

Person ID	ID	Question 6.2 - Do you agree with the proposed release of some employment land in Bromborough for housing (as set out in paragraphs 6.21 to 6.24)?	Question 6.2a - Please identify which employment site/s you agree / do not agree to being released for housing and explain why	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245994	LPIO-10004	yes							
1246760	LPIO-10171	yes							
1245044	LPIO-10214	yes	Yes. However the extent of development should be in line with the existing built edge to prevent countryside encroachment or urban sprawl to the south and south west.						
1241065	LPIO-10449	yes							
1246724	LPIO-10504	yes	If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1246331	LPIO-10588	yes	Our Client is supportive of the principle of the proposed release of employment land in Bromborough. However, for the reasons set out in response to Questions above, Peel considers that the Council must consider the further release of employment land where there is no reasonable prospect of delivery during the Plan period and unmet housing needs.						
1241337	LPIO-10758	yes	I agree, provided this land is not considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt.						
1246242	LPIO-10974		Providing this designated employment land in question is not considered Green Belt or impacts on Green Belt then I agree, with the premise, that we look at Brownfield first.						
1243890	LPIO-1120	yes							
1247196	LPIO-11595		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247214	LPIO-12422		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247492	LPIO-12523		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1240843	LPIO-12685		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247578	LPIO-12883		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247510	LPIO-13007		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1246335	LPIO-13143		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1246853	LPIO-13399		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1246852	LPIO-13521		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247746	LPIO-13677		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1242183	LPIO-13997		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247218	LPIO-14090		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247219	LPIO-14193		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247220	LPIO-14294		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						

Person ID	ID	Question 6.2 - Do you agree with the proposed release of some employment land in Bromborough for housing (as set out in paragraphs 6.21 to 6.24)?	Question 6.2a - Please identify which employment site/s you agree / do not agree to being released for housing and explain why	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1241412	LPIO-144	yes							
1243700	LPIO-1442	yes	In so far as it is not greenbelt land and it has already been designated as employment land. It should have no impact on the greenbelt.						
1247222	LPIO-14423		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247226	LPIO-14513		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247245	LPIO-14606		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1246827	LPIO-14729		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247246	LPIO-15348		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247248	LPIO-15470		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247251	LPIO-15570		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247252	LPIO-15658		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247274	LPIO-15758		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247275	LPIO-15894		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247936	LPIO-16024		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247287	LPIO-16224		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247344	LPIO-16312		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247349	LPIO-16399		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247353	LPIO-16487		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1244969	LPIO-1653	yes							
1247354	LPIO-16576		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247434	LPIO-16679		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247436	LPIO-16789		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247437	LPIO-16943		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247439	LPIO-16945		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						

Person ID	ID	Question 6.2 - Do you agree with the proposed release of some employment land in Bromborough for housing (as set out in paragraphs 6.21 to 6.24)?	Question 6.2a - Please identify which employment site/s you agree / do not agree to being released for housing and explain why	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1247441	LPIO-17086		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247960	LPIO-17207		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1245042	LPIO-1729	yes	The continuing collapse of major employers, means that it would be sensible to allocate employment land to housing. With the dearth of public transport and factories it would be wise to develop electronic infrastructure and home working.						
1247962	LPIO-17294		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247966	LPIO-17401		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247971	LPIO-17504		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1241726	LPIO-17605		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247979	LPIO-17736		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247980	LPIO-17737		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1245502	LPIO-17891		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247541	LPIO-17990		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1238043	LPIO-1807	yes							
1247539	LPIO-18098		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1247996	LPIO-18261		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1237857	LPIO-18293		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1245060	LPIO-1867	yes	If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1246851	LPIO-21181		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1246918	LPIO-21358		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1246924	LPIO-21359		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1246928	LPIO-21360		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1246920	LPIO-21575		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1246926	LPIO-21576		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1245112	LPIO-2166	yes							
1238379	LPIO-2229		Provided that this land is already designated a employment land and is NOT greenbelt land or impact on green belt land,						

Person ID	ID	Question 6.2 - Do you agree with the proposed release of some employment land in Bromborough for housing (as set out in paragraphs 6.21 to 6.24)?	Question 6.2a - Please identify which employment site/s you agree / do not agree to being released for housing and explain why	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1242519	LPIO-2253	yes	Employment land could be used for housing rather than left undeveloped .						
1245146	LPIO-2349	yes							
1242185	LPIO-23925	yes	Yes. However, the extent of development should be in line with the existing built edge to prevent countryside encroachment or urban sprawl to the south and south west. We consider that no Green Belt land should be released.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684263	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5657006			
1247798	LPIO-24247		The loss of employment land at SHLAA 2072 (Prices Way), SHLAA 4012 (Southwood Road) and SHLAA 1715 (Old Hall Road) will need to be accounted for as part of the Council's employment land supply/strategy.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684846					
1248525	LPIO-24329		Support the principle of the proposed release of employment land in Bromborough. However, we consider that the Council must consider the further release of employment land where there is no reasonable prospect of delivery during the Plan period and unmet housing needs.						
1248531	LPIO-24339		Future iterations of the Local Plan should consider the presence of existing plant at Bromborough and ensure that surrounding and nearby future developments are compatible with existing lawful land uses, particularly those which by their nature have potential to generate a degree of dust, and noise emissions, as well as traffic movements. There is a need to safeguard industrial and mineral land interests within the emerging Local Plan, in accordance with National Planning Policy, including from the introduction of any incompatible future development (NPPF, paragraph 182 refers). Any proposed development should first consider, and be able to demonstrate, that the proposals would not result in an adverse impact on existing operations.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5657713					
1248542	LPIO-24395	yes	Strongly support the release of sites in Bromborough for housing.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684894					
1248553	LPIO-24422		The Council have not successfully identified a sufficient amount of deliverable housing development sites to be included within the Housing Trajectory. Land at former Epichem (ELPS 364) should be released from its employment allocation and reallocated for housing. Land to the south has been presented for potential release from employment (SHLAA 1715 and SHLAA 1719 refer). There is potential for development of all 3 sites under a general masterplan	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5656394					
1242697	LPIO-24700		Yes, assuming the land is lightly & previously developed brownfield sites.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659118	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659119	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659120	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659121		
1248749	LPIO-24891		We agree with the proposed release of employment land in Bromborough, if it has shown to be unmarketable for employment uses and is suitable/ deliverable for residential. The locations of the proposed sites for release lend themselves to residential development given their connections to Eastham Country Park and further recreation facilities at Leverhulme Sports Ground to the south. There are appropriate amenities and facilities in the location for future residential development and given the location of the sites they will not be significantly impacted by existing industry in the area.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684847	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684848	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684845			
1248769	LPIO-25010		We agree with the proposed release of employment land in Bromborough, if it has shown to be unmarketable for employment uses and is suitable/ deliverable for residential. The locations of the proposed sites for release lend themselves to residential development given their connections to Eastham Country Park and further recreation facilities at Leverhulme Sports Ground to the south. There are appropriate amenities and facilities in the location for future residential development and given the location of the sites they will not be significantly impacted by existing industry in the area.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659045	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684957	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659039	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659038	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684956	
1248823	LPIO-25114		We agree with the proposed release of employment land in Bromborough, if it has shown to be unmarketable for employment uses and is suitable/ deliverable for residential. The locations of the proposed sites for release lend themselves to residential development given their connections to Eastham Country Park and further recreation facilities at Leverhulme Sports Ground to the south. There are appropriate amenities and facilities in the location for future residential development and given the location of the sites they will not be significantly impacted by existing industry in the area.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5674317	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684865	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684849			
1248832	LPIO-25224		We agree with the proposed release of employment land in Bromborough, if it has shown to be unmarketable for employment uses and is suitable/ deliverable for residential. The locations of the proposed sites for release lend themselves to residential development given their connections to Eastham Country Park and further recreation facilities at Leverhulme Sports Ground to the south. There are appropriate amenities and facilities in the location for future residential development and given the location of the sites they will not be significantly impacted by existing industry in the area.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5684857	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5659562				

Person ID	ID	Question 6.2 - Do you agree with the proposed release of some employment land in Bromborough for housing (as set out in paragraphs 6.21 to 6.24)?	Question 6.2a - Please identify which employment site/s you agree / do not agree to being released for housing and explain why	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1248833	LPIO-25328		We agree with the proposed release of employment land in Bromborough, if it has shown to be unmarketable for employment uses and is suitable/ deliverable for residential. The locations of the proposed sites for release lend themselves to residential development given their connections to Eastham Country Park and further recreation facilities at Leverhulme Sports Ground to the south. There are appropriate amenities and facilities in the location for future residential development and given the location of the sites they will not be significantly impacted by existing industry in the area.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661125	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661100	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661124	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5661129		
1248986	LPIO-25538		We agree with the proposed release of employment land in Bromborough, if it has shown to be unmarketable for employment uses and is suitable/ deliverable for residential. The locations of the proposed sites for release lend themselves to residential development given their connections to Eastham Country Park and further recreation facilities at Leverhulme Sports Ground to the south. There are appropriate amenities and facilities in the location for future residential development and given the location of the sites they will not be significantly impacted by existing industry in the area.	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662723	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662725	https://wirral-consult.objective.co.uk/file/5662770			
1246458	LPIO-25770		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1246459	LPIO-25771		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1245180	LPIO-2734	yes	If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1237546 (Wirral Wildlife)	LPIO-2868	no	Wirral Wildlife object to the release of employment land at Bromborough for housing because it would be more damaging to wildlife. SHLAA 4012 Riverside Park, Southwood Road SHLAA 1715 Old Hall Road. These are adjacent to LWS Old Hall Road Copse, which is designated for bat roosts in its trees, and Long Plantation - part of LWS Eastham Country Park and, despite its name, a bluebell wood that shows evidence of being ancient woodland. Bats feed in it and along its edges. Bats are legally protected species and ancient woodland receives strong protection in the NPPF. We oppose housing development next to these LWS because the introduction of housing here would lead to greatly increased disturbance, especially artificial light at night (which is currently low), but also noise, cats, dogs, increased walking in Long Plantation, whose ground flora is sensitive to trampling. Industry or offices are usually much quieter and darker at night than housing and do not introduce the same degree of disturbance. Housing in this location would be car-dependent and some distance from schools, medical services and shopping, so is not sustainable..						
1238835	LPIO-2929	yes	If the land identified is already considered brownfield, and is not considered as Greenbelt nor impacts on Greenbelt, then yes I would agree.						
1245159	LPIO-3004	no							
1241315	LPIO-3293	yes	If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1245416	LPIO-3383	yes							
1237944	LPIO-3393	yes							
1245437	LPIO-3526	yes							
1238549	LPIO-367	yes							
1237827	LPIO-3804	yes							
1245288	LPIO-3874	yes							
1245498	LPIO-3972	yes							
1240939	LPIO-4150	yes							
1245638	LPIO-4277	yes	If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1245153	LPIO-4389	yes							

Person ID	ID	Question 6.2 - Do you agree with the proposed release of some employment land in Bromborough for housing (as set out in paragraphs 6.21 to 6.24)?	Question 6.2a - Please identify which employment site/s you agree / do not agree to being released for housing and explain why	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1245501	LPIO-4452	yes	If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1244720	LPIO-4661	no	Unless all existing areas of habitat are respected in particular the belt of oak lining the coastal area near Riverview Rd.						
1237696	LPIO-4720	yes							
1244629	LPIO-4767	yes	If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1245713	LPIO-5096	yes							
1244215	LPIO-5216	yes							
1245496	LPIO-5231	yes	If the land is already designated as employment land and is not considered as greenbelt or impacts on the greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1242372	LPIO-5331	yes							
1245954	LPIO-5514	yes							
1245073	LPIO-5644	yes							
1245984	LPIO-5741	yes	we would agree with this release.						
1244896	LPIO-5813	yes	Yes. However, the extent of development should be in line with the existing built edge to prevent countryside encroachment or urban sprawl to the south and south west. No Green Belt land is to be released.						
1245767	LPIO-5910	yes							
1246310	LPIO-5952	yes	If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1238310	LPIO-6175	yes	Market forces should be applied to all sites. Employment growth prediction should be realistic.						
1242751	LPIO-625	yes	If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1246402	LPIO-6455	yes							
1245086	LPIO-6846	yes	As stated in my response to the last question, it is important to be flexible provided that there is no encroachment onto the green belt.						
1237647	LPIO-689	yes							
1246478	LPIO-6950	yes							
1246482	LPIO-7047	yes	Only if this is already brown field land.						
1244604	LPIO-7210	yes	Adjust item 7. If "housing needs" actual trends are downwards then adjust accordingly.						
1246488	LPIO-7214		If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1246348	LPIO-7267	no	See comments above on 6.1.						
1246592	LPIO-7773	yes	If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree						
1246594	LPIO-7853	yes	If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1240903	LPIO-7969	no	If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1246605	LPIO-8165	yes	If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						

Person ID	ID	Question 6.2 - Do you agree with the proposed release of some employment land in Bromborough for housing (as set out in paragraphs 6.21 to 6.24)?	Question 6.2a - Please identify which employment site/s you agree / do not agree to being released for housing and explain why	Attachment 1	Attachment 2	Attachment 3	Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
1240653	LPIO-8256	yes							
1241770	LPIO-8257	yes							
1246612	LPIO-8359	yes	As long as it is Brownfield and not greenbelt						
1239410	LPIO-836	yes							
1237882	LPIO-8414	yes	If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1244670	LPIO-8451	yes	If this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						
1241852	LPIO-8559	yes							
1246631	LPIO-8894	yes	It clearly makes sense to meet some of the borough's housing need by releasing land that is no longer required for						
1245034	LPIO-8932	yes							
1239377	LPIO-9172	yes	I am in agreement in principle, providing the land is already designated as 'employment land' and is not currently within the green belt, or has the potential to impact on green belt and its purpose.						
1243448	LPIO-918	yes	I wholeheartedly agree with this proposal.						
1245289	LPIO-9297	yes	Assuming that the space is surplus then I would agree that it is a pragmatic approach to release the surplus to housing.						
1246678	LPIO-9360	yes							
1246624	LPIO-9419	yes	provided it is not green belt						
1241495	LPIO-9442	no	SHLAA 4012 Riverside Park, Southwood Road SHLAA 1715 Old Hall Road. These are adjacent to Old Hall Road Copse LWS, which is important for bats, and Long Plantation – which is part of LWS Eastham Country Park and part ancient woodland. I object to housing development next to LWSs as it would lead to disturbance caused by an increase in human activity and subsequent noise and light pollution. Mitigation would be necessary including buffer zone around woodland. Also development here would be some distance from local services. Generally speaking industry (especially offices) is much quieter at night than housing development. Depending on the industry it does not create the same amount of disturbance.						
1237807	LPIO-9633	yes	But great care must be taken were the proposed houses abut Eastham County Park						
1246693	LPIO-9807	yes	I have answered yes however the question is vague and I only answer yes if this land is already designated as employment land and is not either considered as greenbelt or impacts on greenbelt, then I would agree.						