animals and wildlife.						
1246851	LPIO-21195		Agree in part.						
1246918	LPIO-21397		Agree in part.						
1246924	LPIO-21398		Agree in part.						
1246928	LPIO-21399		Agree in part.						
1246920	LPIO-21603		Agree in part.						
1246926	LPIO-21604		Agree in part.						
1245112	LPIO-2180	yes							
1238379	LPIO-2251	yes							
1248150	LPIO-22587		No 5G on the Wirral please until a time when enough testing has been carried out to ensure it is safe for humans animals and wildlife.						
1248151	LPIO-22697		Halt the roll out of 5G until it has been proven to be safe						
1241016	LPIO-228	yes							
1248311	LPIO-23010		No 5G on the Wirral please until a time when enough testing has been carried out to ensure it is safe for humans animals and wildlife.						
1245100	LPIO-2310	no	I support the installation of good fibre optic systems, but NOT 5G unless the very serious health concerns have been proved wrong. The precautionary principle must be applied here.						
1242185	LPIO-23938	yes	Yes, although the impact of new digital infrastructure should be sensitive to local character and not harm rural landscapes or heritage that are otherwise free of built intrusions.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684263	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5657006			
1244826	LPIO-2459	yes							
1242697	LPIO-24710	yes	In general, yes. The Council should work with Internet Service Providers to secure high download speeds everywhere.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659118	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659119	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659120	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121		
1248749	LPIO-24899		We agree with the Council's approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684847	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684848	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684845			
1248769	LPIO-25018		We agree with the Council's approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659045	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684957	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659039	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659038	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684956	
1248823	LPIO-25122		We agree with the Council's approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674317	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684865	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684849			
1248832	LPIO-25232		We agree with the Council's approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684857	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659562				
1248833	LPIO-25336		We agree with the Council's approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661125	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661100	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661124	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661129		

Person ID	ID	Question 7.4 - Do you agree with our approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks?	Question 7.4a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? If Yes, comment here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248956	LPIO-25414		Agree with the Council's approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677474	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684859				
1246763	LPIO-25425		We will support improved digital infrastructure measures within new developments in principle, again subject to viability. All the proposed units at Bromborough Wharf are to be connected to the fibre optic network, to allow residents to connect to super-fast broadband. However, in order to utilise these connections service providers would have to confirm the access to infrastructure in order to connect the Site to the broadband network, and the X encourage the Council to work proactively with digital infrastructure providers to extend provision across the Wirral.						
1248986	LPIO-25546		We agree with the Council's approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662723	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662725	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662770			
1249015	LPIO-25602	yes	Agree with the Council's approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684897					
1246458	LPIO-25798		Agree in part.						
1246459	LPIO-25799		Agree in part.						
1242950	LPIO-2580	yes	We agree with the approach. We support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new development and support the expansion of electronic communication provision.						
1249116	LPIO-25938		We support a choice of digital infrastructure as well as the expansion of electronic communications but would not support any policy requirement which would render developments unviable or undeliverable and reserve the right to comment when further detail is released at a later stage of the process.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674092	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684836	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674096	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674095	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674093	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684833
1249100	LPIO-25984 1 of 3		Agree with the Council's approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677514	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677512	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684898	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677513	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677509	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684951
1249100	LPIO-25984 2 of 3		Agree with the Council's approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684895	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677508	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677511	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684949	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677516	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677507
1249100	LPIO-25984 3 of 3		Agree with the Council's approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677510					
1249116	LPIO-25993 1 of 2		We support a choice of digital infrastructure as well as the expansion of electronic communications but would not support any policy requirement which would render developments unviable or undeliverable and reserve the right to comment when further detail is released at a later stage of the process.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675698	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675693	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675700	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675692	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675697	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675694
1249116	LPIO-25993 2 of 2		We support a choice of digital infrastructure as well as the expansion of electronic communications but would not support any policy requirement which would render developments unviable or undeliverable and reserve the right to comment when further detail is released at a later stage of the process.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5675696					
1249116	LPIO-26040		We support a choice of digital infrastructure as well as the expansion of electronic communications but would not support any policy requirement which would render developments unviable or undeliverable and reserve the right to comment when further detail is released at a later stage of the process.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684802	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684835	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677041	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5677037	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684804	
1249116	LPIO-26074		We support a choice of digital infrastructure as well as the expansion of electronic communications but would not support any policy requirement which would render developments unviable or undeliverable and reserve the right to comment when further detail is released at a later stage of the process.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674240	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684832	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674256	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684834	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684837	
1245180	LPIO-2754	yes	Agree in part						
1238835	LPIO-2942	yes	Agreed, provided you don't employ Huawei technology.						
1245159	LPIO-3063	yes							

Person ID	ID	Question 7.4 - Do you agree with our approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks?	Question 7.4a - If you answered No, what what would you change and why? If Yes, comment here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245287	LPIO-3154	yes							
1238645	LPIO-3181	yes	To be a space where employers would like to be based then a top class digital infrastructure is imperative.						
1239492	LPIO-325	yes	<p>1. This does need to include "open" providers like OpenReach. Being limited to VirginMedia for FTTP service would be a significant limitation for some people, as the capabilities/levels of service provided may not match their needs.</p> <p>2. This needs to be accelerated across the whole of Wirral, and not just the major centres (Birkenhead, Wallasey). It took far too long to get FTTC in West Kirby and coverage is poor across many areas of West Wirral (Hoylake through to Heswall, etc.) and FTTP is becoming more and more important to allow people to work from home (with the environmental benefits of not having to travel, especially for those many people whose work is outside the Wirral/Liverpool area - travelling to Chester, Warrington, Manchester etc. on a daily basis where great communications infrastructure would enable more to work from home, even if only for one or two days a week).</p>						
1241315	LPIO-3306	yes							
1237944	LPIO-3428	yes							
1245462	LPIO-3636	yes							
1237827	LPIO-3817	yes							
1245288	LPIO-3887	yes							
1245599	LPIO-4060	yes							
1245346	LPIO-4163	no	I support the installation of good fibre-optic systems, but not 5G until technical, health and cost concerns have been adequately addressed.						
1240939	LPIO-4166	yes							
1245638	LPIO-4299	yes	Agree in part unless it has health implications (5G)?						
1237943	LPIO-43	yes	Yes, fully. I live in Spital (near Poulton Primary School) not in the bottom of a deep valley etc and mobile phone signal (on any network) is v poor and broadband speed is at it's max (13gb ps) as confirmed by BT. I beg to differ with your 98.8% statistic. This definitely needs to improve						
1245153	LPIO-4402	yes							
1237667	LPIO-4583	yes							
1245416	LPIO-4650	yes	This must support homes for life and telehealth/telecare approaches.						
1244720	LPIO-4693	no	Not clear about the safety of 5G						
1237696	LPIO-4732	yes							
1244629	LPIO-4790	yes	I agree in part though not convinced things have been thought through.						
1245713	LPIO-5114	yes							
1241065	LPIO-514	yes							
1245501	LPIO-5143	yes							
1239571	LPIO-5277	yes							
1242372	LPIO-5343	yes							
1240383	LPIO-5444	yes	Provided landscapes and heritage sites are treated sensitively.						
1245954	LPIO-5524	yes							

Person ID	ID	Question 7.4 - Do you agree with our approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks?	Question 7.4a - If you answered No, what would you change and why? If Yes, comment here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245984	LPIO-5755		Digital infrastructure can be very power hungry and as such should be restricted to infrastructure with high standards of sustainability.						
1244896	LPIO-5837	yes	Yes, although the impact of new digital infrastructure should be sensitive to local character and not harm rural landscapes, or heritage that are otherwise free of built intrusions.						
1246310	LPIO-5964	yes	I agree in part as long as there is not destruction of farms, fields and open spaces.						
1245767	LPIO-6040	yes	Urgent priority should be given to those parts of the Wirral that have sub-standard mobile and broadband services, e.g Lower Heswall.						
1246345	LPIO-6096	yes	The west of the borough gets poor (or no) reception of North West dedicated TV programmes (reception must be picked up from either Wales or Midlands). The likes of Virgin Media is badly needed here. Mobile phone coverage from some providers is shocking.						
1238310	LPIO-6182	no	Site selections for greenbelt options have already been made without proper consideration of the available digital infrastructure There is no detail on the agreement between WBC and providers to comment on that relates to the options plan The options plan contains no assessment of the existing digital infrastructure available or proposed for the spatial options 2a and 2b. The plan leaves the detail between future developers and digital providers. Only when a developer makes an application will WBC know if the digital infrastructure is available. Virgin Media advertisements tell me I can have superfast broadband in Irby. When I applied to Virgin Media they says it cannot provide it in Irby. Irby bordering Thurstaston has very poor mobile signal Are masts proposed? Where will they be sited? Will this comply with landscape character and sensitivity guidelines and recommendations? None of these issues have been considered in the greenbelt selection process Appendix4.7						
1246161	LPIO-6247	yes							
1242751	LPIO-637		Agree in part. Consideration to "ugly" masts and where masts are positioned.						
1246402	LPIO-6469	yes							
1245086	LPIO-6906		I have a problem with the implementation of 5G networks. 5G has a much shorter range than the current networks and will require a greatly increased number of antennas, base stations & repeaters to allow it to function, most likely having a detrimental effect on the environment. It will be a challenge to provide these services to rural areas.						
1241723	LPIO-6918	yes	I support the installation of good fibre-optic systems, but 5G services could be a slippery slope we may travel. Current UK 5G bands are not much different to 4G but are pulsed (significant) and as services develop we could be in danger of higher frequency bands being allocated which carry far greater concerns. The higher the frequency, the greater the power needed to propagate distance. Also, If we double a set reception distance we have to quadruple the RF power to obtain the same reception as before at this distance. We should all worry about this until health concerns have been adequately addressed. The current SAR regulations are very much out of date.						
1237647	LPIO-705	yes							
1246488	LPIO-7257		Agree in part						
1241958	LPIO-756	yes							
1246592	LPIO-7798	yes							
1246594	LPIO-7934	yes	Agree in part						
1246591	LPIO-7939	yes							
1240903	LPIO-7998	no	This can be achieved without the destruction of greenbelt, fields etc						
1246556	LPIO-8005	no	I disagree that the council should invest and facilitate the roll out 5G without further research and consultation on the health impacts on humans first						
1246605	LPIO-8182	yes	Agree in part						

Person ID	ID	Question 7.4 - Do you agree with our approach to support a choice of digital infrastructure providers for new developments and to support the expansion of electronic communications networks?	Question 7.4a - If you answered No, what what would you change and why? If Yes, comment here.	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1241096	LPIO-8343	yes		https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684262	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661944				
1246612	LPIO-8386	yes							
1237882	LPIO-8456	yes							
1241852	LPIO-8567	yes							
1246598 (Hoylake Vision)	LPIO-8723	yes							
1246202	LPIO-8865	yes							
1240653	LPIO-8898	no	The two chosen partners have poor employment policy and are Tax avoiders. While there are few competitors, the council could promote relationships with more ethical providers.						
1241770	LPIO-8902	no	5G technology is not yet proven to be safe to our health. We must not be committing to this. Installation of this technology will also require destruction of some of our green spaces and mature trees. This is not acceptable and must be ruled out as part of Wirral's tree strategy. The 2 chosen partners, Virgin and Open Reach are both known to have poor employments policy and to operate tax avoidance strategies. Wirral council should looks to other providers for their digital communications.						
1246631	LPIO-8940	yes							
1245034	LPIO-9044	yes							
1246667	LPIO-9052	yes							
1239377	LPIO-9190	yes							
1246651	LPIO-9193	yes	Whilst I do support the installation of good fibre optic systems, I believe that 5G should not be installed until health concerns have been adequately addressed.						
1243448	LPIO-931	yes							
1245289	LPIO-9321	yes							
1246678	LPIO-9375	yes							
1246624	LPIO-9452	yes	Without this your plan is a non starter						
1241495	LPIO-9454	yes							
1242554	LPIO-9696	yes							
1246693	LPIO-9849		I have answered no as I believe that the current environmental damage being caused through 5G felling of trees is a disgusting. However I agree in part as long as there is not destruction of farms, fields and open spaces. Again I would refer the Council back to its position on the climate emergency.						
1237724	LPIO-9893	no	The Local Authority has more than enough on its plate without getting involved in such things. There is adequate competition in the marketplace to ensure that residents have a good choice.						