Comment ID	LPSD-1351
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WS 5.4
Title	Ecological Networks
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WS 5.4
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

representation relates to. Legally compliant * Yes * No Please give details of	
why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	Not Effective
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	Please refer to attachment supports policy in general but suggests a few changes highlighted in Q6 We also recommend removal of the section referring to "recent detrimental change" from the policy wording as this inconsistent with the legislation relating to BNG as set out in the Environment Act 2021. Within Schedule 14 of the Environment Act, which sets out the biodiversity gain condition for development, measures are included that allow planning authorities to recognise any habitat degradation since 30th January 2020 (the relevant date as it was the day the Bill entered Parliament) and to take the earlier habitat state as the baseline for the purposes of biodiversity net gain. In order to ascertain the habitat present and its condition on 30 January 2020, aerial imagery or data sets from that time could be used. Natural England and Defra intend to produce guidance on how this will work, and which data sources may be of assistance in demonstrating the former value of any degraded or destroyed habitats.

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	the words "where relevant" be removed from its first sentence in the interests of clarity. Policy WS 5.4 Ecological Networks L. Where relevant, d Development proposals must ensure that the biodiversity assets of the Borough are protected, enhanced and functionally connected within coherent and resilient ecological networks. Biodiversity Net Gain will be delivered appropriately in response to the site characteristics and location: M. Following the application of the biodiversity harm avoidance, mitigation and compensation hierarchy (set out in Policy WD 3 Biodiversity and Geodiversity), all development must deliver a minimum 10% biodiversity net gain calculated using the DEFRA metric. Where development is located on Council owned land it must deliver minimum 20% biodiversity net gain. In line with Schedule 14 of the Environment Act 2021, if recent detrimental change or damage has occurred to the biodiversity value of at the site, then the most recent survey undertaken before

any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.	the change, or an appropriate habitat typology will be applied as the baseline from which net gain will be calculated: the LPA will recognise any habitat degradation since 30th January 2020 (the day the Environment Bill entered Parliament) and take the earlier habitat state as the baseline for the purposes of biodiversity net gain.
If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? * No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) * Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)	
If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:	

Notification of Next
Stages in Wirral's
Local Plan
Preparation - Would
you like to be kept
updated of future
stages of the Wirral
Local Plan
2021-2037? (namely
submission of the
Plan for examination,
publication of the Inspector's
recommendations
and adoption of the
Plan).

* Yes
* No

Comment ID	LPSD-1352
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WS 5.7
Title	Maintenance of Green Infrastructure and Open Space Provision
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WS 5.7
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	please refer to attachment Clause R of Policy WS 5.7 states "throughout the lifetime of the development" which is not consistent with the explanatory text in para 3.142 which states "a 30-year period". We advise amending both to state "for the lifetime of the development or a minimum 30-year period (whichever is longer)". 3.132 Comment. Delete 'which is considered to be a qualitative standard in the third sentence. of Paragraph 3.132. 3.134 Comment. CWT supports the reference to Natural England's Green Infrastructure Principles and the forthcoming GI Standards Framework. As stated above we also recommend adopting and implementing standards for green infrastructure such as the 'Building with Nature' GI accreditation scheme.

para 3.142 Comment. CWT supports this paragraph. We would however query the detail in line 7 as it is our understanding that Net Gain will be achieved via the standard condition imposed under the Environment Act (Schedule 14) which may not always require a Section 106 agreement.

We also recommend removal of the section referring to "recent detrimental change" from the policy wording as this inconsistent with the legislation relating to BNG as set out in the Environment Act 2021. Within Schedule 14 of the Environment Act, which sets out the biodiversity gain condition for development, measures are included that allow planning authorities to recognise any habitat degradation since 30th January 2020 (the relevant date as it was the day the Bill entered Parliament) and to take the earlier habitat state as the baseline for the purposes of biodiversity net gain. In order to ascertain the habitat present and its condition on 30 January 2020, aerial imagery or data sets from that time could be used. Natural England and Defra intend to produce guidance on how this will work and which data sources may be of assistance in demonstrating the former value of any degraded or destroyed habitat.

We recommend the following specific changes to Para 3.142 - 'Biodiversity Net Gain is sought from all applications for development and will be mandatory when the Environment Act measures come into force. The current biodiversity value of the site and the gain will be measured using the latest version of the Defra Biodiversity Metric. In the rare case of a site being subject to recent damage or detrimental change then the most recent survey undertaken before the change, or an appropriate habitat from a typology will be applied as the base line from which net gain is calculated. The gain will be secured through the use of Section 106 agreements. Applicants will need to submit a Biodiversity Net Gain plan with their planning application including a completed Biodiversity Metric. All supporting evidence including calculations, justification, distinctiveness and condition assessments must be submitted as part of a separate Biodiversity Net Gain Report, provided in addition to an Ecological Impact Assessment or any other specific ecological reports required. Details of habitat provision, management and maintenance for the lifetime of the development or a minimum 30-year period (whichever is longer) a 30-year period are also required. The plan will be reviewed and agreed by the Council if it fulfils the statutory requirements, and a Section 106 agreement will be signed. In rare circumstances, the applicant will submit confirmation that they have purchased conservation credits that account for the Biodiversity Net Gain of the scheme.'

omplies with the Duty to co-operate Yes No Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance. soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here. If you wish to make a separate

representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5. 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to savwhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward vour suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

3.142 Comment. Clause R of Policy WS 5.7 states "throughout the lifetime of the development" which is not consistent with the explanatory text in para 3.142 which states "a 30-year period". We advise amending both to state "for the lifetime of the development or a minimum 30-year period (whichever is longer)".

3.132 Comment. Delete 'which is considered to be a qualitative standard' in the third sentence. of Paragraph 3.132.

3.134 Comment. CWT supports the reference to Natural England's Green Infrastructure Principles and the forthcoming GI Standards Framework. As stated above we also recommend adopting and implementing standards for green infrastructure such as the 'Building with Nature' GI accreditation scheme.

para 3.142 Comment. CWT supports this paragraph. We would however query the detail in line 7 as it is our understanding that Net Gain will be achieved via the standard condition imposed under the Environment Act (Schedule 14) which may not always require a Section 106 agreement.

We also recommend removal of the section referring to "recent detrimental change" from the policy wording as this inconsistent with the legislation relating to BNG as set out in the Environment Act 2021. Within Schedule 14 of the Environment Act, which sets out the biodiversity gain condition for development, measures are included that allow planning authorities to recognise any habitat degradation since 30th January 2020 (the relevant date as it was the day the Bill entered Parliament) and to take the earlier habitat state as the baseline for the purposes of biodiversity net gain. In order to ascertain the habitat present and its condition on 30 January 2020, aerial imagery or data sets from that time could be used. Natural England and Defra intend to produce guidance on how this will work and which data sources may be of assistance in demonstrating the former value of any degraded or destroyed habitat. We recommend the following specific changes to Para 3.142 - 'Biodiversity Net Gain is sought from all applications for development and will be mandatory when the Environment Act measures come into force. The current biodiversity value of the site and the gain will be measured using the latest version of the Defra Biodiversity Metric. In the rare case of a site being subject to recent damage or detrimental change then the most recent survey undertaken before the change, or an appropriate habitat from a typology will be applied as the base line from which net gain is calculated. The gain will be secured through the use of Section 106 agreements. Applicants will need to submit a Biodiversity Net Gain plan with their planning application including a completed Biodiversity Metric. All supporting evidence including calculations, justification, distinctiveness and condition assessments must be submitted as part of a separate Biodiversity Net Gain Report, provided in addition to an Ecological Impact Assessment or any other specific ecological reports required. Details of habitat provision, management and maintenance fo

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in

examination hearing session(s)? * No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) * Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)	
If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:	
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the Plan). * Yes * No	

Comment ID	LPSD-1353
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WS 6.1
Title	Placemaking Principles
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WS 6.1
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	

any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Notification of Next
Stages in Wirral's
Local Plan
Preparation - Would
you like to be kept
updated of future
stages of the Wirral
Local Plan
2021-2037? (namely
submission of the
Plan for examination,
publication of the
Inspector's
recommendations
and adoption of the
Plan).

Yes
No

Comment ID	LPSD-1354
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WS 8
Title	Strategy for Sustainable Construction, Renewable and Low Carbon Energy
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WS 8
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National	Not Effective
	Please refer to attachment
Local Plan is unsound. Please be	CWT would like to see a greater emphasis on how the implementation of nature-based solutions (NBS) to climate change can be incorporated into the local plan or an SPD. While they are not a substitute for rapid fossil fuel phase out, NBS can contribute to a reduction in greenhouse gases through the enhancement of existing carbon sinks and through avoided emissions by protecting and enhancing existing semi-natural habitats.
as precise as possible.	Alongside additional carbon storage and avoided GHG emissions, NBS to climate change can also deliver other natural capital gains such as benefits to biodiversity, increased flood alleviation (by 'slowing the flow' of water during intense rainfall) and improved water and air quality. Although not particularly extensive across the Wirral, this is particularly true of peatland restoration. As reported in the recently published England Peat Action Plan (DEFRA); the ONS estimate that the cost of restoring all UK peatlands to near natural condition would range

	from £8.4 - £21.3 billion while delivering carbon benefits of £109 billion alone, outweighing the costs of the restoration by 5 to 10 times before even considering the delivery value of the other ecosystem service benefits highlighted above. Although Wirral does not have significant deep peat deposits, this highlights how the provision of NBS to climate change represents extremely high value for money green infrastructure improvement and should therefore be a priority for inclusion in the draft WLP.
omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary	would like to see a greater emphasis on how the implementation of nature-based solutions (NBS) to climate change can be incorporated into the local plan or an SPD.

to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s),

please outline why you consider this to be necessary:	
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the Inspector's recommendations	
and adoption of the	
Plan).	
* Yes	
* No	

Comment ID	LPSD-1355
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WS 8.1
Title	Energy Hierarchy
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WS 8.1
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to. Legally compliant * Yes	
* No Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why:	
* Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	please refer to attachment CWT broadly supports this policy.

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	For additional clarity the policy could confirm that level 1 is the most favourable and 7 the least favourable.

any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.	
If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? * No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) * Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)	Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)
If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:	

Notification of Next
Stages in Wirral's
Local Plan
Preparation - Would
you like to be kept
updated of future
stages of the Wirral
Local Plan
2021-2037? (namely
submission of the
Plan for examination,
publication of the
Inspector's
recommendations
and adoption of the
Plan).

* Yes
* No

Comment ID	LPSD-1356
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WS 8.7
Title	Stand-alone Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Schemes
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WS 8.7
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to. Legally compliant * Yes	
* No Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	Comment. CWT broadly supports this policy. However, given the major effect that some renewable technology (such as wind turbines, tidal power etc.) can have on bird assemblages, and the proximity that any such proposals in Wirral would inevitably have to internationally important sites for birds the policy should identify ecological effects as a key consideration alongside Green Belt policy.

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	the policy should identify ecological effects as a key consideration alongside Green Belt policy.

any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Notification of Next
Stages in Wirral's
Local Plan
Preparation - Would
you like to be kept
updated of future
stages of the Wirral
Local Plan
2021-2037? (namely
submission of the
Plan for examination,
publication of the Inspector's
recommendations
and adoption of the
Plan).

* Yes
* No

Comment ID	LPSD-1357
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WS 8.8
Title	Climate Change and Energy Statement
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WS 8.8
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	please refer to attachment, supportive

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	

any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Notification of Next
Stages in Wirral's
Local Plan
Preparation - Would
you like to be kept
updated of future
stages of the Wirral
Local Plan
2021-2037? (namely
submission of the
Plan for examination,
publication of the
Inspector's
recommendations
and adoption of the
Plan).

Yes
No

Comment ID	LPSD-1358
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Part 4
Title	Regeneration Policies
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	part 4 RA policies
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National	
Policy Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	Please refer to attachment. See our previous comments on the HRA, RDAMS, and IA. All regeneration areas (and particularly those in coastal or dock locations such as Seacombe, Scott's Quay, Birkenhead Waterfront, Wirral Waters, Northside and New Brighton) must not affect the internationally and nationally designated sites for nature conservation including any functionally linked land. The NPPF is explicit that previously developed land (treated as synonymous with brownfield) should be prioritised for development, except where this would conflict with other national policies, including causing harm to designated sites of importance for biodiversity. Additionally, government guidance on the Natural Environment in Planning states that during the process for allocating land for development, the biodiversity or geodiversity value of the land and its environmental sensitivity needs to be accounted for so that any harm can be avoided, mitigated

or compensated for in a way which is appropriate given the site's identified value. It also states that not all brownfield sites of high environmental value are designated as sites of importance for biodiversity (Paragraph: 003 Reference ID: 8-003-20190721).

Given the level of development on brownfield proposed in the WLP, CWT advise that any site that is currently undesignated but that also meets the criteria for designation under the Cheshire Local Wildlife Selection Criteria is designated as such, enhanced and retained (with a suitable buffer) within the allocation. Brownfield land can support a wide range of terrestrial and aquatic habitats and supports a diverse priority habitat known as 'open mosaic habitat on previously developed land' (OMH). This diversity has made these sites increasingly important for endangered and rare invertebrates as well as lichens, plants, birds, bats, reptiles and amphibians. To ensure consistency with national policy, any previously developed sites that meet the criteria to be designated as LWS or are found to be of high environmental value should be retained as GI within the allocation policies. They should be recognised as important biodiversity assets to be protected and enhanced and incorporated into the LCR LNRS/NRN for protection from development.

While it is not possible (or reasonable) to survey all allocation sites at this stage of the plan making process, we do advise an amendment to all regeneration area policies with wording to the following effect: "Any part of the allocation site found to meet Cheshire LWS criteria will be designated as a LWS, enhanced and retained (with an adequate buffer) within the allocation."

Open data available online from Natural England shows that the following allocations are located on land that may support open mosaic priority habitat:

Policy RA3 - Birkenhead Waterfront: Residential Allocation Rose Brae Village RES-RA3.4, Employment Allocation EMP-RA3.1

Policy RA5 - Hind Street and St Werburgh's: Large area of OMH southeast of Birkenhead Central Station within the Hind Street Urban Garden Village Masterplan Area MPA-RA5.1

Policy RA6 – Wirral Waters: Employment Allocations 3-EMP-RA6.3 and 4-EMP-RA6.4 within the MEA Park Masterplan Area (MPA-RA5.2) and Bidston Dock (MPA-RA6.3).

Policy RA8 Northside Regeneration Area: Employment Allocation Land at Limekin Lane (EMP-RA8.1) and SMM Business Park (EMP-RA8.2)/ The Bidston Dock (MPA-RA6.3) allocation is immediately adjacent Bidston Moss Potential Local Wildlife Site while the regeneration boundary associated with Policy RA10 New Brighton is immediately adjacent Wallasey Golf Course Local Wildlife Site. Refer to our detailed comments on adequate buffer zones below (discussed in Policy WD 3 Biodiversity and Geodiversity). In order to ensure consistency with national policy, CWT expect that any development adjacent designated sites will not result in significant residual adverse effects. Adequate buffer zones must be incorporated into the design of any development adjacent to or affecting a designated (or potential) biodiversity asset, including at two locations identified above.

omplies with the Duty to co-operate

- * Yes
- * No

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability

Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.	
If your representation is seeking a	

modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? * No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) * Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)	der ing ing in a second of the	
If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:		
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the Plan). Yes No	d al ly on,	

Comment ID	LPSD-1359	
Person ID	1277283	
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf	
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding	
Position		
Company / Organisation		
Agent ID		
Agent Name		
Position		
Company / Organisation		
Number	Policy WP 2.3	
Title	Employment Sites	
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Site	
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.		
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.		
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	EMP- SA2.2	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to. Legally compliant * Yes	
* No Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	See our detailed comments on Open Mosaic Priority Habitat (OMH)above. Site EMP – SA2.2 is identified by Natural England as potentially supporting OMH
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	We advise the following additional point (or similar) is included in Clause F of Policy WP 2.3 to ensure consistency with national policy: "Any part of the allocation site found to meet Cheshire LWS criteria will be designated as a LWS, enhanced and retained (with an adequate buffer) within the allocation. "

any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Notification of Next
Stages in Wirral's
Local Plan
Preparation - Would
you like to be kept
updated of future
stages of the Wirral
Local Plan
2021-2037? (namely
submission of the
Plan for examination,
publication of the Inspector's
recommendations
and adoption of the
Plan).

* Yes
* No

Comment ID	LPSD-1360	
Person ID	1277283	
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf	
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding	
Position		
Company / Organisation		
Agent ID		
Agent Name		
Position		
Company / Organisation		
Number	Policy WP 4	
Title	Policy for Bebington, Bromborough and Eastham	
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Site	
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.		
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.		
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	RES- SA4.2/ MPA - SA4.2, RES SA4.7/MPA-SA4.1, EMP- SA4.1, EMP- SA4.2 , EMP- SA4.5	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	Not Justified Not Effective
Please give details of	Please refer to attachment See our detailed comments on OMH above. Sites RES-SA4.2 / MPA-SA4.2, RES-SA4.7 / MPA-SA4.1, EMP-SA4.1, EMP-SA4.2 and EMP-SA4.5 have all been identified by Natural England as potentially supporting OMH. We advise the following additional point (or similar) is included in the relevant clauses of Policies WP 4.2 and WP 4.3 to ensure consistency with national policy:/ "Any part of the allocation site found to meet Cheshire LWS criteria will be designated as a LWS, enhanced and retained (with an adequate buffer) within the allocation." See our detailed comments on appropriate buffer zones below (WD 3 Biodiversity and Geodiversity). In order to ensure consistency with national policy, CWT expect that any development adjacent designated sites will not result in significant residual adverse effects. Adequate buffer zones must be incorporated into the design of any development adjacent to or affecting a designated (or potential) biodiversity asset.

The identified buffer zone of 5m in Policy WP 4.2 is unacceptable and inconsistent with national policy (NPPF Paras 179a and 180c) as the development site is located adjacent designated sites (Eastham Country Park and Old Hall Road Wood LWS's) and an area of irreplaceable ancient woodland. In line with Natural England standing advice for ancient woodlands, the proposal should have a buffer zone of at least 15 metres from the boundary of the woodland to avoid root damage (known as the root protection area). Where assessment shows other impacts are likely to extend beyond this distance, the proposal is likely to need a larger buffer zone. For ancient or veteran trees (including those on the woodland boundary), the buffer zone should be at least 15 times larger than the diameter of the tree. The buffer zone should be 5 metres from the edge of the tree's canopy if that area is larger than 15 times the tree's diameter. This will create a minimum root protection area. A buffer zone should consist of semi-natural habitats such as woodland and a mix of scrub, grassland, heathland and wetland. The proposal should include creating or establishing habitat with local and appropriate native species in the buffer zone. omplies with the Duty to co-operate Yes No Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to make a separate representation. relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here. If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying **Habitats Regulations**

Assessment, please make them here.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to savwhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability **Appraisal or Habitat** Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward vour suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as

we advise the following additional point (or similar) is included in the relevant clauses of Policies WP 4.2 and WP 4.3 to ensure consistency with national policy:/ "Any part of the allocation site found to meet Cheshire LWS criteria will be designated as a LWS, enhanced and retained (with an adequate buffer) within the allocation."

We advise Clause H.2.i of Policy WP 4.2 is amended in line with this guidance.

The identified buffer zone in Policy WP 4.2: In line with Natural England standing advice for ancient woodlands, the proposal should have a buffer zone of at least 15 metres from the boundary of the woodland to avoid root damage (known as the root protection area). Where assessment shows other impacts are likely to extend beyond this distance, the proposal is likely to need a larger buffer zone. For ancient or veteran trees (including those on the woodland boundary), the buffer zone should be at least 15 times larger than the diameter of the tree. The buffer zone should be 5 metres from the edge of the tree's canopy if that area is larger than 15 times the tree's diameter. This will create a minimum root protection area. A buffer zone should consist of semi-natural habitats such as woodland and a mix of scrub, grassland, heathland and wetland. The proposal should include creating or establishing habitat with local and appropriate native species in the buffer zone.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

precise as possible.

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
- * Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary: **Notification of Next** Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the Plan). Yes No

Comment ID	LPSD-1361
Person ID	1323698
Include files	LPSD-1361,1362,1363,1634-EM-Leuty-Milner Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Samuel Leuty-Milner
Position	Planning and Development Manager
Company / Organisation	Tesni Properties Limited
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WS 1.1
Title	Homes
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WS 1.1
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why:	Not Positively Prepared Not Justified Not Consistent with National Policy
* Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	Please refer to attachment Tesni do not consider Policy WS1.1 to be sound as it is not positively prepared, justified or consistent with national policy. The Local Plan identifies a minimum of 13,360 net additional dwellings to be required within the authority during this plan period; 2021-2037. This equates to 835 dwellings each year based on the Wirral Strategic Housing Market Assessment ["SHMA"] (Oct'21) and includes the Government's Standard Methodology figure for calculating housing need of 779 per annum. It should be acknowledged that Planning Policy Guidance sets out clearly when it may be appropriate for a plan and an authority to acknowledge a higher housing need figure than the standard method. This should be considered when there are: growth strategies for the area; strategic infrastructure improvements; when taking on unmet need from a neighbouring authority; and, where previous levels of housing delivery are significant greater than the outcome from the standard method.

Tesni believes that the Council have not taken into consideration the aspirations of third party influences such as the Liverpool City Region Combined Authority Devolution Deal, the LCR Local Enterprise Partnership's Strategical Economic Plan, as well as the Wirral Growth Plan. It is evident that there is a demand and an opportunity for strategic growth within the authority area. It is imperative that Local Plan policies reflect this. The NPPF 2021 (Para.82) is clear that the Council should seek to address any barriers that could affect investment and ensure that there is sufficient infrastructure and housing to support this. There is a clear risk that insufficient amounts of housing will not appropriately support these growth strategies. Therefore, it is likely that these aspirations will not be met. The Council's estimations in terms of delivery and capacity on sites currently identified for allocation, should be realistic and supported by evidence from the individual parties responsible for housing delivery, alongside knowledge of the locality and historical data. Tesni still has existing concerns relating to this plan's significant reliance on brownfield land regeneration, such as the 8,116 homes as part of the Birkenhead Regeneration Framework Area. As stated in previous representations, statistical research such as the Letwin Review have proven to show that build out rates on larger strategic sites are predominantly slower than in comparison to smaller opportunities. Outcomes from this independent report discovered that there were limits on the rate at which the local market could absorb the development product on larger sites and consequently cause a slow rate of build out. Local assessments, including the 'Wirral Local Plan Economic Viability Baseline Update (2018)', have shown areas such as Birkenhead, to have a significantly poor market area. Doubts should be raised as to whether the market would respond positively towards such development and in effect further disrupt any future construction on neighbouring sites or allocations. omplies with the Duty to co-operate Yes No Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability

Appraisal, please make them here.

If you wish to make a

separate representation,

relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here. Please set out the The council should ensure that this plan provides a sufficient supply of deliverable and developable land to deliver the Council's housing requirement. It is important that a five year housing land supply is maintained as well as performance parameters with the Housing Delivery Test. As a consequence, it is recommended that the plan should allocate more sites than required modification(s) you consider necessary to provide a buffer. This approach would be consistent with the NPPF requirements for a plan to be prepared positively and with flexibility. to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability **Appraisal or Habitat** Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

* No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) * Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)	
If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:	
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the Plan). * Yes * No	Yes

Position		
Include Files CPS-1361,1362,1363,1634-EM-Leuty-Milner Attach 2507, Redacted pdf Company / Samuel Louty-Milner Position Posi	Comment ID	LPSD-1362
Consulte Name Samual Leuty-Milliner Position Position gand Development Manager Company / Organisation Teani Properties Limited Agent ID Position Position Image: ID and Properties Limited Company / Organisation Position Company / Organisation Position Number Policy WS 8.2 To which part of the Local Plan does the representation relater? Statisatel Construction – Energy Efficiency, Overheating and Cooling, and Water Usage To a Policy WS 8.2 and P	Person ID	1323698
Position	Include files	LPSD-1361,1362,1363,1634-EM-Leuty-Milner Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Company / Organisation Testi Properties Limited Agent Name Image: Ima	Consultee Name	Samuel
Organisation Agent I D Position Company / Organisation Number Position To which part of the Local Plan does not state which paragraph numbers agreementation relates to. Polices state which Please state which Ste LiDrikerence this representation relates to. Please state which Ste LiDrikerence this representation: Please state which Ste LiDrikerence this representation represen	Position	Planning and Development Manager
Agent Name Position Company / Organisation Number	Company / Organisation	Tesni Properties Limited
Position Company / Organisation Number Policy WS 8.2 Title Sustainable Construction – Energy Efficiency, Overheating and Cooling, and Water Usage To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? Policy Sustainability Appraisal Habitat Regulations Assessment Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to. Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to. Please state which site porpsentation relates to.	Agent ID	
Company / Organisation Company / Organisation Number Policy WS 8.2 Title Sustainable Construction – Energy Efficiency, Overheating and Cooling, and Water Usage To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?	Agent Name	
Organisation Number Policy WS 8.2 Sustainable Construction - Energy Efficiency, Overheating and Cooling, and Water Usage To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relates?	Position	
Title	Company / Organisation	
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? Paragraph(s) Site Policies Map Sustainability Appraisal Habitat Regulations Assessment Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to. Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to. Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation	Number	Policy WS 8.2
Local Plan does this representation relate? Paragraph(s) Policy Site Policies Map Sustainability Appraisal Habitat Regulations Assessment Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to. Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to. Please state which Site DiReference this representation	Title	Sustainable Construction - Energy Efficiency, Overheating and Cooling, and Water Usage
paragraph number(s) this representation relates to. Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to. Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation	Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations	Policy
Policy Number this representation relates to. Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation	paragraph number(s) this representation	
Site ID/Reference this representation		WS 8.2
	Site ID/Reference this representation	

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally complant. **No* **No* **No* **Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible. **No* *		
Please give details of with you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible. Please give details of with you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible. Sound * Yes * No Please give details of with you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible. ### And Please be as precise as po	Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation	
why you consider the Local Plan is personal as precise as possible. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as a possible. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not a precise as possible. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not a precise as possible. If you consider the Local Plan is not a precise as possible. Not Positively Prepared Not Consistent with National Policy Why: Not Positively Prepared Not Listified Not Consistent with National Policy Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not a precise and the precise as possible. The property of the prepared the Local Plan is not a precise and the prepared the Local Plan is not a precise and the prepared the Local Plan is not a precise and the prepared the Local Plan is not a precise and the precise and the prepared the prepared the Local Plan is not a precise and the prepared the prepared that the prepared the prepared that the prepared that the prepared that the prepared that are quickless that all development should be zero carbon ready by design't. It states that wherever possible and viable all new buildings should be certified to a Passivhaus or of as standard. The ethos of the organisation is to build more sustainable family homes, but this does come at a cost. It must be recognised that a transition is required, especially in terms as standard. The ethos of the organisation is to build more sustainable family homes, but this does come at a cost. It must be recognised that at transition is required, especially in terms	* Yes	
why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible. Sound Yes No Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: Not Positively Prepared Not Justified Not Consistent with National Policy why: Not Positively Prepared Not Justified Not Effective Not Consistent with National Policy Prepared Not Oscistent with National Policy Prepared Sound, Please be as precise as possible. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound, Please be as precise as possible. Final Please Ple	why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as	
Yes No Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precises as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: Not Positively Prepared Not Positively Prepared Not Consistent with National Policy Prepared Not Oct Stiffed Not Dositively Prepared Not Oct Stiffed Not Consistent with National Policy Please give details of why you consider the the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. Please give details of a stiffed please be as precise as possible. Tesni has evidence of a strong delivery of 'eco' friendly homes within the north west region. All achieving an EPC rating of A with features such as: high insulation, solar panels and MVHR as standard. The effect of sequince of the organisation is to build more sustainable family homes, but this does come at a cost. It must be recognised that a transition is required, especially in terms	why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise	
why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Lustified * Not Consistent with National Policy * Not Consistent with National Policy Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound, Please be as precise as possible. Please refer to attachment * Tesni do not consider Policy WS8.2 to be sound as it is not positively prepared, justified or consistent with national policy. This policy requests that all development should be 'zero carbon ready by design'. It states that wherever possible and viable all new buildings should be certified to a Passivhaus or of an equivalent standard. Tesni has evidence of a strong delivery of 'eco' friendly homes within the north west region. All achieving an EPC rating of A with features such as: high insulation, solar panels and MVHR as standard. The ethos of the organisation is to build more sustainable family homes, but this does come at a cost. It must be recognised that a transition is required, especially in terms	* Yes	No
the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. Please sevidence of a strong delivery of 'eco' friendly homes within the north west region. All achieving an EPC rating of A with features such as: high insulation, solar panels and MVHR as standard. The ethos of the organisation is required, especially in terms	why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise	
why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. Tesni do not consider Policy WS8.2 to be sound as it is not positively prepared, justified or consistent with national policy. This policy requests that all development should be 'zero carbon ready by design'. It states that wherever possible and viable all new buildings should be certified to a Passivhaus or of an equivalent standard. Tesni do not consider Policy WS8.2 to be sound as it is not positively prepared, justified or consistent with national policy. This policy requests that all development should be 'zero carbon ready by design'. It states that wherever possible and viable all new buildings should be certified to a Passivhaus or of an equivalent standard. Tesni has evidence of a strong delivery of 'eco' friendly homes within the north west region. All achieving an EPC rating of A with features such as: high insulation, solar panels and MVHR as standard. The ethos of the organisation is to build more sustainable family homes, but this does come at a cost. It must be recognised that a transition is required, especially in terms	the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National	Not Justified Not Consistent with National Policy
	why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as	Tesni do not consider Policy WS8.2 to be sound as it is not positively prepared, justified or consistent with national policy. This policy requests that all development should be 'zero carbon ready by design'. It states that wherever possible and viable all new buildings should be certified to a Passivhaus or of an equivalent standard. Tesni has evidence of a strong delivery of 'eco' friendly homes within the north west region. All achieving an EPC rating of A with features such as: high insulation, solar panels and MVHR as standard. The ethos of the organisation is to build more sustainable family homes, but this does come at a cost. It must be recognised that a transition is required, especially in terms

	This is a requirement which will cause further pressure on housebuilders, especially small and medium sized businesses, especially considering the recent increases in build costs. These higher demands on the build could make sites unviable and again have an impact on delivery.
omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local	As much as Tesni commends Wirrals approach to this policy, it is considered that the inclusion of the Passivhaur standards is unsound and that it should be removed from the policy. The Government has already provided a clear framework for improving the energy efficient of buildings under the Future Homes Standard. This will seek to deliver reductions in household CO2 emissions by at least 31% in comparison to current standards. As a consequence, Tesni believe that these improvements requiring development to be certified to Passivhause standards is not necessary and is ineffective.

Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability **Appraisal or Habitat** Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why

you consider this to be necessary:	
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan	
2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the	
Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the Plan). * Yes * No	

Comment ID	LPSD-1363
Person ID	1323698
Include files	LPSD-1361,1362,1363,1634-EM-Leuty-Milner Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Samuel Leuty-Milner
Position	Planning and Development Manager
Company / Organisation	Tesni Properties Limited
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WS 9.3
Title	Servicing Development
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WS 9.3
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Disease state 11.1	
Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why:	Not Positively Prepared Not Justified Not Consistent with National Policy
* Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	Tesni do not consider Policy WS9.3 to be sound as it is not positively prepared, justified or consistent with national policy. Tesni has provided electric vehicle charging infrastructure in all of it's recent residential developments and recognises this as a standard requirement for our product. As a consequence, we commend the Councils approach on this subject.
•	This policy requests that proposals provide electric vehicle charging infrastructure in accordance with the Parking Standard in Appendix 8.

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	However, there are now Building Regulations in place in relation to charging points. Therefore, the Council does not need to introduce their own policy to cover this.

any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral	Yes
stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination,	
publication of the Inspector's recommendations	
and adoption of the Plan). * Yes * No	

Comment ID	LPSD-1364
Person ID	1323698
Include files	LPSD-1361,1362,1363,1634-EM-Leuty-Milner Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Samuel Leuty-Milner
Position	Planning and Development Manager
Company / Organisation	Tesni Properties Limited
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WD 18
Title	Health Impact Assessment
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WD 18
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why:	Not Positively Prepared Not Justified Not Consistent with National Policy
* Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	As a consequence, Tesni believe that if this Plan has been developed appropriately, that policy complaint development should not require this type of assessment.

any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's	Yes
Local Plan Preparation - Would	
you like to be kept	
updated of future stages of the Wirral	
Local Plan	
2021-2037? (namely submission of the	
Plan for examination,	
publication of the Inspector's	
recommendations	
and adoption of the Plan).	
* Yes	
* No	

Comment ID	LPSD-1365
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WP 5
Title	Policy for Leasowe, Moreton, Upton, Greasby and Woodchurch
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Site Site Site Site Site Site Site Site
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	OSGR SJ 25967 91337 Moreton Marsh

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	Not Effective
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	Please refer to attachment. A parcel of land in Moreton (OSGR SJ 25967 91337) is currently shown as a "primarily residential zone" on the policy map, despite this area being known locally as Moreton Marsh. According to Natural England open data, this area is underlain by deep peat deposits and supports coastal and floodplain grazing marsh (a habitat of principal importance in England). Information provided to CWT by local resident's also suggests this site is regularly used by qualifying bird species from the adjacent internationally designated sites for nature conservation. In light of the potentially significant value of the site to the climate and biodiversity, CWT advise the area is removed from the "primarily residential zone" and the boundary of the Ditton lane Nature Area Local Green Space (LGS-SA5.1) is redrawn to include this land parcel.

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	allocate A parcel of land in Moreton (OSGR SJ 25967 91337) as part of LGS-SA5.1

a	LDOD 4000
Comment ID	LPSD-1366
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WP 6
Title	Policy for West Kirby and Hoylake
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Site
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	RES-SA6.4

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	Not Justified
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	Please refer to attachment The RES-SA6.4 allocation is immediately adjacent Grange Hill Local Wildlife Site on three sides. Refer to our detailed comments on adequate buffer zones below (discussed in Policy WD 3 Biodiversity and Geodiversity). In order to ensure consistency with national policy, CWT expect that any development adjacent designated sites will not result in significant residual adverse effects. Adequate buffer zones must be incorporated into the design of any development adjacent to or affecting a designated (or potential) biodiversity asset.

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No Please give details of	
why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	appropriate wildlife buffer needed for RES-SA6.4

Comment ID	LPSD-1367
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WP 7
Title	Policy for Irby, Thingwall, Pensby, Heswall and Gayton
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Site
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	RES-SA7.5

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	Not consistent with National Folicy
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	We advise Policy WP 7 is revised to include a clause relating to the RES-SA7.5 allocation and the SSSI, and appropriate buffer

Comment ID	LPSD-1368
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WD 1
Title	Landscaping
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WD 1
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to. Legally compliant	
* Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	Support. CWT supports this policy, for example its emphasis on native planting and natural regeneration, and protection of ecologically valuable trees and hedgerows.
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	

Comment ID	LPSD-1369
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WD 2.1
Title	Protecting Heritage Assets
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WD 2.1
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to. Legally compliant * Yes * No.	
* No Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	Plese refer to attachment To improve effectiveness, see our previous comments on Policy WS 7.1 regarding the use of the wording "existing healthy trees and hedgerows"

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	We recommend the following specific changes in this section: "B.8 Provide for the protection and enhancement of <u>all</u> existing healthy trees and hedgerows of visual and wildlife value; "Clause F.5 should include an indication of the buffer required to prevent premature felling. New development (specifically structures) should not be located outside of the falling distance of any protected adjacent trees (approx. 40m from trunk for most species). Root Protection Zones of trees on adjacent land must be protected as for retained trees on the development site.

Comment ID	LPSD-1370
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WD 3
Title	Biodiversity and Geodiversity
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WD 3
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to. Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	Not Effective
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	Please refer to attachment CWT strongly support this policy. However, it would add greater clarity if clause D (the mitigation hierarchy) were set out as the first clause, as this provides the underpinning principle for the whole policy. We also suggest that for greater clarity, clause H should also specifically refer to the Nature Improvement Area Focus Areas shown on the Policies Map. While we generally support clause B, we advise it should be expanded to take account of the potential for significant harm to be caused to sites located adjacent to development sites, in order to ensure it is consistent with the NPPF.

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	place Clause D (Mitigation Hierarchy) as the first clause for clarity. Clause H should refer to the Nature Improvement Area Focus areas shown on the policies map. "B. Following the application of the biodiversity harm avoidance, mitigation and compensation hierarchy, development which may cause significant harm to other designated sites of nature or geological conservation importance, Priority Habitats, legally protected species and Priority Species of conservation concern will only be permitted on or adjacent to:" We also recommend the following additional clause is included in this policy: "I. Adequate buffer zones must be incorporated in the design for any development adjacent to or affecting a designated (or potential) biodiversity or geodiversity asset; a priority habitat or priority species of conservation concern; legally protected species; and irreplaceable habitats including ancient woodland; and aged or veteran trees."

any legal compliance We recommend the additional clause is supported with supporting text that explains that adequate buffer zones must be incorporated in the design for any development adjacent to or or soundness affecting a designated biodiversity or geodiversity asset; a priority habitat or priority species of conservation concern; legally protected species; and irreplaceable habitats. Such buffer matters you have zones will vary in width and the applicant should demonstrate the design is in line with good practice guidance (e.g., Natural England Standing Advice on Ancient Woodlands) or in line identified at 5, 5a or with recommendations from the Council's ecological advisors. Such buffer zones should be maintained as semi-natural vegetation ecologically appropriate to the asset concerned and 5b above. (Please they can form part of the Biodiversity Net Gain requirements of a development whilst providing other ecosystem services. note We also recommend clause C be amended in line with clause d: thatnon-compliance "C. Where it has been demonstrated that significant harm to biodiversity from development cannot be avoided, appropriate mitigation, replacement or (as a last resort) other compensatory with the duty to provision will be required." co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability **Appraisal or Habitat** Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? No. I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes. I wish to participate in hearing session(s) If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Comment ID	LPSD-1371
Person ID	1248557
Include files	LPSD-701-703, 1371, 1376, 1379-1383, 1391-1395-EM-Sayce Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Stephen Sayce
Position	
Company / Organisation	Enviroment Agency
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WP 5.1
Title	Residential Sites
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Site
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	RES-SA5.8

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	please refer to attchment EA acknowledged and concur that this proposed allocation has been subject to an individually approved site-specific FRAs. As such EA is in general agreement that this site has been subject to the Exception Test and passed. No objection to allocation within the plan, although it might be sensible to ensure the most up to date climate change allowance projections have been used.
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	

Comment ID	LPSD-1372
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WD 3
Title	Biodiversity and Geodiversity
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Paragraph(s)
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	6.29
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to. Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	Not Effective
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	please refer to attachment, While we welcome the incorporation of our previous comments around standardisation of ecological assessments (i.e., the use of PEA and EcIA as standardised terminology) in para 6.29, we recognise the definition of significance (and who defines significance) may potentially be confusing.

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	addition of the following sentence at the end of Paragraph 6.29: 'It is then up to the Council and its ecological advisors to consider whether they agree with the assessment.'

Comment ID	LPSD-1374
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WD 4.2
Title	Flood Risk
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WD 4.2
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	Please refer to attachment

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	We recommend the following specific changes in this section: F. Where possible Development proposals should must reduce the causes and impacts of flooding, including by taking measures to naturalise water courses such as de-culverting.

Comment ID	LPSD-1375
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WD 4.3
Title	Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) and Natural Flood Management
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WD 4.3
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	

Comment ID	LPSD-1376
Person ID	1248557
Include files	LPSD-701-703, 1371, 1376, 1379-1383, 1391-1395-EM-Sayce Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Stephen Sayce
Position	
Company / Organisation	Enviroment Agency
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WP 6.3
Title	Residential Sites
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Site
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	RES-SA6.7

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	please refer to attachment EA acknowledged and concur that this proposed allocation has been subject to an individually approved site-specific FRAs. As such EA is in general agreement that this site has been subject to the Exception Test and passed. No objection to allocation within the plan, although it might be sensible to ensure the most up to date climate change allowance projections have been used.
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	

Comment ID	LPSD-1377
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WD 14
Title	Pollution and Risk
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WD 14
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation	
relates to. Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	

Comment ID	LPSD-1378
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WD 15.1
Title	Contamination and Ground Stability
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WD 15.1
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	

Comment ID	LPSD-1379
Person ID	1248557
Include files	LPSD-701-703, 1371, 1376, 1379-1383, 1391-1395-EM-Sayce Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Stephen Sayce
Position	
Company / Organisation	Enviroment Agency
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy RA 6
Title	Wirral Waters Regeneration Area
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Site
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	RES-RA6.3

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	Please refer to attachment EA acknowledged and concur that this proposed allocation has been subject to an individually approved site-specific FRAs. As such EA is in general agreement that this site has been subject to the Exception Test and passed. No objection to allocation within the plan, although it might be sensible to ensure the most up to date climate change allowance projections have been used.
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	

Comment ID	LPSD-1380
Person ID	1248557
Include files	LPSD-701-703, 1371, 1376, 1379-1383, 1391-1395-EM-Sayce Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Stephen Sayce
Position	
Company / Organisation	Enviroment Agency
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy RA 6
Title	Wirral Waters Regeneration Area
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Site
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	RES-SA6.4

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	Please refer to attachment EA acknowledged and concur that this proposed allocation has been subject to an individually approved site-specific FRAs. As such EA is in general agreement that this site has been subject to the Exception Test and passed. No objection to allocation within the plan, although it might be sensible to ensure the most up to date climate change allowance projections have been used.
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	

Comment ID	LPSD-1381
Person ID	1248557
Include files	LPSD-701-703, 1371, 1376, 1379-1383, 1391-1395-EM-Sayce Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Stephen Sayce
Position	
Company / Organisation	Enviroment Agency
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy RA 6
Title	Wirral Waters Regeneration Area
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Site
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	RES-RA6.6

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	Please refer to attachment EA acknowledged and concur that this proposed allocation has been subject to an individually approved site-specific FRAs. As such EA is in general agreement that this site has been subject to the Exception Test and passed. No objection to allocation within the plan, although it might be sensible to ensure the most up to date climate change allowance projections have been used.
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	

Comment ID	LPSD-1382
Person ID	1248557
Include files	LPSD-701-703, 1371, 1376, 1379-1383, 1391-1395-EM-Sayce Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Stephen Sayce
Position	
Company / Organisation	Enviroment Agency
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy RA 6
Title	Wirral Waters Regeneration Area
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Site
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	RES-RA6.7

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	please refer to attachment EA acknowledged and concur that this proposed allocation has been subject to an individually approved site-specific FRAs. As such EA is in general agreement that this site has been subject to the Exception Test and passed. No objection to allocation within the plan, although it might be sensible to ensure the most up to date climate change allowance projections have been used.
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	

Comment ID	LPSD-1383
Person ID	1248557
Include files	LPSD-701-703, 1371, 1376, 1379-1383, 1391-1395-EM-Sayce Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Stephen Sayce
Position	
Company / Organisation	Enviroment Agency
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy RA 2
Title	Scott's Quay Regeneration Area
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Site
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	RES-RA2.2

DI	
Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	Please refer to attachment Addendum 3 of the Sequential & Exception Test report undertakes the Exception Test for sites RES-RA2.2, RES-SA4.6, RES-SA4.7, RES-RA6.2 and RES-RA6.5. In terms of ensuring each site can be made 'Safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere and where possible reducing flood risk overall' EA generally concur that design measures are likely to exist that could make the site safe over its lifetime, which if designed correctly would not increase flood risk elsewhere. This is because the flood risks associated with the sites are tidal in nature and the raising of floor level would be considered an acceptable mitigation. Furthermore, as compensatory flood storage would unlikely to be required the development of these sites should not increase flood levels elsewhere. While EA consider the Exception Test to be passed this is with regards to matters within EA's expertise only, and they have not considered matters relating to access and egress during times of flooding. To ensure the Exception Test is fully passed access and egress matters should be referred to the emergency services and emergency planners to ensure this can be achieved.
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be	

as precise as	
possible.	
omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local	

you consider this to be necessary:	
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the	,
Plan). * Yes * No	

Comment ID	LPSD-1384
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WM 1
Title	Proposals for minerals development
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WM 1
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation	
relates to. Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	Please refer to attachment While we welcome the inclusion of a clause to ensure ecological interests will not be permanently harmed, as minerals projects can be long-term, significant and irreversible harm can be done prior to reinstatement and restoration. This can include impairing and reducing local species populations below sustainable levels and undermining national and local conservation objectives.
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	We recommend the following specific changes in this section: A. Planning permission will only be granted for minerals development where: A.8 Ecological/nature conservation interests Biodiversity assets or important ecological features are not significantly negatively affected either throughout the lifetime of the project or permanently harmed as a result of project related activities.

	L DOD WAST
Comment ID	LPSD-1385
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WM 4
Title	Oil and Gas Development
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WM 4
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	Not Positively Prepared Not Justified Not Effective Not Consistent with National Policy
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	strongly object to new proposals for oil and gas

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	

Comment ID	LPSD-1386
Person ID	1277283
Include files	LPSD-754, 938,1187-1193, 1196, 1200-1202, 1338-1342, 1348-1360, 1365-1370, 1372, 1374-1375, 1377-1378, 1384-1386 & 1422-1424-EM-Harding Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Ross Harding
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Appendix 17
Title	Environmental Designations
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WD 3
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation	
relates to. Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	Comment. Hoylake Langfields and Bidston Moss Community Woodlands proposed LWS are missing from the list, though shown on Fig 2.6. These sites should be listed in Appendix 17 as 'proposed' LWS. Irby Quarry SA8.4 and Old Sewage Works Clatterbridge SA8.27 are still listed although the Cheshire Local Wildlife Partnership have de-designated them.
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	Comment. Hoylake Langfields and Bidston Moss Community Woodlands proposed LWS are missing from the list, though shown on Fig 2.6. These sites should be listed in Appendix 17 as 'proposed' LWS. Irby Quarry SA8.4 and Old Sewage Works Clatterbridge SA8.27 are still listed although the Cheshire Local Wildlife Partnership have de-designated them.

Comment ID	LPSD-1387
Person ID	1237567
Include files	LPSD-307,354,355,357,358,1387,1388,1389-Moffat Attachment.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Richard Moffat
Position	Director
Company / Organisation	Hampstead Investments
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Part 2
Title	The Places and Our Vision
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Paragraph(s)
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	Paragraph 2.17
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	Not Consistent with National Policy
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	Please refer to attachment. Hampstead object to paragraph 2.17 of the plan in that it is submitted that one of the issues which needs to be addressed is the legacy of industrial change in the Borough. This should be achieved by unlocking vacant and underused previously developed land, including specifically the need to ensure the regeneration and remediation of sites which have been contaminated by virtue of their historic use and for which the planning system has not made provision for such remediation to take place such as Carlett Park Oil Depot irrespective of whether the sites lie within the Green Belt.

	The plan as currently drafted is contrary to national policy and hence not sound (see above para 1.4) NPPF (para 120) provides that planning policies and decisions should: - give substantial weight to the value of using suitable brownfield land within settlements for homes and other identified needs, and support appropriate opportunities to remediate despoiled, degraded, derelict, contaminated or unstable land;
omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary	Paragraph 2.17 xi of the plan should be revised to read as follows: - address the legacy of industrial change in the Borough by unlocking vacant and underused previously developed land including in particular contaminated sites where no provision has been made for legacy restoration and remediation.

to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.	
is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? * No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) * Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)	Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)
If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s),	

please outline why you consider this to be necessary:	
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination publication of the Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the Plan). * Yes * No	

Comment ID	LPSD-1388
Person ID	1237567
Include files	LPSD-307,354,355,357,358,1387,1388,1389-Moffat Attachment.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Richard Moffat
Position	Director
Company / Organisation	Hampstead Investments
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Part 2
Title	The Places and Our Vision
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Paragraph(s)
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	Paragraph 2.37
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	Not Consistent with National Policy
	Please refer to attachment. Hampstead object to paragraph 2.37 of the submitted plan in that whilst it directs regeneration towards Birkenhead, it fails to recognise that land in need of remediation / regeneration also exits outside Birkenhead within the Green Belt. The plan as currently drafted is contrary to national policy and hence not sound (see above para 1.4T. The NPPF (para 149 g) advises that development will not be inappropriate where it involves:- limited infilling or the partial or complete redevelopment of previously developed land, whether redundant or in continuing use (excluding temporary buildings), which would:

	not have a greater impact on the openness of the Green Belt than the existing development; or not cause substantial harm to the openness of the Green Belt, where the development would re-use previously developed land and contribute to meeting an identified affordable housing need within the area of the local planning authority
omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary	Paragraph 2.37 of the plan should be revised to read as follows:- There are clearly two strong factors driving and focusing growth toward the east of the Borough and Birkenhead; namely, the overriding need for the regeneration of Birkenhead and it's surroundings and the environmental constraints which exist on the peninsula outside of existing developed areas. Green Belt allocation should not however be seen a restricting or preventing the remediation of contaminated previously developed land.

to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.	
is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? * No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) * Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)	Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)
If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s),	

please outline why you consider this to be necessary:	
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the Plan). * Yes * No	Yes

_	
Comment ID	LPSD-1389
Person ID	1237567
Include files	LPSD-307,354,355,357,358,1387,1388,1389-Moffat Attachment.pdf
Consultee Name	Mr Richard Moffat
Position	Director
Company / Organisation	Hampstead Investments
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	
Title	The Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	SO 2
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as a possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is ound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is the Loc		
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is regally compliant. Please be as precise as possible. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not togaily compliant. Please be as procise as pro	Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation	
why you consider the Local Plan is not regard to a precise as possible. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not regard to a precise as possible. Sound * Yes No Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not regard to a precise as possible. Flease be as precise as possible. No Sound * Yes No No Consistent with National Policy If you consider the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared Not Justified Not Justified Not Justified Not Justified Not Consistent with National Policy Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not sound, please and the prepared of the prep	* Yes	Yes
why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible. Sound	why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as	
Yes No Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: Not Positively Prepared Not Justified Not Effective Not Consistent with National Policy Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not sound. Please be as recise as precise as p	why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise	
why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible. If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Strifective * Not Consistent with National Policy Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as precise as precise as	* Yes	No
the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as	why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise	
why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as	the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National	
	why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as	

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	Paragraph 2.39 Strategic Objective 2 should be revised as follows: - Realise the potential of our industrial legacy, including its' previously developed and contaminated land, and our waterside and heritage assets to deliver comprehensive urban regeneration of Birkenhead wherever it is located.

any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.	
If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? * No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) * Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)	Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)
If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:	

Notification of Next	Yes
Stages in Wirral's	
Local Plan	
Preparation - Would	
you like to be kept	
updated of future	
stages of the Wirral	
Local Plan	
2021-2037? (namely	
submission of the	
Plan for examination,	
publication of the	
Inspector's	
recommendations	
and adoption of the	
Plan).	
* Yes	
* No	

Comment ID	LPSD-1390
Person ID	1277274
Include files	LPSD-1262, 1265, 1268-1270 and 1390-EM-Leigh Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Ms Angela Leigh
Position	Planning & Development Lead Adviser
Company / Organisation	Natural England (Coast and Marine Team)
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WS 12
Title	Monitoring and Review
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WS 12 & Appendix 15
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
	Please refer to attachment Comment. Welcome Policy WS12 which demonstrates that the Council intends to monitor and review the impacts of the Local Plan, however it is not clear within Appendix 15 how the listed indicators will work in practice, and in particular whether they will monitor the effects of the plan or just wider changes.

omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of	NE's response includes examples of indicators for Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure which may be appropriate and which provide guidance on the specific detail required. The proposed monitoring measures should also be proportionate, practical and workable. It should be clear how the monitoring will inform when environmental limits have been reached (e.g. air or water quality thresholds) and when the plan should be reviewed. refer to attachment

Notification of Next
Stages in Wirral's
Local Plan
Preparation - Would
you like to be kept
updated of future
stages of the Wirral
Local Plan
2021-2037? (namely
submission of the
Plan for examination,
publication of the Inspector's
recommendations
and adoption of the
Plan).

* Yes
* No

Comment ID	LPSD-1391
Person ID	1248557
Include files	LPSD-701-703, 1371, 1376, 1379-1383, 1391-1395-EM-Sayce Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Stephen Sayce
Position	
Company / Organisation	Enviroment Agency
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WP 4.2
Title	Residential Sites
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Site
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	RES-SA4.6

DI	
Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	Please refer to attachment Addendum 3 of the Sequential & Exception Test report undertakes the Exception Test for sites RES-RA2.2, RES-SA4.6, RES-SA4.7, RES-RA6.2 and RES-RA6.5. In terms of ensuring each site can be made 'Safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere and where possible reducing flood risk overall' EA generally concur that design measures are likely to exist that could make the site safe over its lifetime, which if designed correctly would not increase flood risk elsewhere. This is because the flood risks associated with the sites are tidal in nature and the raising of floor level would be considered an acceptable mitigation. Furthermore, as compensatory flood storage would unlikely to be required the development of these sites should not increase flood levels elsewhere. While EA consider the Exception Test to be passed this is with regards to matters within EA's expertise only, and they have not considered matters relating to access and egress during times of flooding. To ensure the Exception Test is fully passed access and egress matters should be referred to the emergency services and emergency planners to ensure this can be achieved.
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be	

as precise as	
possible.	
omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local	

you consider this to be necessary:	
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the	,
Plan). * Yes * No	

Comment ID	LPSD-1392
Person ID	1248557
Include files	LPSD-701-703, 1371, 1376, 1379-1383, 1391-1395-EM-Sayce Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Stephen Sayce
Position	
Company / Organisation	Enviroment Agency
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WP 4.2
Title	Residential Sites
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Site
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	RES-SA4.7

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	please refer to attachment Addendum 3 of the Sequential & Exception Test report undertakes the Exception Test for sites RES-RA2.2, RES-SA4.6, RES-SA4.7, RES-RA6.2 and RES-RA6.5. In terms of ensuring each site can be made 'Safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere and where possible reducing flood risk overall' EA generally concur that design measures are likely to exist that could make the site safe over its lifetime, which if designed correctly would not increase flood risk elsewhere. This is because the flood risks associated with the sites are tidal in nature and the raising of floor level would be considered an acceptable mitigation. Furthermore, as compensatory flood storage would unlikely to be required the development of these sites should not increase flood levels elsewhere. While EA consider the Exception Test to be passed this is with regards to matters within EA's expertise only, and they have not considered matters relating to access and egress during times of flooding. To ensure the Exception Test is fully passed access and egress matters should be referred to the emergency services and emergency planners to ensure this can be achieved.
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be	

as precise as possible.	
omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local	

you consider this to be necessary:	
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the	,
Plan). * Yes * No	

Comment ID	LPSD-1393
Person ID	1248557
Include files	LPSD-701-703, 1371, 1376, 1379-1383, 1391-1395-EM-Sayce Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Stephen Sayce
Position	
Company / Organisation	Enviroment Agency
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WP 5.1
Title	Residential Sites
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Site
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	RES-SA5.3

DI	
Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	Please refer to attachment Addendum 3 of the Sequential & Exception Test report undertakes the Exception Test for sites RES-RA2.2, RES-SA4.6, RES-SA4.7, RES-RA6.2 and RES-RA6.5. In terms of ensuring each site can be made 'Safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere and where possible reducing flood risk overall' EA generally concur that design measures are likely to exist that could make the site safe over its lifetime, which if designed correctly would not increase flood risk elsewhere. This is because the flood risks associated with the sites are tidal in nature and the raising of floor level would be considered an acceptable mitigation. Furthermore, as compensatory flood storage would unlikely to be required the development of these sites should not increase flood levels elsewhere. While EA consider the Exception Test to be passed this is with regards to matters within EA's expertise only, and they have not considered matters relating to access and egress during times of flooding. To ensure the Exception Test is fully passed access and egress matters should be referred to the emergency services and emergency planners to ensure this can be achieved.
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be	

as precise as possible.	
omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local	

you consider this to be necessary:	
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the	,
Plan). * Yes * No	

Comment ID	LPSD-1394
Person ID	1248557
Include files	LPSD-701-703, 1371, 1376, 1379-1383, 1391-1395-EM-Sayce Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Stephen Sayce
Position	
Company / Organisation	Enviroment Agency
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy RA 6
Title	Wirral Waters Regeneration Area
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Site
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	RES-RA6.2

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	please refer to attachment Addendum 3 of the Sequential & Exception Test report undertakes the Exception Test for sites RES-RA2.2, RES-SA4.6, RES-SA4.7, RES-RA6.2 and RES-RA6.5. In terms of ensuring each site can be made 'Safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere and where possible reducing flood risk overall' EA generally concur that design measures are likely to exist that could make the site safe over its lifetime, which if designed correctly would not increase flood risk elsewhere. This is because the flood risks associated with the sites are tidal in nature and the raising of floor level would be considered an acceptable mitigation. Furthermore, as compensatory flood storage would unlikely to be required the development of these sites should not increase flood levels elsewhere. While EA consider the Exception Test to be passed this is with regards to matters within EA's expertise only, and they have not considered matters relating to access and egress during times of flooding. To ensure the Exception Test is fully passed access and egress matters should be referred to the emergency services and emergency planners to ensure this can be achieved.
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be	

as precise as possible.	
omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local	

you consider this to be necessary:	
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the	,
Plan). * Yes * No	

Comment ID	LPSD-1395
Person ID	1248557
Include files	LPSD-701-703, 1371, 1376, 1379-1383, 1391-1395-EM-Sayce Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Stephen Sayce
Position	
Company / Organisation	Enviroment Agency
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy RA 6
Title	Wirral Waters Regeneration Area
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Site
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	RES-RA6.5

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	Please refer to attachment Addendum 3 of the Sequential & Exception Test report undertakes the Exception Test for sites RES-RA2.2, RES-SA4.6, RES-SA4.7, RES-RA6.2 and RES-RA6.5. In terms of ensuring each site can be made 'Safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere and where possible reducing flood risk overall' EA generally concur that design measures are likely to exist that could make the site safe over its lifetime, which if designed correctly would not increase flood risk elsewhere. This is because the flood risks associated with the sites are tidal in nature and the raising of floor level would be considered an acceptable mitigation. Furthermore, as compensatory flood storage would unlikely to be required the development of these sites should not increase flood levels elsewhere. While EA consider the Exception Test to be passed this is with regards to matters within EA's expertise only, and they have not considered matters relating to access and egress during times of flooding. To ensure the Exception Test is fully passed access and egress matters should be referred to the emergency services and emergency planners to ensure this can be achieved.
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be	

as precise as possible.	
omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local	

Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability **Appraisal or Habitat** Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why

you consider this to be necessary:	
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the	,
Plan). * Yes * No	

Comment ID	LPSD-1396
Person ID	1248472
Include files	LPSD-440,1396-EM-Mellan Form 2 of 2 2207_Redacted.pdf LPSD-439,440,1396-EM-Mellan Attach 2207_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Catesby Estates PLC
Position	
Company / Organisation	Catesby Estates
Agent ID	1248474
Agent Name	Mr. Niall Mellan
Position	Senior Planner
Company / Organisation	Hourigan Connolly
Number	Policy WS 5.8
Title	Landscape Character
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policies Map
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this	

representation	
relates to.	
Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	Heswall SW Map 3 of 4
Legally compliant * Yes * No	Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	Not Positively Prepared Not Justified Not Effective Not Consistent with National Policy
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be	The proposed designation is unsound because it is not justified taking into account reasonable alternatives i.e. the land should not be designated as an Area of Special Landscape Value in line with the assessment in Chapter 5.

as precise as possible.	
omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	N/A
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local	The land should be removed from the Green Belt, not included within the Area of Special Landscape Value, included within the Settlement Area and allocated for residential development (circa 250 dwellings) and community uses as identified in Appendix 2. Additionally, the substantial amount of existing residential development at Riverbank Road, Seabank Road, Seafield Avenue, Hilbre Avenue, Closeburn Avenue, Manners Lane, Marine Drive, Park West and Davenport Road should also be removed from the Green Belt and included within the Settlement Area for Heswall.

Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability **Appraisal or Habitat** Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. **If your representation** Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) If you wish to We wish to participate in the Examination because we have significant objections to the Local Plan including objections to the components of supply the Council relies upon to achieve the housing requirement. Our client controls land at Heswall which is an ideal site to be released from the Green Belt and allocated for housing. Having regard to the foregoing we wish participate in the hearing session(s), the inspector to consider our objections via an oral hearing. We also wish to have the opportunity to address any topic papers and Hearing Statements produced by the Council in response to our objections. please outline why

you consider this to be necessary:	
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the	
Plan). * Yes * No	

Comment ID	LPSD-1397
Person ID	1324658
Include files	LPSD-536,810, 846-849,1397-1399-EM-Tiwana Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Ms Jessica Bond
Position	
Company / Organisation	Barrat David Wilson
Agent ID	1245543
Agent Name	Mr Bal Tiwana
Position	Principal Planner
Company / Organisation	Stantec UK Ltd
Number	Policy WS 3.1
Title	Housing Design Standards
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WS 3.1
Please state which Site ID/Reference this	

representation relates to.	
Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	no info provided
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	Not Positively Prepared Not Justified Not Effective Not Consistent with National Policy
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be	Please refer to attachment Policy is too prescriptive and may not be deliverable in practice and could therefore jeopardise the delivery of much needed new homes across the Borough. Importantly, it is critical that the Council's proposed housing design standards do not jeopardise the delivery of the new homes that the Borough urgently needs and that local requirements in respect

as precise as possible.	of building sustainability are viable and achievable in practice. If the Council wishes to enforce stricter local standards, it must have robust evidence to ensure that this would not impact upon overall development viability, in accordance with the requirements of the NPPF and the PPG. We are concerned that the Council's viability evidence does not robustly justify the need for introducing the proposed water efficiency levels or the requirement to be 'zero carbon ready by design'. If the Council wishes to adopt stricter standards vis-à-vis national requirements, it must ensure that such an approach is evidenced by robust deliverability analysis, which includes appropriate consideration of the Future Homes Standard as part of its viability work – something that the Council currently fails to do.
omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	

Please set out the ensure that the policy is sound, we propose that the Council's housing design standards should be made consistent with any national standards or requirements at the time of the modification(s) you determination of any planning applications. consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. **If your representation** Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:	
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the Plan). * Yes * No	Yes

Comment ID	LPSD-1398
Person ID	1324658
Include files	LPSD-536,810, 846-849,1397-1399-EM-Tiwana Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Ms Jessica Bond
Position	
Company / Organisation	Barrat David Wilson
Agent ID	1245543
Agent Name	Mr Bal Tiwana
Position	Principal Planner
Company / Organisation	Stantec UK Ltd
Number	Policy WS 3.2
Title	Housing Density
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WS 3.2
Please state which Site ID/Reference this	

representation relates to.	
Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	Not Positively Prepared Not Justified Not Effective Not Consistent with National Policy
	Please refer to attachment It is important that the focus on higher density development and the emphasis of regeneration of previously developed land does not preclude the delivery of much-needed homes in sustainable locations across the Borough.

as precise as possible.	Whilst we recognise that paragraph 125 of the NPPF states that Local Plans should use minimum density standards for city and town centres and other locations that are well served by public transport, it is important that such minimum density standards are based on robust evidence and achievable in practice. We are concerned that the Council has inflated density requirements as part of its strategy of focus housing growth in Birkenhead and surrounding areas, in an effort to reduce the number of sites that it needs to allocate elsewhere across the Borough, presumably for political reasons
omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	

Please set out the We recommend that the Council should amend its proposed minimum density standards to a level which is deliverable and not at the expense of over-development and urban intensification modification(s) you in a narrow area of the Borough. Such amendment is likely to necessitate the need for the Council to identify additional sites which can be viability delivered across the Borough. For the consider necessary reasons explained in Section 1 of our representation, BDW's site at Chester Road is capable of helping the Council to address its housing needs whilst ensuring a sustainable pattern of to make the Local development without harming the purposes of the Green Belt. Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability **Appraisal or Habitat** Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. **If your representation** Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:	
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the Plan). * Yes * No	Yes

Comment ID	LPSD-1399
Person ID	1324658
Include files	LPSD-536,810, 846-849,1397-1399-EM-Tiwana Attach 2507_Redacted.pdf
Consultee Name	Ms Jessica Bond
Position	
Company / Organisation	Barrat David Wilson
Agent ID	1245543
Agent Name	Mr Bal Tiwana
Position	Principal Planner
Company / Organisation	Stantec UK Ltd
Number	Part 2
Title	The Places and Our Vision
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Paragraph(s)
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	2.38 Vision
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	
Please state which Site ID/Reference this	

representation relates to.	
Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	Not Positively Prepared Not Justified Not Effective Not Consistent with National Policy
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be	We are concerned that there is insufficient onus placed on the importance of ensuring that the borough's housing needs are met in full within the plan period. Not only does the 'Vision' fail to recognise the Government's objective of significantly boosting housing supply, but it also does not plan to deliver homes, where the actual demand for such homes exists; instead, it focusses on the urban intensification and regeneration of a relatively small area in the east of the Borough. The focus of the emerging Local Plan should be to provide much needed homes to meet current and future housing needs, in the locations where such homes are needed, rather than shoe-horning housing into a narrow area of the Borough. This is clearly out-of-sync with the overall thrust of the NPPF.

as precise as possible.

Furthermore, we are very concerned that the 'Vision' contained within the emerging Local Plan does not recognise what the actual purposes of the 'Green Belt' are expected to achieve with respect to the NPPF. Part B of the 'Vision' states: 'Land within the Green Belt provides a critical agricultural, recreational and ecological resource and Wirral's countryside supports adaptation to and mitigation of climate change.' NPPF paragraph 137, however, explains that the 'fundamental aim of Green Belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl'. Paragraph 138 goes on to explain that the Green Belt serves the following five purposes:

- a) to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas;
- b) to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another;
- c) to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment;
- d) to preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and
- e) to assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land

We are concerned that the Council's current approach appears to be confusing the fact that the purpose of the Green Belt is to prevent urban sprawl, as opposed to being used as a mechanism to achieve wider environmental objectives. Whilst we support the principle of the Local Plan being used as a mechanism to support environmental objectives, insofar as that accords with the NPPF, this should not be mixed up with the actual purposes of the Green Belt Whilst we agree that the general thrust of the Green Belt should be maintained where such land fully meets the purposes of the Green Belt as set out in national planning policy, we do not consider that land which does not meet the purposes of the Green Belt, such as our client's site at Chester Road, should be protected from development ad infinitum.

We note that Part H of the 'Vision' states: 'The commuter towns and villages of the west of Wirral remain stable having experienced small scale incremental development that has allowed them to continue to thrive within a landscape protected by Green Belt designation'. Not only does there again appear to be some confusion about the 'protection' that the Green Belt is supposed to offer but, fundamentally, we are of the firm view that in order for towns and villages of the west of Wirral 'to thrive', they will need more than just 'small scale incremental development'.

There needs to be investment in new housing, which will bring new residents and ensure the continued vitality and sustainability of such towns and villages.

Notwithstanding the lack of clarity as to what the Council considers 'small scale incremental development' comprises, we are very concerned that such an approach will only serve to worsen housing affordability issues in the west of the Borough, resulting in those first-time buyers being priced out of such areas. This is clearly an unsustainable and inequitable strategy which will have serious socio-economic consequences if the Council fails to plan for adequate housing growth in all parts of the Borough.

It is evident that the approach taken within the emerging Local Plan's draft 'Vision' is not consistent with national policy and therefore cannot be assessed as 'sound' in accordance with the NPPF

omplies with the Duty No to co-operate

- Yes
- No

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please	Remove chester road site from greenbelt

note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.	
If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? * No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) * Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)	
If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:	
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept	Yes

updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the Plan).
* Yes

No

Comment ID	LPSD-1400
Person ID	1270024
Include files	LPSD 853, 854 & 1400- EM - Dodd
Consultee Name	Susie Dodd
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Agent ID	
Agent Name	
Position	
Company / Organisation	
Number	Policy WD 2
Title	Heritage Assets
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? * Paragraph(s) * Policy * Site * Policies Map * Sustainability Appraisal * Habitat Regulations Assessment	Policy
Please state which paragraph number(s) this representation relates to.	
Please state which Policy Number this representation relates to.	WD 2
Please state which Site ID/Reference this representation relates to.	

Please state which Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Legally compliant * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.	
Sound * Yes * No	No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is sound. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please indicate the reason(s) why: * Not Positively Prepared * Not Justified * Not Effective * Not Consistent with National Policy	Not Justified
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.	

	 The planting up of the proposed green areas must complement the coastal ecology to add interest and help bring nature into the region. The proposed plans for the waterfront all point towards human interest: bike lanes, walkways and lighting, retail, and leisure. Decent sized areas solely for nature must be set aside to address the ecological collapse caused by climate change, population rise and habitat loss.
omplies with the Duty to co-operate * Yes * No	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal, please make them here.	
If you wish to make a separate representation, relating to legal compliance, soundness or the duty to cooperate in relation to the accompanying Habitats Regulations Assessment, please make them here.	
Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary	The Birkenhead waterfront should be sustainably designed with respect to the local historic character.

to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound,in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5, 5a or 5b above. (Please note thatnon-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to saywhy each modification will make the Local Plan, Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulations Assessement legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s),

please outline why you consider this to be necessary:	
Notification of Next Stages in Wirral's Local Plan Preparation - Would you like to be kept updated of future stages of the Wirral Local Plan 2021-2037? (namely submission of the Plan for examination, publication of the Inspector's recommendations and adoption of the Plan).	
* Yes * No	